



Log # 2024-2877

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT¹

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On March 12, 2024, the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA) received a web complaint from ██████████² reporting alleged misconduct by members of the Chicago Police Department (CPD). The complaint alleged that on March 4, 2024, several CPD members physically abused and falsely arrested ██████████³ Upon review of the evidence, COPA served an allegation that Officer Keith Crot used unprofessional language and profanities during his interaction with ██████████ COPA also alleged that Detective Samuel Flores⁴ used unprofessional language and profanities during his interaction with ██████████ who was a passenger in the vehicle ██████████ was driving. COPA served additional allegations that Detective Flores pushed ██████████ to the ground without justification, used excessive force during his handling of ██████████ while she was restrained, and failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR) regarding his contact with ██████████ Following the investigation, COPA reached sustained findings regarding Officer Crot and Detective Flores using unprofessional language and profanities.

II. SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE⁵

On March 4, 2024, at approximately 8:36 pm, Officer Crot and Detective Flores responded to a call of a reckless driver in the vicinity of the 63rd and Mulligan. Upon observing the vehicle, a green Ford Taurus, Officer Crot activated his emergency equipment to conduct a traffic stop. The driver of the Ford Taurus, now known as ██████████ failed to pull over and began to drive erratically, including crossing into oncoming traffic and driving with the rear passenger door open. ██████████ then slowed the speed of the Ford Taurus, and one of the rear passengers, now known as ██████████ exited the vehicle and fled on foot.⁶ Detective Flores exited the passenger side of his police

¹ Appendix A includes case identifiers such as the date, time, and location of the incident, the involved parties and their demographics, and the applicable rules and policies.

² Att. 47. ██████████ later told a COPA investigator that ██████████ is her son, and she had actually registered the complaint on his behalf. Attempts made to interview ██████████ were met with negative results. CMS Note CO-1450747.

³ One or more of these allegations fall within COPA's jurisdiction pursuant to Chicago Municipal Code § 2-78-120. Therefore, COPA determined it would be the primary investigative agency in this matter. Upon reviewing the evidence, COPA determined there was insufficient objective verifiable evidence that CPD members used force against ██████████ or arrested ██████████ without justification.

⁴ Officer Samuel Flores was promoted to detective on December 1, 2024.

⁵ The following is a summary of what COPA finds most likely occurred during this incident. This summary utilized information from several different sources, including both accused CPD member interviews, in car camera footage, BWC footage, CPD reports, and photographs.

⁶ Att. 18 at 3:27.

vehicle and pursued █████ on foot. Officer Crot continued to pursue the Ford Taurus until the vehicle crashed into a garage at 6458 W. 60th Street.⁷

After the Ford Taurus crashed, Officer Crot exited his police vehicle with his firearm drawn, and he gave the occupants of the Ford Taurus directions to show their hands.⁸ Officer Crot directed █████ to exit the Ford Taurus.⁹ █████ informed Officer Crot that he was stuck inside the vehicle. Officer Crot then stated words to the effect of, “I will fucking shoot you. Don’t fucking move, do not fucking move. Lift your god damn shirt now with your right hand. Lift up your shirt with your right hand... Get the fuck out...”¹⁰ Officer Crot assisted █████ out of the vehicle without incident. █████ was handcuffed and escorted to a marked CPD vehicle and transported to the district for processing.¹¹

As this occurred, Detective Flores was engaged in the foot pursuit of █████¹² Detective Flores directed █████ to stop and put her hands up, and he warned, “I’m gonna fucking shoot you.”¹³ █████ eventually ran into an unlit gangway. Detective Flores shined his flashlight into the gangway and stated words to the effect of, “Let me see your fucking hands. Get on the fucking ground.”¹⁴ As Detective Flores approached █████ he extended his left arm as if to grab her.¹⁵ █████ instead, fell backwards to the ground. Detective Flores handcuffed █████ then escorted her out of the gangway and onto the sidewalk.¹⁶ As █████ complained that she was cooperating and Detective Flores was being aggressive, Detective Flores repeatedly yelled at her to “shut up.”¹⁷ He lifted █████ arms upward, causing █████ to drop back onto the ground.¹⁸ Detective Flores then conducted a pat down of █████ person. As they waited for backup to arrive, █████ complained to nearby residents, and Detective Flores told them, “Don’t listen to this idiot. He’s in police custody. Stop talking to him.”¹⁹ When additional marked vehicles arrived, Detective Flores held the handcuffs and raised █████ arms up as he escorted her to the marked vehicle. █████ complained that Detective Flores was hurting her.²⁰ █████ was placed inside the marked vehicle without further incident.

