



Log # 2025-0036

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT¹

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On January 3, 2025, the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA) received a web complaint from [REDACTED] reporting alleged misconduct by members of the Chicago Police Department (CPD).² [REDACTED] alleged that on December 28, 2024, Officer Ryan Luzin and Officer Saul Garcia used excessive force while handcuffing him, and searched him without justification.³ Upon review of the evidence, COPA served additional allegations that Officers Luzin and Garcia used rude and unprofessional language and failed to provide an Investigatory Stop Receipt (ISR receipt) to [REDACTED]. COPA also served an allegation to Officer Shawn Bryant for using rude and unprofessional language. Following its investigation, COPA reached sustained findings regarding the allegations of using unprofessional language and failing to provide an ISR receipt to [REDACTED].

II. SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE⁴

On December 28, 2024, multiple officers responded to calls from OEMC dispatch reporting a gang disturbance near the intersection of W Cullerton Street and S Rockwell Street.⁵ When the officers arrived at the location, they encountered a large group of individuals matching the physical descriptions that were provided by the 911 callers. As the officers attempted to approach the group, the group began to disperse onto opposite sides of the street, rather than speak with the police. Officer Garcia told the group, “Y’all out here with a bunch of masks talking all that shit. You guys don’t even got a bitch.”⁶ Members of the group, while walking away, responded with rude remarks to the officers. Officer Garcia commented, “Talking all that shit while you’re

¹ Appendix A includes case identifiers such as the date, time, and location of the incident, the involved parties and their demographics, and the applicable rules and policies.

² Att. 33, Web Complaint submitted by [REDACTED]

³ One or more of these allegations fall within COPA’s jurisdiction pursuant to Chicago Municipal Code § 2-78-120. Therefore, COPA determined it would be the primary investigative agency in this matter.

⁴ The following is a summary of what COPA finds most likely occurred during this incident. This summary utilized information from several different sources, including BWC footage, cell phone video, police reports, event queries, [REDACTED] interview, and officer interviews.

⁵ Atts. 18, 51, and 52, Event Queries from the Office of Emergency Management and Communications; and Att. 64, Statement Transcript of Officer Luzin, pg. 8, lns. 4 to 12. Note: The Event Queries document that 911 callers described the group as approximately seven Hispanic males wearing masks and dark hoodies, with one individual wearing a red hoodie and black pants. Over the course of several hours, multiple 911 callers reported that members of the group were holding firearms, making gang signs, blocking the intersection, and that there were gunshots in the area.

⁶ Att. 6, Officer Garcia’s BWC at 3:14

walking away.”⁷ Multiple officers questioned the individuals, asking them to lift their shirts, show their waistbands, and/or show their hands. Officer Luzin stated to the group, “Show me your waist, how you wanna do this shit.”⁸

One of the individuals, now known as ██████████ began to walk away from the group when Officer Luzin approached him.⁹ Officer Luzin told ██████████ to lift up his shirt, but ██████████ responded, “yeah, yeah, yeah,” and did not comply.¹⁰ Officer Luzin explained that they were responding to a person with a gun call, and he appeared to bump up against ██████████ ██████████ yelled at the officer not to touch him. Both Officers Luzin and Garcia then grabbed ██████████ arms to detain him, while Officer Luzin conducted a protective pat down.¹¹ ██████████ demanded that he be released and stated that he did not give consent for the officers to search his person. Officer Garcia responded, “Or else what, put your fucking finger down, now you’re going to jail for assault.”¹² The officers released ██████████ after the protective pat down was negative for weapons. ██████████ then insisted that the officers give him a contact card, to which Officer Luzin told him to “shut the fuck up” and “back the fuck up.”¹³ Another individual taunted Officer Garcia and insinuated that he would outrun the officer; Officer Garcia responded, “You ain’t even that quick, goof ass.”¹⁴

The officers remained near the group of individuals, and the verbal exchange continued. ██████████ and others in the group continued cursing at the officers. At one point, Officer Luzin responded to ██████████ “You guys are dumber than shit, I’ll be honest.”¹⁵ Additionally, Officer Bryant commented to the group, “Listen, you know how retarded you guys sound, all you guys are all masked up cuz y’all don’t wanna show y’all identity, cuz y’all just cowards, that’s just what it is. Y’all standing on the corner, 20 deep, cause y’all can’t fight.”¹⁶ Officers Luzin, Garcia, and Bryant all admitted to COPA that they used unprofessional language during the interaction.¹⁷ Officer Garcia explained, “Sometimes we just use it to gain their attention and compliance.”¹⁸

