

SUMMARY REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

Date/Time/Location of Incident:	Spring-Summer 2013/ Unspecified Location in Elmhurst IL Winter 2013 / ██████████, Melrose Park IL Unknown Date / ██████████ Chicago, IL November 2017 / Unknown Location Unknown Date / ██████████ Chicago, IL November 2019 / Unspecified Location
Date/Time of COPA Notification:	Sep 1, 2019, 12:43 PM
Involved Officer #1:	Robert Carrillo, Star #2429, Employee # ██████████, DOA February 27, 1991, Sergeant, Unit 610/376, DOB ██████████, 1966, Male, Hispanic
Involved Individual #1:	██████████ DOB ██████████, 1992, Female, Caucasian
Case Type:	Sexual Assault/Domestic-Related

I. ALLEGATIONS¹

Officer	Allegation	Finding
Sergeant Robert Carrillo	1. It is alleged that on an unknown date in the spring or summer of 2013 at an unspecified location in Elmhurst, IL, the accused pushed ██████████ against a wall.	Not Sustained
	2. It is alleged that on an unknown date in the spring or summer of 2013 at an unspecified location in Elmhurst, IL, the accused physically restrained ██████████ by holding the back of her neck	Not Sustained

¹ COPA obtained permission from the Superintendent to investigate the allegations in this case that were more than 5 years old. See. Att. 28.

	<p>3. It is alleged that on an unknown date in the spring or summer of 2013 at an unspecified location in Elmhurst, IL, the accused placed his finger inside [REDACTED] vagina without her consent.</p> <p>4. It is alleged that on an unknown date in the spring or summer of 2013 at an unspecified location in Elmhurst, IL, the accused placed his finger inside [REDACTED] anus without her consent.</p> <p>5. It is alleged that on an unknown date in the spring or summer of 2013 at an unspecified location in Elmhurst, IL, the accused made unwanted verbal sexual advances towards [REDACTED] in that he stated words to the effect of, "I know you want it" and/or "This is what girls like you do" and/or "This isn't your first time" and/or "I know you like it because you're wet" and/or "Did you ever do anal? I'm going to take that as a yes."</p> <p>6. It is alleged that on an unknown date in the spring or summer of 2013 at an unspecified location in Elmhurst, IL, the accused called [REDACTED] a "junky whore."</p> <p>7. It is alleged that on an unknown date in the spring or summer of 2013 at an unspecified location in Elmhurst, IL, the accused threw money at [REDACTED] and made reference to her drug use.</p> <p>8. It is alleged that on an unknown date in the winter of 2013, at or near [REDACTED] Melrose Park, IL, the accused grabbed and/or smacked [REDACTED] buttocks.</p> <p>9. It is alleged that on an unknown date in the winter of 2013, at or near [REDACTED] Melrose Park, IL, the accused stated words to the effect of, "You're nothing" and/or "You're never going to be anything" and/or "You're only good for sex" and/or "You deserved what happened."</p>	<p>Not Sustained</p>
--	--	--

	<p>10. It is alleged that on an unknown date in the winter of 2013, at or near [REDACTED] Melrose Park, IL, the accused bit [REDACTED] neck.</p> <p>11. It is alleged that on an unknown date in the winter of 2013, at or near [REDACTED], Melrose Park, IL, the accused called [REDACTED] a whore.</p> <p>12. It is alleged that on an unknown date at or near [REDACTED] N. [REDACTED] the accused made unwanted verbal sexual advances towards [REDACTED] in that he stated words to the effect of, "I have a real treat for you" and/or "Let's loosen you up" and/or "You're ready for the real thing" and/or "I have a couple of treats for you" and/or "Do you like it?"</p> <p>13. It is alleged that on an unknown date at or near [REDACTED] the accused grabbed [REDACTED] breasts and/or pulled her nipple piercings.</p> <p>14. It is alleged that on an unknown date at or near [REDACTED] N. [REDACTED] the accused vaginally penetrated [REDACTED] with a foreign object without her consent.</p> <p>15. It is alleged that on an unknown date at or near [REDACTED] the accused anally penetrated [REDACTED] with a foreign object without her consent.</p> <p>16. It is alleged that on an unknown date at or near [REDACTED] the accused anally penetrated [REDACTED] with his penis without her consent.</p> <p>17. It is alleged that on an unknown date at or near [REDACTED] the accused pushed [REDACTED] on the back of the head.</p> <p>18. It is alleged that on an unknown date in November 2017, at an unknown location, the accused placed his fingers inside of [REDACTED] without her consent.</p> <p>19. It is alleged that on an unknown date in November 2017, at an unknown location, the</p>	<p>Not Sustained</p>
--	---	---