In his statement to COPA, Officer Crot related that on the date and time of the incident, he and Detective Flores were driving in the vicinity of the incident when they received a call of a

⁷ Att. 18 at 2:54 to 4:47; Att. 19 at 3:20.

⁸ Att. 19 at 3:25 to 3:37.

⁹ Att. 19 at 4:35.

¹⁰ Att. 19 at 4:46.

¹¹ Att. 19 at 3:18 to 6:40.

¹² Att. 20 at 1:30 to 2:42.

¹³ Att. 20 at 2:30.

¹⁴ Att. 20 at 2:44.

¹⁵ Att. 20 at 2:50.

¹⁶ Att. 20 at 3:01 to 3:40.

¹⁷ See, e.g., Att. 20 at 3:52. Detective Flores told █████ to shut up at least ten times during their interaction. See Att. 20 at 2:30 to 8:35.

¹⁸ Att. 20 at 3:55.

¹⁹ Att. 20 at 6:00.

²⁰ Att. 20 at 8:22 to 8:42.

suspicious vehicle.²¹ They observed the suspicious vehicle (the Ford Taurus), and Officer Crot activated his emergency lights.²² The Ford Taurus continued driving and Officer Crot followed the vehicle. Officer Crot observed the rear passenger on the driver's side exit the Ford Taurus and flee on foot southbound across 63rd Street, while holding their side.²³ Detective Flores exited the police vehicle and pursued the rear passenger on foot. Officer Crot continued to follow the Ford Taurus, which then turned and crashed into a garage.

The front passenger exited the Ford Taurus, holding his side, and hopped a fence.²⁴ Officer Crot observed three people sitting inside the Ford Taurus. Officer Crot stated that the driver, now identified as ██████ was moving and reaching around.²⁵ Officer Crot directed ██████ to stop moving around and assisted him out of the Ford Taurus. Officer Crot stated that he was concerned there was a firearm inside the vehicle.²⁶ Upon inquiry, Officer Crot added that ██████ actions of moving around, not seeing his hands, the nature of the call, and two people fleeing, made him feel nervous that there was contraband in the Ford Taurus.²⁷ Officer Crot related that although he used profanities, he did not believe his language was unprofessional or derogatory towards the occupants of the Ford Taurus. Officer Crot explained that he was trying to show them the severity of the situation and gain their compliance.²⁸ Officer Crot used various examples of speaking to civilians, depicting that professional language is situational.

In his statement to COPA, Detective Flores essentially related the same information as Officer Crot. Detective Flores added that after observing the rear driver's seat passenger exit the Ford Taurus, he pursued that individual, now known as ██████ on foot. During the foot pursuit, Detective Flores observed ██████ making reaching movements, attempting to grab something from her side.²⁹ Detective Flores chased ██████ for approximately a block-and-a-half to two blocks.³⁰ ██████ jumped a couple of fences before running into a dark gangway. Detective Flores gave ██████ directions to show her hands and get on the ground, but ██████ did not comply.³¹ Detective Flores told COPA that when he attempted to grab ██████ near her hoodie area, she went down to the ground.³² At that point, Detective Flores was able to place ██████ in custody and request assistance.

Detective Flores denied all allegations made against him. He admitted to using profanities during his interaction with ██████ but denied it was unprofessional. He explained that he used profanities so that ██████ would understand the seriousness of his instructions and the gravity of the situation.³³ Detective Flores also denied using excessive force during his handling of ██████

²¹ Att. 33, pg. 6, ln. 18.

²² Att. 33, pg. 7, lns. 6 to 11.

²³ Att. 33, pg. 7, lns. 20 to 22.

²⁴ Att. 33, pg. 8, lns. 14 to 17.

²⁵ Att. 33, pg. 9, lns. 1 to 8.