██████████ requested a sergeant, and Sgt. Michael McInerney responded to the scene. ██████████ complained that Officer Luzin searched him for no reason and bent his wrist; Sgt. McInerney requested an ambulance for the wrist injury that ██████████ alleged he sustained.¹⁹ Both Officer Luzin and Officer Garcia denied the allegation that they used excessive force, but

⁷ Att. 6, Officer Garcia’s BWC at 3:24.

⁸ Att. 7, Officer Luzin’s BWC at 3:58.

⁹ Att. 64, Statement Transcript of Officer Luzin, pg. 8, lns. 13 to 17.

¹⁰ Att. 7, Officer Luzin’s BWC at 2:52.

¹¹ Att. 7, Officer Luzin’s BWC at 3:00.

¹² Att. 6, Officer Garcia’s BWC at 4:07 to 4:14.

¹³ Att. 7, Officer Luzin’s BWC at 4:18 to 4:44.

¹⁴ Att. 6, Officer Garcia’s BWC at 5:07.

¹⁵ Att. 7, Officer Luzin’s BWC at 7:29.

¹⁶ Att. 4, Officer Bryant’s BWC at 11:41.

¹⁷ Att. 62, Statement Transcript of Officer Garcia pg. 19, ln. 1 to pg. 20, ln. 4; Att. 64, Statement Transcript of Officer Luzin, pg. 23, lns. 6 to 7; Att. 63, Statement Transcript of Officer Bryant, pg. 14, lns. 12 to 19.

¹⁸ Att. 62, Statement Transcript of Officer Garcia pg. 19, lns. 15 to 16.

¹⁹ Att. 10, Sgt. McInerney’s BWC at 4:11 to 5:30

Officer Luzin acknowledged that he used a wrist lock and arm bar on [REDACTED]²⁰ [REDACTED] was transported to St. Anthony's Hospital for treatment.²¹

Officer Luzin admitted to COPA that he did not provide [REDACTED] with an ISR receipt, explaining that he did not have any ISR receipts with him. Officer Garcia denied the allegation, stating, "I believe Officer Luzin was the one that conducted the stop; so I'm not sure if he provided one to him."²²

III. ALLEGATIONS

Officer Ryan Luzin:

1. Using rude and unprofessional language, verbally abusing [REDACTED]
 - **Sustained**, Rules 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9
2. Using excessive force while handcuffing [REDACTED]
 - Not Sustained
3. Searching [REDACTED] without justification.
 - Not Sustained
4. Failed to provide an ISR receipt to [REDACTED]
 - **Sustained**, Rules 2, 3, 5, 6, and 10

Officer Saul Garcia:

1. Using rude and unprofessional language, verbally abusing [REDACTED]
 - **Sustained**, Rules 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9
2. Using excessive force while handcuffing [REDACTED]
 - Not Sustained
3. Searching [REDACTED] without justification.
 - Not Sustained
4. Failed to provide an ISR receipt to [REDACTED]
 - **Sustained**, Rules 2, 3, 5, 6, and 10

Officer Shawn Bryant:

1. Using rude and unprofessional language, stating words to the effect of, "Listen, you know how retarded you guys sound, all you guys are all masked up cuz y'all don't wanna show y'all identity, cuz y'all just cowards, that's just what it is."
 - **Sustained**, Rules 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9

²⁰ Att. 64, Statement Transcript of Officer Luzin, pg. 9, lns. 6 to 11, pg. 16, lns. 12 to 22, pg. 22, ln. 14 to pg. 23, ln. 5; Att. 62, Statement Transcript of Officer Garcia, pg. 17, lns. 19 to 23.

²¹ Att. 37, [REDACTED] hospital discharge documents.