	<p>accused vaginally penetrated [REDACTED] without consent.</p> <p>20. It is alleged that on an unknown date in November 2017, at an unknown location, the accused placed his fingers in [REDACTED] mouth without her consent.</p> <p>21. It is alleged that on an unknown date in November 2017, at an unknown location, the accused made unwanted verbal sexual advances in that the accused stated words to the effect of, "I know you like it because you're wet" and/or "This is how you like it" and/or "You have a tight pussy" and/or "If you don't fight back, it won't be so bad."</p> <p>22. It is alleged that on an unknown date in November 2017, at an unknown location, the accused placed his hand around [REDACTED] throat.</p> <p>23. It is alleged that on an unknown date in November 2017, at an unknown location, the accused vaginally penetrated [REDACTED] with his penis without consent.</p> <p>24. It is alleged that on an unknown date in November 2017, at an unknown location, the accused stated to [REDACTED] words to the effect of, "If you tell anyone, you won't see your [REDACTED] again."</p> <p>25. It is alleged that on an unknown date, at or near of [REDACTED] the accused grabbed [REDACTED] about the arm.</p> <p>26. It is alleged that on an unknown date, at or near [REDACTED] the accused stated, to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] words to the effect of, "Remember who I am and what I can do to your life."</p> <p>27. It is alleged that on multiple dates in November 2019, the accused made improper contact with Department Members while he was the subject of a criminal investigation.</p>	<p>Not Sustained</p>
--	---	--

	28. It is alleged that on Nov. 14, 2019, in Chicago, IL the accused used his position for personal gain in that he attempted to influence the outcome of a criminal investigation by contacting Detective Scanlan, Lieutenant Roberts, and Sgt. Wood.	Not Sustained
--	---	---------------

II. SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE²

In a statement with COPA and CPD on September 13, 2019, the complainant, ██████████³ related that she was sexually assaulted on multiple occasions by Sergeant Robert Carrillo. ██████████ related that she began dating ██████████ in 2012 and met Sgt. Carrillo shortly thereafter at a family barbecue. ██████████ and ██████████ had a daughter, ██████████ in 2016 and the couple briefly lived with Sgt. Carrillo and his wife, ██████████. Sgt. Carrillo and ██████████ have temporary ██████████ due to ██████████ and ██████████ prior drug abuse.

The first instance of sexual assault ██████████ recalled was in the summer of 2013 while at ██████████ and ██████████ residence in Elmhurst, IL. ██████████ was at work at the time and ██████████ was in the basement where her room was located. Sgt. Carrillo, who was not married to ██████████ at the time, entered the home with his key. He asked if ██████████ was home, and ██████████ replied that she was not and would text her. Before ██████████ could get to the phone, Sgt. Carrillo pushed her against the wall. ██████████ related that she said, "Please, please don't,"⁴ and tried to escape his grasp but being only ██████████ pounds and Sgt. Carrillo being approximately 5'8"-5'9" with a stocky build, she was unsuccessful. Sgt. Carrillo lifted her up by the side of her pants and told her that he knew that she wanted it, it was not her first time, and made other derogatory comments. While ██████████ was facing the wall, Sgt. Carrillo pulled her pants down to her ankles, inserted his fingers inside of ██████████ vagina and told her that he knew she liked it because she was wet. He then asked her if she liked anal, and when she did not respond, Sgt. Carrillo stated, "I'm going to take that as a yes."⁵ He then stuck his fingers in ██████████ anus while simultaneously penetrating her vagina with his finger and restrained her by holding the back of her neck. When Sgt. Carrillo finished, he told her to stop crying, called her a junkie whore and threw money at her.⁶ He stated words to the effect of, "Here you go. You got what you wanted. You can go score now."⁷ While ██████████ did not tell ██████████ about the incident, ██████████ told her mom that she was assaulted immediately after the incident occurred but, at the time, did not disclose that Sgt. Carrillo was the person who assaulted her or disclose all the details. While her mother encouraged her to go to the police, ██████████ refused, believing they could not go to the police since Sgt. Carrillo was a police officer.⁸ ██████████ added that she was not using drugs during this period in her life.

The next incident occurred in the winter of 2013. She and ██████████ had been kicked out of ██████████ house and were staying at the ██████████ in Melrose Park. Sgt. Carrillo came

²COPA conducted a full and complete investigation of this matter, including the interview of all pertinent civilian and officer witnesses, and the collection and review of digital, documentary, and forensic evidence.

