



Brandon Johnson
Mayor

Department of Police • City of Chicago
3510 S. Michigan Avenue • Chicago, Illinois 60653

Fred L. Waller
Interim Superintendent

July 17, 2026

Lakenya White
Chief Administrator
Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA)
1615 West Chicago Avenue, 4th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60622

Re: Superintendent's Non-Concurrence with Findings and Concurrence with Penalty Recommendations
Complaint Log No. 2024-0005480
Police Officer Keeynan Wigley, Star No. 18822

Dear Chief Administrator White:

After a careful review of the above-referenced complaint log number investigation, the Chicago Police Department (the Department) does not concur with the recommended sustained findings and concurs with the penalty recommendations as they pertain to Police Officer Keeynan Wigley, Star No. 18822.

The COPA investigation recommended a penalty of a reprimand for Police Officer Keeynan Wigley, Star No. 18822, following findings that he:

1. On or about June 6, 2024, at approximately 11:30 pm, at or near [REDACTED], Chicago, IL, Officer Keeynan Wigley engaged in an unjustified verbal altercation with [REDACTED] and/or [REDACTED]
2. On an unknown date between January 14, 2025 and February 6, 2025, at an unknown time and location, Officer Keeynan Wigley utilized Department computerized information systems in an unauthorized manner and not for the purpose of official police business, to wit: accessed a case report from an incident that occurred on June 6, 2024

The Department concurs with the sustained finding in allegation two and will impose a penalty of a reprimand. The Department recommends that, in the future, COPA attempt to identify the specific date regarding allegation two by making a request for the information to the City of Chicago Office of Public Safety Administration.

The Department respectfully does not concur with COPA's finding related to sustained allegation one. The Department finds this determination not legally sufficient and maintains that the appropriate finding is unfounded. The accused member was off-duty at the time of the verbal argument with his domestic partner, Police Officer [REDACTED]. Additionally, COPA chose not to interview the reporting party, Police Officer [REDACTED] to confirm the details of the interaction. Police Officer [REDACTED] is a Department Member and is

required to cooperate with this log investigation. Lastly, there were no threats made or communicated regarding the incident. This was a private matter between two adults, and this conduct is not alleged to have an impact on the Department member's duty.

On behalf of Interim Superintendent Fred L. Waller, The Department looks forward to discussing this matter further with you pursuant to MCC 2-78-130(a)(iii).

Sincerely,



Kelly A. Schnoor
Department Advocate
Chicago Police Department