SUMMARY REPORT OF INVESTIGATION¹

Date/Time/Location of Incident: August 9, 2019/9:52 p.m./10330 S. Michigan Ave., Chicago, IL 60628 Date/Time of COPA Notification: August 23, 2019, 1:47 p.m. Accused Officer #1: Officer Anathea Smith, Star #19338, Employee ID Date of Appointment: November 24, 2014, Rank: Police Officer, Race: White, Gender: Female, Unit of Assignment: 005, DOB: 1983 Officer Androniki Ganczewski, Star #6177, Employee ID Accused Officer #2: Date of Appointment: March 26, 2001, Rank: Police Officer, Race: White, Gender: Female, Unit of Assignment: 005, DOB: Reporting Officer Sergeant Jesse Carreno, Star #2091, Employee # Date of Appointment: December 18, 2006, Rank: Sergeant, Race: Hispanic, Gender: Male, Unit of Assignment: 005, DOB: 1982 Allegation of Racial Profiling Case Type:

I. ALLEGATIONS

Officer	Allegation Fin	ding
Officer Anathea Smith	It is alleged that on August 9, 2019, at approximately 9:52 Officer Anathea Smith committed racial profiling when she pulled complainant over for speeding, because complainant maintains that he was not speeding.	Exonerated
Officer Androniki Ganczewski	It is alleged that on August 9, 2019, at approximately 9:52 Officer Androniki Ganczewski committed racial profiling when she pulled complainant over for speeding, because complainant maintains that he was not speeding.	Exonerated

¹ COPA conducted a full and complete investigation of this matter, including the interview of all pertinent civilian and officer witnesses, and the collection and review of digital, documentary, and forensic evidence. As part of COPA's ongoing efforts to increase case closure capacity, certain cases are summarized more succinctly in a Modified Summary Report of Investigation.

II. SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

The Complainant, maintains that he was a victim of racial profiling when police officers stopped him because they believed that he was speeding. explained that this was racial profiling, because he said that he was not speeding, and therefore, he is an African-American who was stopped for no reason.
On August 9, 2019, Officers Anathea Smith ("Officer Smith") and Androniki Ganczewski ("Officer Ganczewski") pulled over for speeding. The Body Worn Camera ("BWC") footage ² shows that when the officers pulled over, they immediately asked him why he was driving so fast. Immediately said that he was not speeding. He also said that he was driving right behind an unmarked police car and would not have been stupid enough to drive over the speed limit behind a police car.
The officers asked for his driver's license, and they took his license back to their car to run license number. When they saw that license was valid, they gave him back his license and told him that they did not need to see anything else from him, although was ready to hand them what appeared to be an insurance card. As they ended the encounter, Officer Smith told to be careful and to have a good night.
At that point, without explaining the reason, asked that a supervisor be called to the scene. The officers immediately called their supervisor, who was near them. When Sergeant Jesse Carreno ("Sergeant Carreno") arrived, he spoke to the officers who told him that they pulled over for speeding, but when they checked his license and found it to be valid, they did not write a ticket. When Sergeant Carreno asked them how fast was going, Officer Ganczewski said that was driving between 40 and 45 miles per hour.
Sergeant Carreno went to speak to and told him that he wanted to make a complaint, because he believed that he had been a victim of racial profiling. maintained that this was the case, because he was African-American, and while the officers told him that they pulled him over for speeding, he was not actually speeding. said that he was driving under 30 miles per hour. Therefore, believed that he had been pulled over for no reason. also said that the officer heard the roar of his engine and assumed he was speeding, but he was not speeding.
III. ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION
COPA has examined the relevant evidence in this case and has found a lack of evidence to support claim of racial profiling. In fact, the evidence supports that the officers conducted traffic stop based on their belief that as they followed he was driving 40 to 45 miles per hour, which was outside the speed limit. The mere fact that should have a first and is African-American and

2

² Atts. 12 and 13

CIVILIAN OFFICE OF POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY

believes that the officers inc	correctly pulled him	over for speeding,	does not provide	sufficient basis
to sustain a claim of racial p	profiling.			

Andrea Kersten Deputy Chief Investigator	Date
	December 29, 2019
Approved:	
believe that he was speeding. While that he was being racially profiled, C claim. Conversely, we found to existed for the stop. Therefore, we find	on the fact that he was an African-American and does not may have felt strongly that he was not speeding and OPA's investigation revealed no other facts that support there was clear and convincing evidence that a lawful basis of that claim that he was racially profiled because upped for no reason to be unsupported by video evidence. of exonerated for all allegations.
profiling, said that the police are pull over African-Americans. officers who told him this. However,	at during his interview, as support for his claim of racial e up to no good, and they try to make something up just to said he knows this because he has friends who are police attempt to impute racial profiling to all officers egarding the possible inappropriate behavior of one or more the such a determination in this case.
he was speeding for that reason, believed acknowledging that while the officers was acknowledged to the control of the control	nt that the officers heard the roar of his engine and believed es his claim of racial profiling, because he is essentially were incorrect in assuming that he was speeding because of t, have a valid reason for pulling him over.
<u> </u>	e was speeding. The mere fact that an individual disagrees iolation is not evidence of racial profiling or even evidence ling can be drawn.
speeding. The first thing the officers di initially took license, but when and told him to be careful and have a go could have given a speeding tion	over, because they believed he was d was ask why he was driving so fast. The officers in they found that it was valid, they then returned his license od night. The stop was minimally intrusive, and the officers exet but chose not to do so. The officers' actions were the g with a good faith belief that the car they were pulling over

Appendix A

Assigned Investigative Staff

Squad#:3Investigator:Michele LavinSupervising Investigator:Matthew HaynamDeputy Chief Administrator:Andrea Kersten