³ Att. 5 & 6

⁴ Att. 6 at 29

⁵ Id. at 24

⁶ Id. at 16

⁷ Id. at 26

⁸ Id. at 27-29

to their apartment to drop off Christmas decorations that ██████ sent them. ██████ had left the apartment to get food leaving Sgt. Carrillo and ██████ alone. Sgt. Carrillo sat down on the pullout couch, patted the seat next to him and told her to sit down. ██████ refused and went to the bathroom. When she walked out of the bathroom, Sgt. Carrillo was standing in front of her. He asked her if she was going to offer him a drink. When ██████ tried to maneuver past him, he grabbed her buttocks and told her that she was looking “really healthy lately.”⁹ ██████ made her way to the refrigerator and offered Sgt. Carrillo a beverage. When ██████ turned around, Sgt. Carrillo was standing directly in front of her causing her to bump against the refrigerator. Sgt. Carrillo began making derogatory comments about ██████ drug abuse, such as telling her she was nothing, she was never going to be anything, she was only good for sex, and calling her a “whore.”¹⁰ Sgt. Carrillo then bit ██████ on the right side of her neck, smacked her on the buttocks, and told her to have fun decorating. As Sgt. Carrillo was leaving the apartment, ██████ returned and walked up the stairs. ██████ related that she had a panic attack but did not go to the hospital. ██████ gave her a Xanax so she could get some rest. ██████ did not tell ██████ what transpired.

The third incident occurred on an unspecified date near the end of winter, prior to ██████ daughter’s birth (██████ 2016). When ██████ and Sgt. Carrillo bought a house at ██████ ██████ and ██████ went to their home to help them move in. ██████ and ██████ went to run an errand, leaving Sgt. Carrillo and ██████ alone. Sgt. Carrillo approached ██████ who was wearing a long skirt and bandeau top. He hugged her while grabbing her boobs and then pulled her top down and squeezed her nipples, which were pierced. ██████ screamed out in pain. Sgt. Carrillo told her that he had a special treat for her. Sgt. Carrillo retrieved two sex toys, a “dildo” and an electric vibrator with spikes that spins and rotates. After forcing her down by pushing the back of her head, he inserted the toys in both ██████ vagina and anus. ██████ expressed that this was painful and she cried as she felt blood trickling down her legs. Sgt. Carrillo removed the “dildo” from ██████ vagina and inserted his penis. ██████ stated that Sgt. Carrillo did not use a condom and she believes that he ejaculated. Sgt. Carrillo instructed her to go to the bathroom and clean herself off. After ██████ cleansed herself, she did not want to wait for ██████ and ██████ to return, so she left the house and took the train home. ██████ related that throughout the incident, she repeatedly pleaded for him stop. ██████ stated that she could not have a bowel movement for almost a week and there was blood in her urine. ██████ stated that she did not tell anyone about the incident, did not go to the hospital and did not keep the clothing that she was wearing. ██████ eventually shared the details of the assault while at a drug rehabilitation facility. ██████ stated that her mother knows she has been raped several times but just recently found out that Sgt. Carrillo was one of the offenders. ██████ explained that when she was a teenager, she had been raped by multiple men.

In November of 2017, while ██████ and ██████ were living at an Airbnb, Sgt. Carrillo came to their home and brought groceries. ██████ was using drugs again and stated that she was high at the time. She did not recall how she and Sgt. Carrillo made it to the bedroom but she did recall him flipping her on the bed. Sgt Carrillo inserted his fingers in ██████ vagina. He told her that he knew she liked it because she was wet.¹¹ He removed his fingers from her vagina and inserted them inside her mouth and asked her how she tasted. Sgt. Carrillo then inserted his penis inside of

⁹ Id. at 37

¹⁰ Id. at 38

¹¹ Id. at 61

her vagina and had sex with her while having his hands around her throat. Sgt. Carrillo did not wear a condom, and he ejaculated. ██████ stated that while she did not want to have sex with Sgt. Carrillo, she was not kicking or screaming like she could have done because she already felt defeated. Before Sgt. Carrillo left, he told her that she had “a tight pussy”¹² and that is the good thing about young “bitches.”¹³ He also told her that if she “didn’t fight back, it wouldn’t be so bad.”¹⁴ He reminded her that he was the police and if she told anyone, she would never see her daughter again.¹⁵

██████ also alleged that on an unknown date and time, after a court hearing at the courthouse at ██████ Chicago, IL, Sgt. Carrillo grabbed her by the arm and stated words to the effect of, “Remember who I am and what I can do to your life.”

██████ stated that she eventually told ██████ that Sgt. Carrillo made sexual advances towards her. She stated she and ██████ got “pretty fucked up” one night, and she broke down and told him that Sgt. Carrillo raped her.

