SUMMARY REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

Date/Time/Location of Incident:		December 5, 2019, 10:50 a.m., 1352 W 109th Place	
Date/Time of COPA Notification:		December 9, 2019, 7:52 p.m.	
Involved Officer #1:		Michael Collins, star #14288, employee ID# Date of Appointment: July 28, 2008, PO, Unit 191/022, DOB: 1983, Male, White	
Involved Individual #1:		DOB: , 1955, Female, Black	
Involved Individual #2:		DOB: , 1988, Male, Black	
Case Type:		04B – Arrest, Improper, Procedures	
I. ALLEGATION	NS	I.	
Officer	Allegation	on	Finding
Officer Michael Collins	near 135 star #142 following	that on or about er 5, 2019, at approximately 10:50 a.m., at or 2 West 109th Place, Officer Michael Collins, 288 committed misconduct through the g acts or omissions, by entering residence without justification.	Exonerated
II. SUMMARY O		ENCE ¹ ted officers came to her house multiple time	es startino ir
officers came to her house	ner nephev ner televis e. Accordi		ccusation by a er house wher equest to stop
and officer witnesses, and the col COPA's ongoing efforts to increa	llection and ase case closenvestigation	tigation of this matter, including the interview of all per review of digital, documentary, and forensic evidence. sure capacity, certain investigations are summarized mon, pursuant to COPA Guideline Modified Summary Reptive February 13, 2019.	As part of ore succinctly in
admitted III liste arrest at her address. Additionally	ed her addre y, according	her because she told the police did not reside wites, he received his disability checks at her address, and to Department Reports, on October 10, 2019, Kiara Watat stole her television, providing officers probable cause	he had a prior alker identified

Officer Michael Collins (Officer Collins) pushed his way inside the house over protests that the officers did not have a search warrant.³ Body Worn Camera (BWC) shows the front door is open and standing in the threshold. Initially, prevents Officer Collins from entering the house by extending his arm with his palm out, while stating it is his mother's house and he is trying to figure out what is happening. Officer Collins says he needs to talk to him, and identifies himself. backward inside the house, moves to the side and holds onto the front door. Officer Collins steps inside the house explaining he spoke to last week about this situation. speaking with the officers, and again, tells the officers they have the wrong house, and he wants to understand why they came inside uninvited.⁴ In his statement to COPA, Officer Collins stated, he remained outside when was at the door with this arm out. However, Officer Collins believed gave him nonverbal consent to enter the residence when pened the door and took a step back.⁵ Additionally, Officer Collins stated, and BWC shows, no one told him to get out or leave. Officer Collins explained he stepped into the house in order to keep everyone, including himself comfortable and avoid a physical encounter. Additional officers arrive, confirm identity, place him into custody and transport him to the police station without incident.⁸ After, staken into custody, Sergeant Martin Murphy explains to why the officers were there and why

III. LEGAL STANDARD

For each Allegation COPA must make one of the following findings:

1. <u>Sustained</u> - where it is determined the allegation is supported by a preponderance of the evidence;

into custody. I made no complaint of the officers' conduct or entering her house.

- 2. <u>Not Sustained</u> where it is determined there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations by a preponderance of the evidence;
- 3. <u>Unfounded</u> where it is determined by clear and convincing evidence that an allegation is false or not factual; or
- 4. Exonerated where it is determined by clear and convincing evidence that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

⁴ Attachment 19.

2

³ Attachment 4.

⁵ Attachment 36.

⁶ Attachments 19, 36.

⁷ Attachment 36. Officer Collins stated he knew had a violent background, where had been arrested for UUW (Unlawful Use of a Weapon), assault of police officers and burglary, so he wanted control the situation until more officers arrived to avoid escalating the situation and having to go hands on.

⁸ Attachments 4, 19-29.

⁹ Attachment 29.

A preponderance of evidence can be described as evidence indicating that it is more likely than **not** that the conduct occurred and violated Department policy. *See Avery v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co.*, 216 Ill. 2d 100, 191 (2005), (a proposition is proved by a preponderance of the evidence when it has found to be more probably true than not). If the evidence gathered in an investigation establishes that it is more likely that the misconduct occurred, even if by a narrow margin, then the preponderance of the evidence standard is met.

Clear and convincing evidence is a higher standard than a preponderance of the evidence but lower than the "beyond-a-reasonable doubt" standard required to convict a person of a criminal offense. See *e.g.*, *People v. Coan*, 2016 IL App (2d) 151036 (2016). Clear and Convincing can be defined as a "degree of proof, which, considering all the evidence in the case, produces the firm and abiding belief that it is highly probable that the proposition . . . is true." *Id.* at ¶ 28.

IV. ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

COPA finds the allegation that Officer Collins entered residence without justification is **Exonerated**. The fourth amendment bars warrantless and nonconsensual arrests in the home, absent exigent circumstances. However, it has not been explicitly defined what words or conduct constitutes consent to enter the home. In *People v. Henderson*, the Supreme Court held, when determining whether consent was given, the circumstances must have been such that the police could have reasonably believed they had been given consent to enter. 12

Here, after explicitly preventing Officer Collins from entering the residence, back and moved to the side allowing Officer Collins to step inside. COPA finds it was reasonable for Officer Collins to believe conduct was a nonverbal invitation to enter. Furthermore, while a failure to object is not considered consent, in this case, it was reasonable for Officer Collins to believe provided consent when did not tell him to leave. Therefore, the evidence clearly establishes Officer Collins had consent to enter Perkin's residence, and this allegation is **Exonerated**.

Approved:	_	
	10/14/20	
James Murphy-Aguilu	Date	
Deputy Chief Administrator – Chief Investig	gator	

¹⁰ Payton v. New York (1980), 445 U.S. 573, 100 S.Ct. 1371, 63 L.Ed.2d 639.

¹¹ "(Compare *People v. Bean* (1981), 84 III.2d 64, 69-70, 48 III.Dec. 876, 417 N.E.2d 608 (defendant's mother expressly invited police to enter and they did not need to get consent to advance past threshold and enter another room), with *People v. White* (1987), 117 III.2d 194, 221, 111 III.Dec. 288, 512 N.E.2d 677 (no consent when police pushed past man who opened front door without requesting his permission to enter).)" *People v. Henderson*, 568 NE 2d at 1254.

¹² *Id.* At 1254. (the defendant's mother's conduct constituted consent when she stepped back from the open door and pointed to the defendant's bedroom).

Appendix A

Assigned Investigative Staff

Squad#: Six

Major Case Specialist: Elizabeth Brett

Supervising Investigator: Elaine Tarver

Deputy Chief Administrator: James Murphy-Aguilu