

## SUPPLEMENTAL FINAL SUMMARY REPORT

This **Supplemental Final Summary Report** reflects the agreement reached by COPA and the Superintendent of the Chicago Police Department (“CPD”) following discussions<sup>1</sup> on COPA’s findings and disciplinary recommendations regarding **Officer Keenyan Wigley, Star #18822 in Log #2024-0005480**.

Following COPA’s issuance of its FSR, COPA provided the final disciplinary file related to COPA’s recommendations to CPD. On July 17, 2026, pursuant to M.C.C. §2-178-130(a), the Superintendent issued a non-concurrence as to certain recommended findings and penalties related to this log number.

Pursuant to M.C.C. §2-78-130(a)(iii), COPA and CPD engaged in discussions regarding the Superintendent’s non-concurrence. COPA maintains that the initial findings are appropriate. Specifically, COPA notes that a member can engage in a private, off-duty conversation between adults, and still: (1) act in such a way that brings discredit upon the Department,<sup>2</sup> (2) disrespect or mistreat a member of the public,<sup>3</sup> and (3) engage in an unjustified verbal altercation.<sup>4</sup> COPA disagrees with CPD’s argument that Officer Wigley’s conduct did not violate CPD Rules because “[t]his was a private matter between two adults”.<sup>5</sup> Additionally, COPA acknowledges CPD is correct in that COPA has the authority to compel statements from Complainants/Victims who are CPD members. However, COPA declined to compel the Victim Officer’s statement in this case after she indicated she did not wish to provide a voluntary statement. While COPA maintains its investigation met the standard of proof, it is unclear that this standard can be maintained without the Victim Officer’s cooperation. Therefore, based on a discussion of applicable law, the standard of proof, the facts and available evidence in this case, and in the interests of settlement, the parties agreed as follows:

- **Allegation #1** that Officer Wigley engaged in an unjustified verbal altercation with [REDACTED] and/or [REDACTED] is **Not Sustained**.
- **Allegation #4** that Officer Wigley utilized Department computerized information systems in an unauthorized manner and not for the purpose of official police business remains **Sustained**. Officer Wigley will receive a **Reprimand**.

Approved:

---


<sup>1</sup> Municipal Code of Chicago (“M.C.C.”) § 2-78-130(a) (“Within ten business days after the submission of [the Superintendent’s] response that proposes no action or different action than that recommended by the Chief Administrator, the Superintendent and the Chief Administrator shall meet to discuss the Superintendent’s response.”).

<sup>2</sup> See, Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department (“CPD Rules”), Rule 2, COMMENT (“This Rule applies to both the professional and private conduct of all members.”) (emphasis added).

<sup>3</sup> See CPD Rule 8 (“Disrespect to or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty.”) (emphasis added).

<sup>4</sup> See CPD Rule 9 (“Engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person, while on or off duty.”) (emphasis added).

<sup>5</sup> Superintendent’s Partial Non-Concurrence Letter, pg. 2.



---

**Kimberly Edstrom Schiller**  
*Director of Investigations*

July 31, 2026

---

**Date**