²⁶ Att. 33, pg. 11, lns. 8 to 9.

²⁷ Att. 33 pg. 11, lns. 18 to 21.

²⁸ Att. 33, pg. 24, lns. 24 to pg. 25, lns. 1 to 3.

²⁹ Att. 34, pg. 8, lns. 21 to 23.

³⁰ Att. 34, pg. 8, lns. 19 to 23.

³¹ Att. 34, pg. 9, lns. 5 to 11.

³² Att. 34, pg. 9, lns. 12 to 15.

³³ Att. 34, pg. 14, lns. 15 to 18.

while she was restrained. He explained that, after [REDACTED] was handcuffed, she was pulling back and only halfway compliant.³⁴ He escorted [REDACTED] by the handcuffs as a control hold, because she was an active resister.³⁵ Additionally, Detective Flores denied that he failed to complete a TRR, stating that he did not believe his actions warranted a TRR. He told COPA that both times [REDACTED] was on the ground “was of her doing rather than my actions.”³⁶

III. ALLEGATIONS

Officer Keith Crot

1. Using unprofessional language and profanities during your interaction with [REDACTED]
 - Sustained, Violation of Rules 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9

Detective Samuel Flores

1. Using unprofessional language and profanities during your interaction with [REDACTED]
 - Sustained, Violation of Rules 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9
2. Pushing [REDACTED] to the ground without justification.
 - Not Sustained.
3. Using excessive force during your handling of [REDACTED] while she was restrained.
 - Not Sustained.
4. Failing to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR) regarding your contact with [REDACTED]
 - Not Sustained.

IV. CREDIBILITY ASSESSMENT

The credibility of an individual relies primarily on two factors: 1) the individual’s truthfulness and 2) the reliability of the individual’s account. The first factor addresses the honesty of the individual making the statement, while the second factor speaks to the individual’s ability to accurately perceive the event at the time of the incident and then accurately recall the event from memory. In this case, Officer Crot’s and Detective Flores’ statements were consistent with the information related in CPD reports and captured on BWC footage.

COPA cannot fully assess the credibility of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] as they failed to cooperate with this investigation, despite numerous attempts to contact them.³⁷ It should be noted that [REDACTED] was not the origin of the complaint to COPA. [REDACTED] mother, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] submitted the complaint on behalf of her son, [REDACTED].³⁸ [REDACTED] was not present when the incident occurred. [REDACTED] mother of [REDACTED] was also contacted and related that her daughter exited the vehicle and ran from officers.³⁹ Finally, the BWC of Officer Crot captured an interview with [REDACTED] who was the owner of the Ford Taurus driven by [REDACTED]

³⁴ Att. 34, pg. 31, lns. 1 to 8.

³⁵ Att. 34, pg. 36, lns. 19 to 21.

³⁶ Att. 34, pg. 34, lns. 1 to 3.

³⁷ CMS notes: CO-1387086, 1387105, 1387743, 1450747, 1450766, 1451865.

³⁸ CMS notes: CO-1450747.

³⁹ CMS notes: CO-1456645.

informed Officer Crot that she was the individual who called 911 to report the erratic driving, and that she felt unsafe the entire time. ██████████ related that she told ██████████ that she wanted her vehicle back, but ██████████ refused her request to stop driving. ██████████ informed her that he put \$100 into the gas tank, and that he was going to drive his money's worth of gas.⁴⁰

V. ANALYSIS⁴¹

COPA finds Allegation #1 against Officer Crot and Detective Flores, that they used unprofessional language and profanities during their interactions with ██████████ and ██████████ is **sustained**. CPD Rule 9 prohibits members from engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person, while on or off duty. Additionally, CPD policy requires that its members “interact with all members of the public in an unbiased, fair, and respectful manner; treat all persons with the courtesy and dignity which is inherently due every person as a human being; [and] act, speak, and conduct themselves in a courteous, respectful, and professional manner.”⁴² CPD members may not exhibit a condescending attitude or direct any derogatory terms towards any person in any manner, and they may not use language intended to taunt or denigrate an individual, including using racist or derogatory language.⁴³

