

City of Chicago

Independent Police Review Authority

Quarterly Report
January 1, 2015 – March 31, 2015

April 15, 2015

This report is filed pursuant to Municipal Code of Chicago, Section 2-57-110, which requires the filing of quarterly reports. This quarterly report provides information for the period January 1, 2015 through March 31, 2015. The information contained in this report is accurate as of March 31, 2015. All of IPRA's public reports are available at www.iprachicago.org.

Quarterly Overview

During the first three months of 2015, IPRA opened 298 investigations. Eighty-two of those cases were the result of officers discharging their Tasers. The 4 officer-involved shooting investigations during the first quarter of 2015 represent the smallest number of officer-involved cases from any quarter since the existence of IPRA in September 2007.

From the beginning of January to the end of March, IPRA completed 414 investigations. IPRA began 2015 having completed 27 sustained investigations during the first three months. These are investigations where discipline was recommended by IPRA. Mediation numbers remained steady; there were 24 cases during the last quarter where mediation was deemed appropriate and 22 officers accepted mediation, an acceptance rate increase of ten percent from the previous quarter. IPRA will continue to work with the Fraternal Order of Police and the Policemen's Benevolent & Protective Association of Illinois to extend mediation to those cases where it is warranted, thus leaving more investigative resources to close older cases.

IPRA continues to operate with the continued vacancy of a Supervising Investigator. However, 5 previously vacant Intake Aide positions have been converted to Investigator I positions and a total of 6 new Investigator I's are scheduled to start working at IPRA within the next few weeks. These new additions will allow for a slight restructuring and streamlining of the intake process and allow for additional flexibility to further increase efficiencies in the department.

The IPRA continues its efforts to engage with the community. IPRA was in the Austin Community on the City's Westside to attend and speak at the West Side Unity Town Hall Meeting with State Representative LaShawn Ford, 37th Ward Alderman Emma Mitts, and other stakeholders. The Delta Sigma Theta Sorority held an event at the 6th District Police Station in the Greater Grand Crossing Community to address gun violence and Officer-Involved shootings among other issues. IPRA was present to discuss its role in the police disciplinary process and answer questions. In March, IPRA was pleased to share information about the department to a group of youth at the community organization *Imagine Englewood if...* The IPRA also attended the three monthly Police Board Meetings held during this quarter.

IPRA Cumulative Figures

	INTAKE (all allegations/ notifications) ¹	IPRA Investigations Opened ²	IPRA Investigations Closed ³	IPRA Caseload
Sept. 2007	746	216	162	1290
4Q 2007	2273	613	368	1535
1Q 2008	2366	590	554	1571
2Q 2008	2436	640	670	1541
3Q 2008	2634	681	667	1555
4Q 2008	2337	699	692	1562
1Q 2009	2384	657	687	1532
2Q 2009	2648	755	651	1635
3Q 2009	2807	812	586	1981
4Q 2009	2235	617	654	1949
1Q 2010	2191	640	561	2028
2Q 2010	2626	868	832	2048
3Q 2010	2591	942	835	2168
4Q 2010	2127	746	681 ⁴	2233
1Q 2011	2023	610	711	2132
2Q 2011	2171	778	747	2159
3Q 2011	2335	788	749	2173
4Q 2011	2038	688	594	2237
1Q 2012	1995	620	649	2210
2Q 2012	2155	693	747	2155
3Q 2012	2264	690	698	2147

IPRA Cumulative Figures (Continued)

¹ Pursuant to the IPRA Ordinance, certain events trigger an IPRA investigation even in the absence of an allegation of misconduct. The term “notification” refers to those events that IPRA investigates where there is no alleged misconduct.

² This number includes investigations opened and assigned to IPRA as of the end of the identified quarter. It does not include investigations “Re-opened” because of the settlement of litigation, new evidence, or the results of the Command Channel Review process.

³ This number may include some investigations “Re-closed” after being Re-opened.

⁴ The number of investigations closed and IPRA Caseload reflect a correction of numbers reported in a previous report.