²² Att. 64, Statement Transcript of Officer Luzin, pg. 23, lns. 6 to 9; Att. 62, Statement Transcript of Officer Garcia, pg. 20, lns. 5 to 9

IV. CREDIBILITY ASSESSMENT

COPA conducted interviews with ██████████ as well as Officers Luzin, Garcia, and Bryant. Their statements were generally consistent with each other, the BWC footage, ██████████ cell phone footage, and the CPD reports that the officers completed. Therefore, COPA did not find any basis to question the credibility of any of the parties who provided a statement to COPA.

V. ANALYSIS²³

a. Using rude and unprofessional language

COPA finds the allegations that Officers Luzin, Garcia, and Bryant used rude and unprofessional language during this incident are **sustained**. CPD policy requires its members to “interact with all members of the public in an unbiased, fair, and respectful manner,”²⁴ “treat all persons with the courtesy and dignity which is inherently due to every person as a human being,”²⁵ and “act, speak, and conduct themselves in a courteous, respectful, and professional manner.”²⁶ This order also mandates that officers will “not exhibit a condescending attitude or direct any derogatory terms towards any person in any manner and will not use language or take action intended to taunt or denigrate an individual, including racist or derogatory language.”²⁷

The CPD Rules of Conduct further stress the requirement that CPD members treat all individuals in a respectful manner. CPD Rule 2 prohibits any action or conduct which impedes CPD’s policy and goals or brings discredit upon CPD.²⁸ Rule 3 prohibits actions that fail to promote CPD’s efforts to implement its policy or accomplish its goals.²⁹ Rule 8 prohibits any disrespect or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty.³⁰ Finally, Rule 9 prohibits CPD members from engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person, while on or off duty.³¹

Here, the BWC footage and ██████████ cell phone footage clearly captured the officers’ choice of language. Officer Garcia referred to one individual as a “goof ass,” and he remarked to ██████████ and other members of the group, “Y’all out here with a bunch of masks talking all that shit. You guys don’t even got a bitch.”³² Similarly, BWC footage captured Officer Luzin calling ██████████ and the others “dumber than shit,”³³ and telling ██████████ to “shut the fuck up.”³⁴

²³ For a definition of COPA’s findings and standards of proof, *see* Appendix B.

²⁴ Att. 53, G02-01(III)(B)(1), Protection of Human Rights (effective June 30, 2022, to April 30, 2026).

²⁵ Att. 53, G02-01(III)(B)(2).

²⁶ Att. 53, G02-01(III)(B)(3).

²⁷ Att. 53, G02-01(III)(B)(4).

²⁸ Att. 68, Rule 2, Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department (effective April 16, 2015, to present).

²⁹ Att. 68, Rule 3.

³⁰ Att. 68, Rule 8.

³¹ Att. 68, Rule 9.

³² Att. 6, Officer Garcia’s BWC at 3:14

³³ Att. 7, Officer Luzin’s BWC at 7:29.

³⁴ Att. 7, Officer Luzin’s BWC at 4:18 to 4:44.

Finally, Officer Bryant was recorded stating, “Listen, you know how retarded you guys sound, all you guys are all masked up cuz y’all don’t wanna show y’all identity, cuz y’all just cowards, that’s just what it is.”³⁵

All three officers admitted to COPA that they used this language. Officer Luzin apologized for using foul language and acknowledged that he could have conducted himself better.³⁶ Officer Garcia also apologized for his language, explaining that it is sometimes used to gain individuals’ attention and compliance.³⁷ Officer Bryant also admitted to using unprofessional language; however, he stated that he did not mean it disrespectfully. He explained that he was using slang, and was trying to convey a narrative to the group in a manner that they understood, but he acknowledged he could have used a better choice of words.³⁸ Accordingly, COPA finds Allegation 1 against Officers Luzin, Garcia, and Bryant is **sustained**, in violation of Rules 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9.

a. Using excessive force while handcuffing ██████████

COPA finds the allegation that Officers Luzin and Garca used excessive force while handcuffing ██████████ is **not sustained**. Upon reviewing both officers’ BWC footage, as well as the footage of other officers in the vicinity, it does not appear that either officer used significant force, and ██████████ was not actually handcuffed. Officer Luzin explained to COPA that he used a wrist lock to get both of ██████████ hands behind his back to conduct a protective pat down.³⁹ Officer Garcia stated to COPA that he did not use excessive force, and he applied the “minimum force to detain ██████████ and conduct a protective pat down.”⁴⁰ Both TRRs document that the only force used by the officers was a wrist lock, escort hold, and/or push/physical redirection.⁴¹ Additionally, ██████████ did not complain of injury or pain at the time the officers had physical contact with him. However, ██████████ hospital discharge paperwork did document a “sprain and strain of wrist.”⁴² Accordingly, COPA finds that Allegation 2 against Officers Luzin and Garcia is **not sustained**.

