

Log # 2020-0000657

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT¹

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On February 7, 2020, the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA) received a reporting alleged misconduct by members of the telephone complaint from Chicago Police Department (CPD). alleged that on February 7, 2020, (Officers Rene Saucedo and Humberto Gomez stopped and arrested him, without justification.² Following its investigation, COPA reached exonerated findings regarding the allegations of initiating a traffic stop and arresting without justification. II. SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE³ On February 7, 2020, Officers Rene Saucedo and Humberto Gomez⁴ (the Officers) initiated a traffic stop near 5300 N. Broadway, after observing operating a vehicle without wearing a seatbelt.⁵ After parked and exited his vehicle,⁶ he was approached by the Officers, who asked him for his driver's license. Instead of providing a driver's license, presented a state identification card.⁸ As Officer Gomez conducted a LEADS query, Officer Saucedo ordered to sit in his vehicle. The LEADS query revealed that registration had expired, and his driver's license was suspended. 10 told the Officers that his driver's license was suspended due to unpaid parking tickets. 11 Then, the officers arrested for driving with a suspended license. 12

¹ Appendix A includes case identifiers such as the date, time, and location of the incident, the involved parties and their demographics, and the applicable rules and policies.

² One or more of these allegations fall within COPA's jurisdiction pursuant to Chicago Municipal Code § 2-78-120. Therefore, COPA determined it would be the primary investigative agency in this matter.

³ The following is a summary of what COPA finds most likely occurred during this incident. This summary utilized information from several different sources, including body worn camera footage, a LEADS query, and police reports.

⁴ Att. 14 - Officer Gomez resigned from the Department prior to being served with allegations.

⁵ Att. 2 at 9:49 – 10:14

⁶ Red Pontiac Montana with Illinois license plates

⁷ The officers were dressed in plain clothing, with CPD issued ballistic vest, emblazoned with CPD insignia.

⁸ Att. 1 at 16:52-17:01

⁹ Att. 2 at min. 2:28-2:35

¹⁰ Att. 6-7

¹¹ Att. 2 at 4:23-5:03 and Att. 3 at min 4:24 -5:02

¹² Att. 4

In addition to	being arres	sted,	vehicl	e was impo	ounded,	and he	was	issued	three
citations. ¹³ After	was	s taken in	to custody,	his vehicle	e was so	earched	to en	sure th	at no
valuables were left	t in the insic	de;	was search	ed as a safe	ty preca	aution. 14			

III. ALLEGATIONS

Officer Rene Saucedo:

- 1. It is alleged by the above [that on or about February 7, 2020, at approximately 12:00 P.M. at or near 5300 N. Broadway Ave. that you, Officer Rene Saucedo #7611, committed misconduct through the following acts or omission by:
 - Stopping without justification.
- 2. It is alleged by the above [that on or about February 7, 2020, at approximately 12:00 P.M. at or near 5300 N. Broadway Ave. that you, Officer Rene Saucedo #7611, committed misconduct through the following acts or omission by:
 - Arresting without justification

IV. CREDIBILITY ASSESSMENT

The credibility of an individual relies primarily on two factors: 1) the individual's truthfulness and 2) the reliability of the individual's account. The first factor addresses the honesty of the individual making the statement, while the second factor speaks to the individual's ability to accurately perceive the event at the time of the incident and then accurately recall the event from memory. The credibility assessments in this report have been determined by reviewing the statements made by and Officer Saucedo during their interviews and compared with related video footage and other evidentiary materials.

In this case, credibility suffers because he provided an embellished account of the
incident leading up to and after his arrest. During his statement to COPA, said he was in
police custody for 10 hours. However, the Arrest Report established that was arrested at
12:06 pm and released from lockup at 5:05 pm. Further, filed a complaint with COPA at
6:30 pm on the same day. ¹⁵ stated that the Officers asked him to present a driver's license
or a state ID. However, video footage established that Officer Saucedo asked for a driver's
license; Officer Saucedo did not request a state ID as an alternative to a driver's license.
stated that the officers ordered him to sit in the driver's seat of his vehicle.
the officers wanted him to sit in the driver's seat because it gave the appearance of a traffic stop
Video footage established that Officer Saucedo ordered to return to his vehicle; however
video footage also established that was not ordered to sit in a designated seat. COPA found

¹⁴ Att. 17 at min. 29:09 - 30:33

¹³ Att. 22

¹⁵ There are no documented phone calls on Arrest Report.

that statement was not credible and inconsistent with the evidentiary materials obtained by COPA.

Conversely, COPA found that Officer Saucedo's statement was credible and consistent with the evidentiary materials obtained by COPA.