The Chicago Police Department conducted a **parallel criminal investigation (RD# ██████)**¹⁶ into the allegations made by ██████. The criminal case was ultimately “exceptionally cleared closed” after the Cook County State’s Attorney’s Office declined to file charges.¹⁷ Det. Brenna Scanlan was the primary detective assigned to the investigation. Det. Scanlan interviewed ██████ ██████¹⁸ ██████ related that on an unspecified date around Christmas 2018, ██████ called her and asked if she could move back home. ██████ related that she was not sure if it was a good idea because the last time ██████ lived at home, she robbed then and left needles in their home. ██████ told her mother that she could not live on the streets and informed her mother that she had been raped. She told her mother that she could not tell her who raped her because she would never be able to see her daughter, ██████ again. Eventually, on a later date, ██████ admitted to her mother that it was Sgt. Carrillo who raped her. ██████ urged her daughter to report the incidents to the police, but she refused. ██████ was afraid of the repercussions from Sgt. Carrillo because of the things he was doing throughout the custody battle.

After hearing ██████ scream in her sleep one night, ██████ confronted her and told her that she still appeared to be on edge. At this time, ██████ provided her with more details of the past assaults. ██████ related to her that while ██████ was not at home at their house on ██████, Sgt. Carrillo approached her from behind while he was holding a gun in his hand. He then inserted something in her anus before he “jammed”¹⁹ his penis inside of her. During another incident in Elmhurst, IL, Sgt. Carrillo forced ██████ to put on an outfit and perform oral sex on him. He told ██████ that no one would believe her because she was a “junkie” and a “whore.”²⁰

¹² Id. at 66

¹³ Id.

¹⁴ Id.

¹⁵ Id.

¹⁶ Att. 2, 3, 19, 37

¹⁷ Att. 37

¹⁸ Att. 3 at 22-24. COPA attempted to contact ██████ but was unsuccessful. See Case Notes.

¹⁹ Id. at 23

²⁰ Id.

██████ told her mother that most of the incidents consisted of oral sex including an incident that occurred while they were inside of a garage in Elmhurst, IL.

In his interview with Detective Scanlan, ██████ ██████ related that he found out about the sexual allegations that ██████ made against Sgt. Carrillo when his mother, ██████ told him. ██████ explained that, on a later date, while ██████ was high, she called crying and told him that she was assaulted by Sgt. Carrillo but never provided him with the details. ██████ reported that ██████ never outcried to him prior to that day. ██████ believes that ██████ is making up these allegations, because he picked his mom over her, and she is trying to seek revenge on ██████ via Sgt. Carrillo.

According to Det. Scanlan's supplemental report, ██████ ██████ related that ██████ and ██████ started dating in March 2013. In March or April 2013, ██████ moved into the basement of their Elmhurst house with ██████ stated that prior ██████ Sgt. Carrillo, he would occasionally spend a night at her home. On one occasion she recalled that Sgt. Carrillo stayed over, and she had to leave early the next morning. Sgt. Carrillo called ██████ and told her that he was not going to stay at the house because he did not feel comfortable with the way ██████ walked around the house while wearing lingerie. ██████ stated that Sgt. Carrillo was never alone with ██████ after that incident.

██████ further related that she recalled when ██████ and ██████ moved into the ██████ and stated that Sgt. Carrillo did not know they lived there because she did not tell him since she was paying for the couple's motel stay. She also remembered when the couple moved into an Airbnb near Irving Park and Central Avenue and related that Sgt. Carrillo did not know about the residence and never visited them there. ██████ stated that the only time she went to the Airbnb is when she, along with the couple's daughter, ██████ went to the residence to drop off some of ██████ personal belongings. ██████ related that she was shocked at the accusations that were made because ██████ had complimented her and Sgt. Carrillo on different occasions. ██████ submitted text messages²³ supporting her statement, including one in which ██████ refers to Sgt. Carrillo as a "standup man."²⁴

Det. Scanlan also interviewed **Sgt. Carrillo**²⁵ as part of her criminal investigation. His statement to her was substantially similar to his statement to COPA, which is summarized below.

On November 9, 2019, Sgt. Brian Holy drafted an **Initiation Report**²⁶ in which it was alleged that on two separate occasions, the accused, Sgt. Carrillo, initiated conversations with Det. Scanlan, who is the assigned detective on the criminal case in which Sgt. Carrillo is the named offender. In a To/From Report²⁷ dated November 9, 2019, Det. Scanlan related that both she and Sgt. Carrillo were attendees at a Procedural Justice training at the academy on November 6, 2019.

²¹ Id. at 30 – 31

²² Id. at 28-29

²³ Id. at 36-44

²⁴ Id. at 41

²⁵ Id. at 25-28

²⁶ Att. 17. LOG# 2019-4579 was opened as a result of this initiation report and ultimately closed and incorporated into this investigation.

²⁷ Att. 17

When the class recessed for lunch, Sgt. Carrillo approached her and asked if his case was going to be Unfounded. Det. Scanlan told him that she could not Unfound the case and did not speak further about the investigation. Det. Scanlan further related that on November 9, 2019, Sgt. Carrillo called her at work and again asked her if she was going to Unfound the case. When she told him no, Sgt. Carrillo asked Det. Scanlan to read the General Order regarding “Unfounded Cases” and he believes that his case fits the criteria. Det. Scanlan advised Sgt. Carrillo to express his concerns to her supervisor.