Officer Crot denied using unprofessional language but admitted to using profanities. During his statement to COPA, Officer Crot related that he does not always use profanities during his interactions with civilians. However, during this incident, the level of security was heightened because the Ford Taurus failed to stop when the officers activated their emergency equipment, and the vehicle subsequently crashed into a garage. Officer Crot gave verbal orders to see the hands of the occupants, but they did not comply. Officer Crot became nervous and wanted to display the severity of the situation to gain the occupants' compliance. BWC footage captured him direct multiple threats and profanities towards the vehicle occupants, including, “I will fucking shoot you,” “Don't fucking move,” “Lift your goddamn shirt now,” and “Get the fuck out.”⁴⁴ Additionally, at one point, Officer Crot raised his voice to the OEMC dispatcher and stated, “Squad, just give us a goddamn minute.”⁴⁵ COPA acknowledges that Officer Crot received positive results from the vehicle occupants after he used profanities, but this does not absolve him of the responsibility to comply with CPD rules and policies regarding professionalism. Therefore, this allegation against Officer Crot is sustained as a violation of Rules 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9.

Detective Flores also admitted to using profanities but denied that he used unprofessional language. BWC footage captured Detective Flores telling ██████████ “I'm gonna fucking shoot you,” “Let me see your fucking hands,” and “Get on the fucking ground.”⁴⁶ Detective Flores explained

⁴⁰ Att. 19 at 34:15 to 42:00.

⁴¹ For a definition of COPA's findings and standards of proof, *see* Appendix B.

⁴² Att. 51, G02-01(III)(B)(1)-(3), Protection of Human Rights (effective June 30, 2022, to present).

⁴³ Att. 51, G02-01(III)(B)(4).

⁴⁴ Att. 19 at 4:30 to 8:22. Officer Crot admitted to COPA that he made each of these comments. Att. 33, pgs. 18 to 20.

⁴⁵ Att. 19 at 6:46.

⁴⁶ Att. 20 at 2:30 to 2:44. Detective Flores admitted to COPA that he made each of these comments. Att. 34, pgs. 14 and 27 to 28.

that he used the word “fuck” to convey the gravity of the situation to ██████⁴⁷ He told COPA that it was a form of de-escalation, and he did not use profanities in a derogatory or insulting way towards ██████⁴⁸ However, the BWC footage also captured Detective Flores engage in a prolonged and unnecessary verbal exchange with ██████ after she was in custody and awaiting transport. He yelled at ██████ to “shut up” at least ten times, including in response to ██████ complaints of pain.⁴⁹ Additionally, Detective Flores told a nearby resident, “Don’t listen to this idiot,” in reference to ██████⁵⁰ The evidence shows that Detective Flores used disrespectful, condescending, and unprofessional language in violation of CPD policy. Therefore, COPA finds this allegation against Detective Flores is sustained as a violation of Rules 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9.

COPA finds Allegations #2 – 4 against Detective Flores, that he pushed ██████ to the ground without justification, used excessive force during his handling of ██████ while she was restrained, and failed to complete a TRR regarding his contact with ██████ are **not sustained**. CPD policy defines force as any physical contact by a CPD member, either directly or through the use of equipment, to compel a person’s compliance.⁵¹ CPD members may only use force that is objectively reasonable, necessary, and proportional, including using the minimum amount of force needed under the circumstances.⁵²

During his COPA statement, Detective Flores denied pushing ██████ to the ground. Detective Flores related that when he tried to grab ██████ she fell to the ground. He explained that ██████ may have fallen due to the momentum of him grabbing her at the same time she was turning around.⁵³ Detective Flores added that if he had pushed ██████ to the ground, he would have completed a TRR regarding his contact with ██████⁵⁴ COPA reviewed the available BWC footage; however, it does not clearly show whether Detective Flores pushed ██████ The video captures Detective Flores extending his left arm toward ██████ as if to grab her, but it does not show whether he used sufficient force to push her.⁵⁵ Additionally, while ██████ was captured on BWC alleging that Detective Flores had pushed her, COPA was unable to obtain her statement. For these reasons, COPA lacks sufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation that Detective Flores pushed ██████ or that he was required to complete a TRR documenting the push.