b. Conducting a protective pat down of ██████████

COPA finds the allegation that Officers Luzin and Garcia searched ██████████ without justification is **not sustained**. CPD members are permitted to detain a person when there is reasonable articulable suspicion that the person is about to commit, is committing, or has committed a criminal offense.⁴³ Reasonable articulable suspicion is defined as “an objective legal

³⁵ Att. 4, Officer Bryant’s BWC at 11:41.

³⁶ Att. 64, Statement Transcript of Officer Luzin, pg. 21, lns. 19 to 21

³⁷ Att. 62, Statement Transcript of Officer Garcia, pg. 19, lns. 14 to 16

³⁸ Att. 63, Statement Transcript of Officer Bryant, pgs. 13, lns. 2 to 24, and pg. 14, lns. 1 to 19

³⁹ Att. 64, Statement Transcript of Officer Luzin, pgs. 15 to 17

⁴⁰ Att. 62, Statement Transcript of Officer Garcia, pg. 8, lns. 21 to 22

⁴¹ Atts. 29 and 39, TRRs of Officers Luzin and Garcia.

⁴² Att. 37, ██████████ hospital discharge paperwork, pg. 10.

⁴³ Att. 54, S04-13-09 II(A), Investigatory Stop System (effective July 10, 2017 to February 2, 2026)

standard that is less than probable cause but more substantial than a hunch or general suspicion.”⁴⁴ A person who is lawfully detained can be subjected to a limited search – a protective pat down – for weapons if a CPD member possesses “specific and articulable facts, combined with rational inferences from these facts, that the suspect is armed and dangerous or reasonably suspects that the person presents a danger of attack to the sworn member or others in the area.”⁴⁵

In this case, it is undisputed that Officer Luzin conducted a protective pat down of [REDACTED] and that Officer Garcia assisted by holding [REDACTED] arms.⁴⁶ According to the descriptors and locations provided by the 911 callers, [REDACTED] and the other members of his group fit the description of the individuals with guns. The BWC footage confirms that [REDACTED] matched the description, and he did not comply with Officer Luzin’s verbal direction to lift up his shirt.⁴⁷ Additionally, in the ISR for [REDACTED] Officer Luzin detailed additional factors supporting reasonable articulable suspicion that [REDACTED] was armed and dangerous. These included the time of day, the location having a high number of calls involving gang disturbances and gang conflicts, Officer Luzin’s prior knowledge that [REDACTED] was a gang member, [REDACTED] noncompliance with Officer Luzin’s verbal direction, and the officer’s training and experience of gang members carrying firearms.⁴⁸

Many of these factors are corroborated by the BWC footage, Event Queries, and other evidence collected during this investigation. Therefore, COPA finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the officers had reasonable articulable suspicion to conduct a protective pat down of [REDACTED]. Accordingly, Allegation 3 against Officers Luzin and Garcia is **not sustained**.

c. Failing to provide an ISR receipt to [REDACTED]

COPA finds the allegation that Officers Luzin and Garcia failed to provide an ISR receipt to [REDACTED] is **sustained**. CPD policy states that upon the completion of an investigatory stop that involves a protective pat down or any other search, sworn members are required to provide the subject of the stop with a completed Investigatory Stop Receipt.⁴⁹

The evidence shows that Officer Luzin completed an ISR documenting the detention and pat down of [REDACTED] but neither Officer Luzin nor Officer Garcia issued an ISR receipt to [REDACTED].⁵⁰ Officer Luzin admitted that [REDACTED] asked for a receipt, but the officer did not have any receipts to give him at the time, and the scene was still tense.⁵¹ Officer Garcia denied the allegation that he did not provide an ISR receipt to [REDACTED] stating, “Officer Luzin was the

⁴⁴ Att. 54, S04-13-09 II(C).