Lt. John Roberts drafted another **Initiation Report**²⁸ on November 15, 2019, initiating Log# 2019-4659,²⁹ which alleged “Improper Contact/Conduct Regarding Department Investigations.” In the initiation report, Lt. Roberts documented that Sgt. Carrillo sent an email to himself, Sgt. Michelle Wood, and Det. Scanlan on November 14, 2019. The initiation report states that the email “provides details and directions regarding investigations being conducted at Area North Detective Division.”³⁰ In the attached email, Sgt. Carrillo discusses charges he is seeking against ██████████ and case reports he filed. He continues stating, “I found this BOD special order does this part about ‘Statement of witnesses which disprove the allegation’ apply in this instance.”³¹ Sgt. Carrillo then quotes an order regarding “Unfounding Complaints” which states, in part, “UCR guidelines state that if a complaint is determined to be false and baseless, AND, if the investigation confirms that no offense has occurred (or has been attempted), the incident can be unfounded.”³²

In her statement at COPA on November 3, 2020, **Det. Brenna Scanlan**³³ related that she is assigned to Unit 630 and handles criminal sexual assault cases. She was assigned to investigate multiple counts of sexual assault allegations ranging from 2012 to 2017 against Sgt. Carrillo. Det. Scanlan related that while she knows the Sgt. Carrillo is a member of the police department and has been on crime scenes with him in the past, she has never worked with him and does not consider him to be a friend. Det. Scanlan interviewed Sgt. Carrillo on October 31, 2019. Det. Scanlan related that her sergeant contacted Sgt. Carrillo and gave him Det. Scanlan’s information and he, in turn, called Det. Scanlan to schedule his statement. After the interview, Det. Scanlan had several other interactions with Sgt. Carrillo via phone and email during which he would provide information relative to the investigation, but she could not provide the dates and times. Det. Scanlan stated that the next time she saw Sgt. Carrillo was at a training class at the academy. Det. Scanlan related that she was preparing to go to lunch when Sgt. Carrillo approached her and asked her about his case. She told him that she could not discuss the matter with him. Sgt. Carrillo accepted her response and just smiled. Det. Scanlan proceeded to lunch with friends and Sgt. Carrillo joined them. Det. Scanlan related that she did not have any additional conversation with Sgt. Carrillo.

²⁸ Att. 1

²⁹ Log 2019-4659 was incorporated into this case, Log 2019-3679.

³⁰ Att. 1 at 1

³¹ Id. at 2

³² Id.

³³ Att. 13-15

Det. Scanlan related that she received an email on November 14, 2019, from Sgt. Carrillo in which he provided an update on the criminal case involving ██████████ and noted the general order regarding coming to an unfounded finding at the end of the email. Det. Scanlan related that the email made her feel uncomfortable, and she reported the incident to Sgt. Holy.³⁵ Det. Scanlan stated the email made her uncomfortable because she did not think it was appropriate for a sergeant she was investigating to suggest how an investigation into him should proceed.³⁶ Det. Scanlan related that while she felt that the email was inappropriate, she did not feel bullied or intimidated and does not believe that Sgt. Carrillo was interfering with the investigation. She added that although Sgt. Carrillo is a sergeant in the police department, he should not be giving any direction on how to execute an investigation. Det. Scanlan related that that it is not unusual for offenders to contact detectives to provide additional information or to get updates on case. In addition to the email, Det. Scanlan related that on at least one of her phone conversations with Sgt. Carrillo, he again mentioned the unfounded finding and she refused to discuss it with him. Other times Sgt. Carrillo called he was only providing additional information pertaining to his criminal case.