Detective Flores also denied using excessive force during his handling of ██████ while she was restrained. Detective Flores explained that he escorted ██████ by the handcuffs and pushed her forward as a control hold, because ██████ was pulling back and “using friction” to resist walking to

⁴⁷ Att. 34, pg. 14, lns. 10 to 18.

⁴⁸ Att. 34, pg. 34, lns. 7 to 11.

⁴⁹ Att. 20 at 2:30 to 8:35.

⁵⁰ Att. 20 at 6:00.

⁵¹ Att. 48, G03-02 III(A), De-escalation, Response to Resistance, and Use of Force (effective June 28, 2023, to present).

⁵² Att. 49, G03-02-01 II(C)(1), Response to Resistance and Force Options (effective June 28, 2023, to present).

⁵³ Att. 34, pg. 17, lns. 17 to pg. 28, ln. 2.

⁵⁴ Att. 34, pg. 26, lns. 21 to 24 and pg. 24, lns. 1 to 8.

⁵⁵ Att. 20 at 2:50.

the transport vehicle.⁵⁶ Detective Flores added that [REDACTED] was physically bigger than him and that escorting her by the handcuffs provided a little more control.⁵⁷ The BWC footage corroborates Detective Flores' account of what occurred. However, it also captured [REDACTED] yelling out in pain and complaining that Detective Flores was hurting her, and the detective responding by telling [REDACTED] to "shut up."⁵⁸ Based on the available evidence, COPA lacks clear and convincing evidence that Detective Flores' actions were necessary to maintain control of [REDACTED]. Therefore, this allegation is not sustained.

VI. DISCIPLINARY RECOMMENDATION

a. Officer Keith Crot

i. Complimentary and Disciplinary History⁵⁹

Officer Crot has been with CPD since July 2, 2012. In that time, he has received 139 awards, including one Arnold Mireles Special Partnership Award, one Superintendent's Award of Tactical Excellence, one Superintendent's Honorable Mention Certificate, ten Department Commendations, one Problem Solving Award, and one Honorable Mention Ribbon Award. In the last five years, Officer Crot has not received any sustained complaints. He has one recent SPAR, for a preventable accident in August 2025, for which he received a reprimand.

ii. Recommended Discipline

COPA has found that Officer Crot violated Rules 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9 when he used profanities and unprofessional language during his interaction with [REDACTED]. In mitigation, COPA recognizes Officer Crot's exemplary award history and minimal disciplinary history. Additionally, Officer Crot admitted to using profanities during this incident, and he credibly explained that his language was intended to gain [REDACTED] compliance. However, Officer Crot also used unprofessional language over the radio, telling the OEMC dispatcher to "give us a goddamn minute." For these reasons, COPA recommends that Officer Crot receive a **reprimand** and **retraining** regarding the standards of professionalism expected of a CPD member.

b. Detective Samuel Flores

i. Complimentary and Disciplinary History⁶⁰

Detective Flores has been with CPD since November 25, 2013. In that time, he has received 134 awards, including one Arnold Mireles Special Partnership Award, two Complimentary Letters, one Superintendent's Honorable Mention Certificate, seven Department

⁵⁶ Att. 34, pg. 31, ln. 1 to 8, pg. 32, ln. 2 to 9.

⁵⁷ Att. 34, pg. 33, lns. 4 to 18.

⁵⁸ Att. 20 at 8:20.

⁵⁹ Att. 53.

⁶⁰ Att. 52.

Commendations, one Problem Solving Award, and one Honorable Mention Ribbon Award. In the last five years, Detective Flores has not received any sustained complaints. He has one recent SPAR, for failing to perform assigned tasks in July 2025, for which he received a reprimand.

ii. Recommended Discipline

COPA has found that Detective Flores violated Rules 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9 when he used profanities and unprofessional language during his interaction with [REDACTED]. In mitigation, COPA recognizes Detective Flores' exemplary award history and minimal disciplinary history. Additionally, Detective Flores admitted to using profanities during this incident, and he credibly explained that his language was intended to gain [REDACTED] compliance. However, Detective Flores continued to engage in a verbal back-and-forth with [REDACTED] after she was in custody and awaiting transport, including calling [REDACTED] an "idiot" and repeatedly yelling at her to "shut up." Detective Flores' language was disrespectful, condescending, and unprofessional. For these reasons, COPA recommends that Detective Flores receive a **reprimand** and **retraining** regarding the standards of professionalism expected of a CPD member.

