## SUMMARY REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

## I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

D ( CI 11 )	Ι,	1 25 2010		
Date of Incident:	1	November 25, 2018		
Time of Incident:	7	7:40 PM		
Location of Incident:	I			
Date of COPA Notification:		November 25, 2018		
Time of COPA Notification:		11:05 PM		
") called the po home. Chicago Police complained to a sergeant her home.	Department that Office decline ficer Ruiz f	the complainant, ported an attempted sexual assault by heat ("CPD") members responded, and Eric Ruiz ("Officer Ruiz") was inappled to provide COPA with an interview failed to turn on his Body Worn Camera	d copriate and searched or a sworn affidavit.	
Involved Officer #1:		Eric Ruiz, Star #7550, Employee ID # Date of Appointment: August 26, 2002, Police Officer, 14 <sup>th</sup> District, Date of Birth: 1970, Male, Hispanic		
Involved Individual #1:		Female, White, Date of Birth: 1989,		
III. ALLEGATIO	ONS			
Officer	Allegatio	n	Finding / Recommendation	
Officer Ruiz	approxim  1. Failed	eged that November 25, 2018, at ately 7:50 PM, at or near , you:  to activate your Body Worn Camera ystem to event mode at the beginning	Sustained	
of the in		,		

#### IV. APPLICABLE RULES AND LAWS

### Rules

- 1. Rule 2: Prohibits any action or conduct which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department.
- 2. **Rule 6**: Prohibits disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral.

**Special Orders** 

1. S03-14: Body Worn Cameras.

#### V. INVESTIGATION 1

a. Interviews

COPA made multiple attempts to contact	and conduct an interview.	
declined to participate.		

## Officer Eric Ruiz<sup>3</sup>

COPA interviewed Officer Ruiz on December 10, 2019. On November 25, 2018, Officer Ruiz did not have a partner and responded to . Officer Ruiz heard via Dispatch that a boyfriend kicked in a door and had a pistol, and he believed it was originally a robbery call. Officer Ruiz related that he when he heard the robbery call, he wanted to hurry to the location as quickly as possible and, due to adrenaline, did not turn on his BWC. When asked why he began recording at about 8:00 PM, Officer Ruiz related he had realized it was off. Officer Ruiz stated it was possible that he began recording on BWC because pointed out he was not. Officer Ruiz speculated he may have been in her apartment for about one minute before he turned on his BWC. Officer Ruiz stated that when he arrived in the apartment, he first began looking for evidence. Officer Ruiz added that when he began looking, upset and asked Officer Ruiz to leave her dwelling. Officer Ruiz complied and went into the hallway.

### **Digital Evidence**

Body Worn Camera<sup>4</sup>

### Officer Christopher Elliott ("Officer Elliott")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> COPA conducted a thorough and complete investigation. The following is a summary of the material evidence gathered and relied upon in our analysis. <sup>2</sup> Att. 31

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Att. 26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Att. 18

Officer Elliott's BWC initiated at approximately 7:57 PM. Officer Ruiz was inside
's apartment when Officer Elliot arrived. told Officer Ruiz that she
was in fear and she believed her alleged assailant, ("""), was nearby.
became frustrated with Officer Ruiz, did not think he was doing his job, and
asked for a sergeant. At roughly 8:02 PM, Officer Elliott spoke to sergeant on the phone and related
was unhappy with the police service. complained that Officer
Ruiz entered her dwelling with no warrant.    then asked Officer Ruiz to leave.
and the officers proceeded downstairs to the apartment building's foyer. At
roughly 8:04 PM, Officer Elliot went on the radio with a flash message of a secription.
Officer Elliott went outside at approximately 8:06 PM and terminated his BWC.
Officer Ruiz
Officer Ruiz's BWC began at about 8:00 PM, while inside 's kitchen.
voiced her displeasure with Officer Ruiz's behavior, then gave Officer Elliott a
description of and details of what occurred. At about 8:02 PM, Officer Ruiz began
walking towards the rear of the apartment, when stated that, "You don't have a
stated that, Tou don't have a

right to search my premises. So, can you please exit until the sergeant comes here. You don't have

asked Officer Ruiz to leave until the sergeant responded. then escorted the officers down to the building's foyer and accused the officers of

continued telling the officers about the alleged attack, while

#### VI. LEGAL STANDARD

a warrant."5

lacking sensitivity.