⁴⁵ Att. 54, S04-13-09 II(C)(2) and (IV)(B).

⁴⁶ Att. 64, Statement Transcript of Officer Luzin, pg. 17, lns. 9 to 23; Att. 62, Statement Transcript of Officer Garcia, pg. 8, lns. 17 to 22, and pg. 12, lns. 12 to 24.

⁴⁷ Att. 7, Officer Luzin’s BWC at 2:52.

⁴⁸ Att. 19, ISR for [REDACTED]

⁴⁹ Att. 54, S04-13-09 VIII(A) (3).

⁵⁰ Att. 19, ISR for [REDACTED]

⁵¹ Att. 64, Statement Transcript of Officer Luzin, pg. 9, lns. 16 to 24

one that conducted the stop; so I'm not sure if he provided one to him."⁵² However, this explanation does not absolve Officer Garcia of the responsibility to provide an ISR receipt; he was an active participant in the detention of [REDACTED] and he physically restrained [REDACTED] while Officer Luzin conducted the pat down. Accordingly, COPA finds Allegation 4 against Officers Luzin and Garcia is **sustained**, in violation of Rules 2, 3, 5, 6, and 10.

VI. DISCIPLINARY RECOMMENDATION

a. Complimentary and Disciplinary Histories

i. Officer Ryan Luzin⁵³

Officer Luzin has been employed by CPD since April 25, 2022. He has received 31 awards, including one Department Commendation Award, 27 Honorable Mention Certificates, and one Police Officer of the Month Award. Officer Luzin has one prior sustained complaint, for threatening to batter another CPD member (Log 2024-0003922). He received a reprimand as a result of that incident. Additionally, Officer Luzin received two SPARs in 2024 for preventable accidents (1-day off and a reprimand).

ii. Officer Saul Garcia⁵⁴

Officer Garcia has been employed by CPD since February 28, 2022. He has received 47 awards, including two Department Commendation Awards and 43 Honorable Mention Certificates. Officer Garcia has no prior sustained complaints or recent SPARs.

iii. Officer Shawn Bryant⁵⁵

Officer Bryant has been employed by CPD since February 19, 2013. He has received 96 awards, including one Department Commendation Award, one Complimentary Letter, one Special Commendation Award, one Problem Solving Award, one Traffic Stop of the Month Award, one Superintendent's Honorable Mention Certificate, and 66 Honorable Mention Certificates. Officer Bryant does not have any sustained complaints in the last five years. However, he has received three recent SPARs, for a preventable accident (reprimand), failing to timely activate his BWC (reprimand), and misuse of equipment/supplies (no disciplinary action).

b. Recommended Discipline

COPA has found that Officers Luzin, Garcia, and Bryant violated Rules 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9 when they directed rude and unprofessional language at [REDACTED] and the other members of his

⁵² Att. 62, Statement Transcript of Officer Garcia, pg. 20, lns. 5 to 12

⁵³ Att. 65, Complimentary and Disciplinary History of Officer Luzin

⁵⁴ Att. 67, Complimentary and Disciplinary History of Officer Garcia

⁵⁵ Att. 66, Complimentary and Disciplinary History of Officer Bryant

gorup. Additionally, Officers Luzin and Garcia violated Rules 2, 3, 5, 6, and 10 when they failed to provide an ISR receipt to [REDACTED]

In aggravation, the officers each used language that was profane, disrespectful, and insulting. Their interactions with [REDACTED] and the members of his group reflected poorly on CPD and had the potential to escalate an already tense situation. Additionally, Officer Luzin and Garcia's failure to provide [REDACTED] with an ISR receipt undermined CPD's efforts to uphold its policies and achieve its goals.

In mitigation, COPA recognizes that the officers encountered a challenging situation where they were outnumbered by a group of disrespectful and dismissive individuals, some of whom were reportedly armed. Additionally, all three officers admitted that they used unprofessional language and accepted responsibility for their conduct. Finally, COPA notes that all of the officers have commendable complimentary histories and no previous disciplinary findings that would suggest a pattern of repeated misconduct.