In a statement at COPA on February 17, 2021, **Sgt. Michele Wood**³⁷ related that she is Det. Scanlan's immediate supervisor and assisted Det. Scanlan with RD# JC431883, the case in which Sgt. Carrillo is the offender. Sgt. Wood stated that although she has seen Sgt. Carrillo on crime scenes and once worked in the same unit as him, she has never worked with him and does not have a personal relationship with him. Sgt. Wood related that she had several phone conversations with Sgt. Carrillo regarding the case. During these conversations, Sgt. Carrillo assisted with an attempt to locate a witness and provide additional information regarding his case. Sgt. Wood stated that she never engaged in any conversation with Sgt. Carrillo about the finding in the case. Sgt. Wood initially contacted Sgt. Carrillo to let him know that he was the subject of an investigation and to determine if he wanted to give a statement. Sgt. Wood believed that it was more appropriate for her to contact him because they are the same rank. Sgt. Wood was not present when Det. Scanlan conducted the interview of Sgt. Carrillo. Sgt. Wood stated that on November 14, 2019, Sgt. Carrillo copied her and Lt. Roberts on an email addressed to Det. Scanlan. The email updated Det. Scanlan on various RD numbers that he was involved with. Also, in the email, Sgt. Carrillo referred to a special order regarding unbounding a case. Sgt. Wood stated that, in her opinion, Sgt. Carrillo was giving direction on how to investigate a case.³⁸ Sgt. Wood believed it was possible that Sgt. Carrillo thought she did not know how to unbound a case, but she did not believe his contact was improper. Sgt. Wood stated, at some point, she told Sgt. Carrillo that his case would not be unfounded. She found out through Det. Scanlan that Sgt. Carrillo was not happy about the decision because he asserted the allegations ██████████ made against him were false. After receiving the email, Sgt. Wood instructed Det. Scanlan to not respond to Sgt. Carrillo's email. Although Lt. Roberts initiated a log number against Sgt. Carrillo after the email was received, Sgt. Wood stated she did not see a reason to initiate one. Sgt. Wood explained that there was no reason for Det. Scanlan to continue to correspond with Sgt. Carrillo because he had already been

³⁴ Sgt. Carrillo was referencing a criminal case in which he was the listed victim in Violation of an Order of Protection & Harassment by Electronic Means charges against ██████████ See Att. 16 at 2.

³⁵ Sgt Holy has since retired from the police department.

³⁶ Att. 15 at 12

³⁷ Att. 21, 22, 26

³⁸ Att. 26 at 17

interviewed and Sgt. Wood had self-appointed herself as Sgt. Carrillo's contact person, since she was the same rank as him.

In a statement at COPA on March 2, 2021, **Lt. John Roberts**³⁹ related that he initiated a complaint against Sgt. Carrillo after he received an email from Sgt. Carrillo that was addressed to Det. Scanlan. Lt. Roberts had a conversation with Det. Scanlan after receiving the email and Det. Scanlan indicated that there was improper communication with Sgt. Carrillo. Lt. Roberts believed that Det. Scanlan was intimidated by Sgt. Carrillo. Lt. Roberts stated that although he did not know if Det. Scanlan used the word "intimidated" but that was how he interpreted the conversation. Lt. Roberts related that in the email Sgt. Carrillo referred to the State's Attorney's Office, referenced interviewing witnesses and referenced a special order in the detective division on how to close cases, which all led him to believe that Sgt. Carrillo was attempting to direct the investigation. Lt. Roberts stated that he initiated a log number because he felt the communication was improper but without talking to Sgt. Carrillo, he did not know Sgt. Carrillo's intent. Lt. Roberts related that Det. Scanlan communicated to him, in some fashion, that she was uncomfortable and believed that Sgt. Carrillo was trying to steer her in a certain direction. Lt. Roberts stated that he recalled that Det. Scanlan told her that Sgt. Carrillo approached her during a lunch or a break, but he did not recall the specifics of the conversation.

In a statement at COPA on April 8, 2021, the accused, **Sgt. Carrillo**⁴⁰ related essentially the same information as contained in the supplemental report of RD# [REDACTED]. Sgt. Carrillo related that he is currently married to [REDACTED] and they are the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Sgt. Carrillo explained [REDACTED] on February 8, 2017, due to her parents' inability to care for her due to their ongoing battle with drug abuse.

Sgt. Carrillo met [REDACTED] in the spring of 2013. Sgt. Carrillo denied all [REDACTED] allegations. He explained that in the entire time he knew her, he was only alone with [REDACTED] on one occasion and that was when [REDACTED] were living with [REDACTED] He recalled that he was at [REDACTED] home (prior to their marriage in 2015) and preparing to leave. [REDACTED] had already left the house to take [REDACTED] to work. Sgt. Carrillo related that as he was exiting the bathroom, he observed [REDACTED] who was in lingerie, cooking in the kitchen. Sgt. Carrillo stated that seeing [REDACTED] in that attire made him feel uncomfortable. He said hello and goodbye to [REDACTED] and left the home. He immediately called [REDACTED] and told her that he never wanted to be alone with [REDACTED] again. Sgt. Carrillo explained that he has coached girls' basketball teams for years and was trained not to put himself in situations where accusations can arise.

Sgt. Carrillo related that his wife kicked [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] out a few months later after she caught them getting high in the house. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] then moved to Chicago Heights and later, to an apartment in Wood Dale. Sgt. Carrillo stated that he would see both [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] periodically, approximately every two weeks. Sgt. Carrillo related that never visited and never knew that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] lived at [REDACTED] or rented an Airbnb.