V. ANALYSIS¹⁶

	cer Saucedo stopped and arrested without cause to arrest exists where the police have knowledge of
J	n to believe that a crime has occurred and that the subject
	Saucedo had probable cause to conduct a traffic stop after
	without wearing a seat belt. Illinois law and the Chicago
1 0	ear a seat safety belt while operating a motor vehicle. 18
Further investigation revealed that registration was expired. ¹⁹ After the state were revealed, he acknowledged that his	driver's license was suspended, and his vehicle registration and driver's license driver's license was suspended at the time of arrest. Based Saucedo at the time of the traffic stop, the decision to stop,
	proper, and the allegations are exonerated.
was reasonable and	proper, and the anegations are exonerated.
Approved:	
	April 27, 2023
Sharday Jackson	Date

Deputy Chief Administrator – Chief Investigator

 $^{^{16}}$ For a definition of COPA's findings and standards of proof, see Appendix B.

¹⁷ S04-13-09 II(D)

¹⁸ 625 ILCS 5/12-603.1 and Chicago Municipal Code § 9-76-180(a)

¹⁹ Atts. 6-7 and 625 ILCS 5/6-303(a)

Appendix A

Case Details Date/Time/Location of Incident: February 7, 2020 / 12:00 PM / 5300 N. Broadway Date/Time of COPA Notification: February 7, 2020/6:30 PM Involved Member #1: Rene Saucedo / Star #7611 / Employee ID# DOA: September 29, 2003/ Unit: 020/ Male / Hispanic Involved Individual #1: Male/ Latino/Hispanic **Applicable Rules** Rule 2: Any action or conduct which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department. **Rule 3:** Any failure to promote the Department's efforts to implement its policy or accomplish its goals. **Rule 5:** Failure to perform any duty. **Rule 6:** Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral. **Rule 8:** Disrespect to or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty. Rule 9: Engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person, while on or off duty. Rule 10: Inattention to duty. **Rule 14:** Making a false report, written or oral. Rule 38: Unlawful or unnecessary use or display of a weapon. **Rule**: [Insert text of any additional rule(s) violated]

Applicable Policies and Laws

- 625 ILCS 5/12-603.1: Driver and passenger required to use safety belts, exceptions, and penalty. (Effective August 16, 2013 to present)
- Chicago Municipal Code § 9-76-180(a)
- 625 ILCS 5/6-303: Driving while driver's license, permit, or privilege to operate a motor vehicle is suspended or revoked. (Effective July 12, 2019 July 1, 2023)

Appendix B

Definition of COPA's Findings and Standards of Proof

For each Allegation, COPA must make one of the following findings:

- 1. <u>Sustained</u> where it is determined the allegation is supported by a preponderance of the evidence;
- 2. <u>Not Sustained</u> where it is determined there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations by a preponderance of the evidence;
- 3. <u>Unfounded</u> where it is determined by clear and convincing evidence that an allegation is false or not factual; or
- 4. <u>Exonerated</u> where it is determined by clear and convincing evidence that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

A **preponderance of evidence** can be described as evidence indicating that it is **more likely than not** that a proposition is proved.²⁰ For example, if the evidence gathered in an investigation establishes that it is more likely that the conduct complied with CPD policy than that it did not, even if by a narrow margin, then the preponderance of the evidence standard is met.

Clear and convincing evidence is a higher standard than a preponderance of the evidence but lower than the "beyond-a-reasonable doubt" standard required to convict a person of a criminal offense. Clear and convincing can be defined as a "degree of proof, which, considering all the evidence in the case, produces the firm and abiding belief that it is highly probable that the proposition . . . is true."²¹

²⁰ See Avery v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co., 216 Ill. 2d 100, 191 (2005) (a proposition is proved by a preponderance of the evidence when it is found to be more probably true than not).

²¹ People v. Coan, 2016 IL App (2d) 151036, ¶ 28 (quoting Illinois Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal, No. 4.19 (4th ed. 2000)).

Appendix C

Transparency and Publication Categories

Check	all that apply:
	Abuse of Authority
	Body Worn Camera Violation
	Coercion
	Death or Serious Bodily Injury in Custody
	Domestic Violence
	Excessive Force
	Failure to Report Misconduct
	False Statement
	Firearm Discharge
	Firearm Discharge – Animal
	Firearm Discharge – Suicide
	Firearm Discharge – Unintentional
	First Amendment
	Improper Search and Seizure – Fourth Amendment Violation
	Incidents in Lockup
	Motor Vehicle Incidents
	OC Spray Discharge
	Search Warrants
	Sexual Misconduct
	Taser Discharge
	Unlawful Denial of Access to Counsel
	Unnecessary Display of a Weapon
	Use of Deadly Force – other
	Verbal Abuse
	Other Investigation