Accordingly, COPA recommends that Officer Luzin, Garcia and Bryant each receive a **Reprimand** and **Retraining** on professionalism (G02-01). COPA further recommends that Officers Luzin and Garcia be retrained on their reporting requirements under S04-13-09 (now G03-08-03).

Approved:

[REDACTED]

Steffany Hreno
Acting Deputy Chief Administrator

5/3/2026

Date

Appendix ACase Details

Date/Time/Location of Incident:	December 28, 2024 / 12:35 am/ 1918 S Rockwell St and 2604 W Cullerton St., Chicago, IL 60608
Date/Time of COPA Notification:	January 3, 2025 / 10:09 am
Involved Member #1:	Ryan Luzin, star #17586, employee ID# [REDACTED] Date of Appointment: April 25, 2022, Unit of Assignment: 010, Male, White Hispanic
Involved Member #2:	Saul Garcia, star #11721, employee ID# [REDACTED] Date of Appointment: February 28, 2022, Unit of Assignment: 010, Male, White Hispanic
Involved Member #3:	Shawn Bryant, star #4140, employee ID# [REDACTED] Date of Assignment: February 19, 2013, Unit of Assignment: 010, Male, White
Involved Individual #1:	[REDACTED] Male, Hispanic

Applicable Rules

- Rule 2:** Any action or conduct which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department.
- Rule 3:** Any failure to promote the Department's efforts to implement its policy or accomplish its goals.
- Rule 5:** Failure to perform any duty.
- Rule 6:** Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral.
- Rule 8:** Disrespect to or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty.
- Rule 9:** Engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person, while on or off duty.
- Rule 10:** Inattention to duty.
- Rule 14:** Making a false report, written or oral.
- Rule 38:** Unlawful or unnecessary use or display of a weapon.

Applicable Policies and Laws

- General Order G02-01: Protection of Human Rights (effective June 30, 2022 to April 30, 2026)
- Special Order S04-13-09: Investigatory Stop System (effective July 10, 2017 to February 2, 2026)
- Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department (effective April 16, 2015, to present).

Appendix B

Definition of COPA’s Findings and Standards of Proof

For each Allegation, COPA must make one of the following findings:

1. Sustained – where it is determined the allegation is supported by a preponderance of the evidence;
2. Not Sustained – where it is determined there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations by a preponderance of the evidence;
3. Unfounded – where it is determined by clear and convincing evidence that an allegation is false or not factual; or
4. Exonerated – where it is determined by clear and convincing evidence that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

A **preponderance of evidence** can be described as evidence indicating that it is **more likely than not** that a proposition is proved.⁵⁶ For example, if the evidence gathered in an investigation establishes that it is more likely that the conduct complied with CPD policy than that it did not, even if by a narrow margin, then the preponderance of the evidence standard is met.

Clear and convincing evidence is a higher standard than a preponderance of the evidence but lower than the “beyond-a-reasonable doubt” standard required to convict a person of a criminal offense. Clear and convincing can be defined as a “degree of proof, which, considering all the evidence in the case, produces the firm and abiding belief that it is highly probable that the proposition . . . is true.”⁵⁷

⁵⁶ See *Avery v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co.*, 216 Ill. 2d 100, 191 (2005) (a proposition is proved by a preponderance of the evidence when it is found to be more probably true than not).

⁵⁷ *People v. Coan*, 2016 IL App (2d) 151036, ¶ 28 (quoting Illinois Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal, No. 4.19 (4th ed. 2000)).

Appendix C**Transparency and Publication Categories**

Check all that apply:

- Abuse of Authority
- Body Worn Camera Violation
- Coercion
- Death or Serious Bodily Injury in Custody
- Domestic Violence
- Excessive Force
- Failure to Report Misconduct
- False Statement
- Firearm Discharge
- Firearm Discharge – Animal
- Firearm Discharge – Suicide
- Firearm Discharge – Unintentional
- First Amendment
- Improper Search and Seizure – Fourth Amendment Violation
- Incidents in Lockup
- Motor Vehicle Incidents
- OC Spray Discharge
- Search Warrants
- Sexual Misconduct
- Taser Discharge
- Unlawful Denial of Access to Counsel
- Unnecessary Display of a Weapon
- Use of Deadly Force – other
- Verbal Abuse
- Other Investigation