Sgt. Carrillo related that he has filed multiple case reports against [REDACTED] for filing false reports against him. Sgt. Carrillo related that he also filed a case report against [REDACTED] in October

³⁹ Att. 23-25

⁴⁰ Att. 29, 30, 34

2019 after he learned that she reported allegations of sexual assault.⁴¹ With respect to ██████ accusing him of intimidating her after court in that he grabbed her by the arm, told her to remember who he was and threatened to block her interactions and visits with her daughter, Sgt. Carrillo denied these allegations. Sgt. Carrillo recalled an incident after court in which the DCFS worker, ██████, asked him to escort her to the TASC office, which was located across the street from Juvenile Court, because she was intimidated by ██████ and ██████. Sgt. Carrillo and Muhammad got onto the elevator and so did ██████ immediately started calling Sgt. Carrillo names and started recording him with her phone. Sgt. Carrillo related that the only thing he told her was that it was illegal for her to videotape in the building and that she did not have his consent to record him. Sgt. Carrillo related that this incident happened on October 1, 2019.

Sgt. Carrillo related that he has not seen ██████ since her last visit ██████ in March of 2019. He and ██████ currently have an active Order of Protection against ██████. Additionally, ██████ and ██████ parental rights were terminated, and Sgt. and Mrs. ██████ are in the process of ██████.

On October 25, 2019, Sgt. Wood contacted Sgt. Carrillo and informed him that he was the subject of a criminal sexual assault investigation and provided him with the name and the contact information for Det. Scanlan, the assigned detective. Sgt. Carrillo contacted Det. Scanlan and scheduled the interview for October 31, 2019. Sgt. Carrillo stated that his wife accompanied him to the interview and sat with him during most of the interview. When Det. Scanlan began questioning ██████ about one of the apartments ██████ and ██████ were living at, Sgt. Carrillo stated that he was shocked to find out that ██████ was financially assisting the couple. It was at that time that Sgt. Carrillo left the interview so ██████ could be more comfortable answering questions.

The next time Sgt. Carrillo saw Det. Scanlan was on November 6, 2019. Both attended a procedural justice class training class at the Chicago Police Academy. When it was time for lunch, a group of detectives and mutual friends gathered to prepare to go to lunch. Sgt. Carrillo stated that he did not believe that he could go because Det. Scanlan was in the group, and she was investigating a case involving him. When Sgt. Carrillo expressed his concerns, Det. Scanlan told him that he could go with them because the case was over or almost over, and it was going to be Exceptionally Cleared Closed. Sgt. Carrillo stated that although he does not recall who was near them, it was a private conversation between himself and Det. Scanlan. Sgt. Carrillo related that he never asked Det. Scanlan for an update on his case, and she offered the information. Sgt. Carrillo initially stated that he did not recall having any additional conversation with Det. Scanlan about his case that day. However, later in the interview, Sgt. Carrillo recalled asking Det. Scanlan if the case should be Unfounded instead, and she responded that the bosses talked about it, and they wanted to close it as Exceptionally Cleared Closed. Sgt. Carrillo explained that, according to detective protocol, Exceptionally Cleared Closed means that there is evidence that a crime occurred but for some reason they cannot prosecute and pursue criminal charges. An unfounded

⁴¹ It should be noted that Sgt. Carrillo filed a police report against ██████ (General Offense Case Report JC-██████) on November 1, 2019, alleging that she filed a false Criminal Sexual Assault Report (RD# JC-██████) against him. Sgt. Carrillo related that ██████ reported that she was sexually assaulted in May of 2012 when he did not meet her until the following year. This case was suspended on January 28, 2020. See Att. 19.

finding means that the case did not happen, and witness testimony proves that the crime did not occur. Sgt. Carrillo felt that the interaction and conversation he had with Det. Scanlan was appropriate, since she told him that the case was closed.

Sgt. Carrillo stated that the next time he contacted Det. Scanlan was on November 14, 2019. He related that he sent her an email updating her on the court case and informing her that ██████ was going to be arrested for violating the Order of Protection. Also, in the email, Sgt. Carrillo included an excerpt of a Detective Special Order regarding unfounded findings and asked her if this rule was applicable to his case. Sgt. Carrillo denied that his conduct was improper or that he was attempting to influence the investigation. Rather, he asserted that he was merely asking if the unfounded finding was appropriate and acknowledged that he was not aware of what evidence Det. Scanlan might have had in the case. Lastly, he noted that he was attempting to ask his question in an appropriate manner and follow chain of command by including Det. Scanlan's lieutenant and sergeant on the email.

III. ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

COPA finds that **Allegations #1- 24** against Sgt. Carrillo are **Not Sustained**. ██████ alleged that, on several occasions and locations, she was the victim of various unwanted and non-consensual sexual acts committed by Sgt. Carrillo. The investigation failed to disclose sufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegations as alleged. Sgt. Carrillo denied these allegations and related that he has only been alone with ██████ on one occasion. He stated that he observed her in the kitchen wearing lingerie which made him uncomfortable, at which time, he decided her never wanted to be in her presence without others around. ██████ also told Det. Scanlan of the incident during which Sgt. Carrillo allegedly observed ██████ in lingerie in the kitchen. ██████ stated Sgt. Carrillo was not alone with ██████ after this incident and was not aware of her residing at the ██████ or Airbnb.