Officer Elliott gave

For each Allegation COPA must make one of the following findings:

's description over the radio.

- Sustained where it is determined the allegation is supported by a preponderance of the 1. evidence;
- Not Sustained where it is determined there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations by a preponderance of the evidence;
- Unfounded where it is determined by clear and convincing evidence that an allegation is false or not factual: or
- Exonerated where it is determined by clear and convincing evidence that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

A preponderance of evidence can be described as evidence indicating that it is more likely than not that the conduct occurred and violated Department policy. See Avery v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co., 216 III. 2d 100, 191 (2005), (a proposition is proved by a preponderance of the evidence when it has found to be more probably true than not). If the evidence gathered in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Approximately 2:48 minute mark of Officer Ruiz's BWC.

an investigation establishes that it is more likely that the misconduct occurred, even if by a narrow margin, then the preponderance of the evidence standard is met.

Clear and convincing evidence is a higher standard than a preponderance of the evidence but lower than the "beyond-a-reasonable doubt" standard required to convict a person of a criminal offense. See *e.g.*, *People v. Coan*, 2016 IL App (2d) 151036 (2016). Clear and Convincing can be defined as a "degree of proof, which, considering all the evidence in the case, produces the firm and abiding belief that it is highly probable that the proposition . . . is true." *Id.* at ¶ 28.

### VII. ANALYSIS

Allegation 1, that Officer Ruiz failed to activate his BWC system to event mode at the beginning of the incident, is Sustained. CPD Special Order S03-14 states that Department members, "will activate the system to event mode at the beginning of an incident and will record the entire incident for all law-enforcement-related activities," including, but not limited to, "calls for service," "statements made by individuals in the course of an investigation," and " any encounter with the public that becomes adversarial after the initial contact." Officer Ruiz was already inside \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 's home when Officer Elliott entered at roughly 7:58 PM. Officer Ruiz then turned on his BWC at about 8:00 PM, meaning he did not have BWC recording from as little as two minutes, to as long as seven minutes. Since Officer Ruiz failed to comply with S03-14, this allegation is Sustained.

With regards to the comments made to Sergeant Granias about Officer Ruiz's response and Officer Ruiz searching her apartment, declined to provide COPA with a sworn affidavit or a statement of events. Without this information from COPA lacked a sufficient bases to investigate these claims, as BWC does not independently show if they occurred.

### VIII. RECOMMENDED DISCIPLINE FOR SUSTAINED ALLEGATIONS

### a. Officer Ruiz

i. Complimentary and Disciplinary History: 2004 Crime Reduction Ribbon, 1; 2009 Crime Reduction Award, 1; Attendance Recognition Award, 5; Complimentary Letter, 5; Department Commendation, 4; Honorable Mention, 49; Life Saving Award, 2; NATO Summit Service Award, 1; Presidential Election Deployment Award 2008, 1; and a Unit Meritorious Performance Award, 1. No applicable disciplinary history within the past 5 years.

### ii. Recommended Penalty, by Allegation

1. **Allegation No. 1.** Failed to activate your Body Worn Camera (BWC) system to event mode at the beginning of the incident. Penalty: Violation Noted

## IX. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis set forth above, COPA makes the following findings:

Officer	Allegation	Finding / Recommendation
Officer Ruiz	It is alleged that November 25, 2018, at approximately 7:50 PM, at or near , you:  1. Failed to activate your Body Worn Camera (BWC) system to event mode at the beginning of the incident.	

Approved:

	February 25, 2020
Andrea Kersten  Deputy Chief Administrator – Chief Investigator	Date

# Appendix A

Assigned Investigative Staff

Squad#:	Three
Investigator:	Kelsey Fitzpatrick
<b>Supervising Investigator:</b>	Matthew Haynam
<b>Deputy Chief Administrator:</b>	Andrea Kersten