Approved:

[REDACTED]

Steffany Hreno
Acting Deputy Chief Administrator

12/17/2025

Date

Appendix ACase Details

Date/Time/Location of Incident:	March 4, 2024/ 8:36 pm/ 6458 W. 60 th Street, Chicago, IL 60638 & March 4, 2024/ 8:41 pm/ 6518 W. 63 rd Place, Chicago, IL 60638
Date/Time of COPA Notification:	March 12, 2024/ 12:48 pm
Involved Member #1:	Officer Keith Crot/ Star # 11624/ Employee ID# [REDACTED] DOA: July 2, 2012/ Unit: 008/ Male/ White
Involved Member #2:	Detective Samuel Flores/ Star #17305/ Employee ID# [REDACTED] DOA: November 25, 2013/ Unit: 610 / Male/ Hispanic
Involved Individual #1:	[REDACTED] Male / Black
Involved Individual #2:	[REDACTED] Female / Black

Applicable Rules

- Rule 2:** Any action or conduct which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department.
- Rule 3:** Any failure to promote the Department's efforts to implement its policy or accomplish its goals.
- Rule 5:** Failure to perform any duty.
- Rule 6:** Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral.
- Rule 8:** Disrespect to or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty.
- Rule 9:** Engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person, while on or off duty.
- Rule 10:** Inattention to duty.
- Rule 14:** Making a false report, written or oral.
- Rule 38:** Unlawful or unnecessary use or display of a weapon.

Applicable Policies and Laws

- G02-01, Protection of Human Rights (effective June 30, 2022, to present).
- G03-02, De-escalation, Response to Resistance, and Use of Force (effective June 28, 2023, to present).
- G03-02-01, Response to Resistance and Force Options (effective June 28, 2023, to present).
- G03-02-02, Incidents Requiring the Completion of a Tactical Response Report (effective June 28, 2023, to present).

Appendix B

Definition of COPA’s Findings and Standards of Proof

For each Allegation, COPA must make one of the following findings:

1. Sustained – where it is determined the allegation is supported by a preponderance of the evidence;
2. Not Sustained – where it is determined there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegation by a preponderance of the evidence;
3. Unfounded – where it is determined by clear and convincing evidence that an allegation is false or not factual; or
4. Exonerated – where it is determined by clear and convincing evidence that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

A **preponderance of evidence** can be described as evidence indicating that it is **more likely than not** that a proposition is proved.⁶¹ For example, if the evidence gathered in an investigation establishes that it is more likely that the conduct complied with CPD policy than that it did not, even if by a narrow margin, then the preponderance of the evidence standard is met.

Clear and convincing evidence is a higher standard than a preponderance of the evidence but lower than the “beyond-a-reasonable doubt” standard required to convict a person of a criminal offense. Clear and convincing can be defined as a “degree of proof, which, considering all the evidence in the case, produces the firm and abiding belief that it is highly probable that the proposition . . . is true.”⁶²

⁶¹ See *Avery v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co.*, 216 Ill. 2d 100, 191 (2005) (a proposition is proved by a preponderance of the evidence when it is found to be more probably true than not).

⁶² *People v. Coan*, 2016 IL App (2d) 151036, ¶ 28 (quoting Illinois Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal, No. 4.19 (4th ed. 2000)).

Appendix C**Transparency and Publication Categories**

Check all that apply:

- Abuse of Authority
- Body Worn Camera Violation
- Coercion
- Death or Serious Bodily Injury in Custody
- Domestic Violence
- Excessive Force
- Failure to Report Misconduct
- False Statement
- Firearm Discharge
- Firearm Discharge – Animal
- Firearm Discharge – Suicide
- Firearm Discharge – Unintentional
- First Amendment
- Improper Search and Seizure – Fourth Amendment Violation
- Incidents in Lockup
- Motor Vehicle Incidents
- OC Spray Discharge
- Search Warrants
- Sexual Misconduct
- Taser Discharge
- Unlawful Denial of Access to Counsel
- Unnecessary Display of a Weapon
- Use of Deadly Force – other
- Verbal Abuse
- Other Investigation