Although ██████ accounts of the incidents were detailed and her demeanor during her statement to COPA appeared genuine, her allegations were not corroborated by other evidence. ██████ asserts that she told her mother she was assaulted following the first incident in 2013. However, ██████ did not recall hearing the allegations until 2018 and recalled most of the incidents involving oral sex, which was not reported to COPA by ██████. Additionally, ██████ did not recall ██████ telling him about the assaults until after this incident was reported to police. The delayed reporting, lack of physical evidence, lack of medical treatment, and inconsistencies with the outcry witnesses do not provide sufficient corroboration for COPA to sustain the allegations. Therefore, the allegations are Not Sustained.

COPA finds that **Allegations #25-26** against Sgt. Carrillo that on an unknown date after court at ██████ ██████ Sgt. Carrillo grabbed ██████ by the arm and told her, "Remember who I am and what I can do to your life," are **Not Sustained**. Sgt. Carrillo denied the allegations and stated that the incident never occurred. He recalled an incident that occurred on October 1, 2019, when ██████ illegally video recorded him and called him names. He identified DCFS worker ██████ as a witness to the incident. However, COPA did not interview ██████, because the incident that ██████ described occurred prior to September 13, 2019, the date of her COPA statement. For the incident ██████ described, there were no other witnesses

identified or evidence to support the allegations. Thus, there is insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations, and the allegations are Not Sustained.

COPA finds that **Allegations 27**, that Sgt. Carrillo made improper contact with Department members while he was the subject of a criminal investigation, is **Not Sustained**. Det. Scanlan related that while at a training at the academy, Sgt. Carrillo approached her and asked about the investigation. She related she told him she could not discuss it, he smiled, and they went to lunch with other members the Department. No further discussion was had. Sgt. Carrillo's account of this incident varies from Det. Scanlan's. According to Sgt. Carrillo, he indicated he could not go to lunch, knowing it would be inappropriate given the pending investigation, but Det. Scanlan volunteered that the case was going to be closed, leading him to believe it was now over. Sgt. Carrillo admitted that he suggested the case should be unfounded rather than exceptionally cleared closed but did not discuss it further when Det. Scanlan told him that was what her bosses wanted to do. No independent witnesses to this interaction were identified. The evidence is insufficient to allow COPA to come to a conclusion regarding what transpired during this interaction. Thus, this allegation is Not Sustained.

COPA finds that **Allegations 28**, that Sgt. Carrillo used his position for personal gain in that he attempted to influence the outcome of a criminal investigation by contacting Detective Scanlan, Lieutenant Roberts, and Sgt. Wood, is **Not Sustained**. There is no dispute that Sgt. Carrillo sent an email to Det. Scanlan, Lt. Roberts and Sgt. Wood regarding coming to an unfounded finding in the criminal investigation. There is also no dispute as to the contents of that email. However, COPA must determine if the contents of that email were in violation of Department rules in that Sgt. Carrillo was attempting to use his position for personal gain and influence the outcome of the investigation. There is insufficient evidence to prove this allegation. Det. Scanlan stated the email made her uncomfortable because she did not think it was appropriate for him to suggest how the investigation should proceed, but she did not feel bullied or intimidated and does not believe that Sgt. Carrillo was interfering with the investigation. Sgt. Wood seemed mainly to take issue with Sgt. Carrillo's email because he was a sergeant and of a higher rank than Det. Scanlan. Sgt. Carrillo asserted he was under the impression that the criminal investigation was already over at this point and was simply asking a question about whether an unfounded finding was appropriate. He further acknowledged that he did not know what evidence there was in the criminal case and, therefore, could not determine which finding was more appropriate. Furthermore, Sgt. Carrillo insists that he was not attempting to influence the investigation and notes that he was attempting to ask his question in an appropriate manner and follow chain of command by including Det. Scanlan's lieutenant and sergeant on the email. If Det. Scanlan did tell Sgt. Carrillo that the case was over when they were at the training academy, it is less likely that Sgt. Carrillo's email was an attempt to use his position to influence the outcome of the investigation. However, as previously discussed, there insufficient evidence for COPA to make that determination. Thus, this allegation is Not Sustained.

Approved:



9/23/2021

Matthew Haynam
Deputy Chief Administrator – Chief Investigator

Date

Appendix A

Assigned Investigative Staff

Squad#:	9
Investigator:	Shykela Carter
Supervising Investigator:	Sharday Jackson
Deputy Chief Administrator:	Matthew Haynam