	INTAKE (all allegations/ notifications)	IPRA Investigations Opened	IPRA Investigations Closed	IPRA Caseload
4Q 2012	1824	543	759	1925
1Q 2013	1828	475	509	1883
2Q 2013	2122	558	668	1754
3Q 2013	2032	508	692	1594
4Q 2013	1588	375	632	1327
1Q 2014	1483	388	583	1133
2Q 2014	1768	484	642	971
3Q 2014	1672	437	542	862
4Q 2014	1377	354	443	771
1Q 2015	1251	298	414	655

IPRA Investigations Opened by Incident Type⁵

	IPRA (COMPLAINT S)	IPRA (NOTIFICATIONS)					
	INFO & CR	EXTRAORDINARY OCCURRENCE (EO)	HIT SHOOTING (U#)	NON-HIT SHOOTING	SHOOTING/ ANIMAL	TASER	OC DISCHARGE
Sept. 2007	195	4	4	3		3	2
4Q 2007	572	18	7	1		12	5
1Q 2008	475	16	8	12	18	31	16
2Q 2008	526	16	15	8	21	45	9
3Q 2008	563	8	14	10	20	52	13
4Q 2008	579	16	14	7	24	35	24
1Q 2009	553	11	9	9	25	39	14
2Q 2009	624	15	14	13	28	56	7
3Q 2009	657	21	18	16	18	63	22
4Q 2009	495	19	16	19	20	39	9
1Q 2010	482	13	12	14	29	74	15

IPRA Investigations Opened by Incident Type (Continued)

⁵ Note: A single investigation may fall into more than one Incident Type. For instance, an investigation may be both an Extraordinary Occurrence (EO) and a Complaint Register (CR). For this chart, the investigation is counted in all applicable Incident Types. They are counted only once, in the total Log Numbers retained by IPRA. As defined by ordinance, an Extraordinary Occurrence (EO) is a death or injury to a person while in police custody or other extraordinary or unusual occurrence in a lockup facility.

	IPRA (COMPLAINTS)	IPRA (NOTIFICATIONS)					
	INFO & CR ⁶	EXTRAORDINARY OCCURRENCE (EO)	HIT SHOOTING (U#)	NON-HIT SHOOTING	SHOOTING/ ANIMAL	TASER	OC DISCHARGE ⁷
2Q 2010	505	16	10	10	19	285	27
3Q 2010	576	15	11	10	30	285	16
4Q 2010	470	7	10	10	28	227	10
1Q 2 011	377	17	15	12	27	155	10
2Q 2011	471	9	20	10	20	240	10
3Q 2011	460	15	16	17	22	248	9
4Q 2011	420	10	7	14	20	210	6
1Q 2012	384	14	12	10	13	186	3
2Q 2012	440	9	5	12	23	188	3
3Q 2012	411	12	19	14	28	204	5
4Q 2012	328	8	14	13	26	149	4
1Q 2013	329	24	11	9	15	87	5
2Q 2013	400	14	13	7	16	96	5
3Q 2013	344	14	13	5	14	110	8
4Q 2013	263	17	5	4	9	77	2
1Q 2014	264	17	10	4	14	76	2
2Q 2014	307	25	9	9	23	111	1
3Q 2014	269	12	13	9	17	115	2
4Q 2014	325	7	13	8	19	82	3
1Q 2015	325	13	4	5	12	82	3

⁶ These numbers include two Log Numbers classified as both a U Number and a Complaint Register. These Log Numbers are counted only once in the total number of Log Numbers retained by IPRA, but included in the breakouts of all applicable incident types.

⁷ As of December 31, 2007, IPRA issued a Log Number for notifications of uses of taser, pepper spray, or for shootings where no one is injured only if it received a telephonic notification of the incident or there was an allegation of misconduct. As of January 1, 2008, IPRA implemented procedures to issue Log Numbers for all uses of Taser deployments and shootings, regardless of the method of notification. In addition, CPD issued a reminder to CPD personnel to provide notification to IPRA. IPRA continues to issue Log Numbers for discharges of pepper spray at the request of CPD personnel.

	* COMPLAINT INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED				
	Sustained ⁸	Not Sustained ⁹	Unfounded	Exonerated	No Affidavit
1Q 2015	27	89	59	4	62

* Investigation Completed include Closed and Re-Closed cases

2-57-110(6): The number of complaints filed in each district since the last report¹⁰

Between January 1, 2015 and March 31, 2015, IPRA received complaints of alleged misconduct based on incidents in the following districts, as follows:

District 01 = 50	District 07 = 67	District 14 = 23	District 20 = 17
District 02 = 65	District 08 = 75	District 15 = 40	District 22 = 41
District 03 = 62	District 09 = 48	District 16 = 37	District 24 = 32
District 04 = 69	District 10 = 38	District 17 = 15	District 25 = 60
District 05 = 71	District 11 = 90	District 18 = 49	
District 06 = 65	District 12 = 39	District 19 = 51	
Outside City Limits = 21	Unknown location = 18		

⁸ Abstracts for all investigations where IPRA has recommended a sustained finding can be found at www.iprachicago.org under the Resources heading.

⁹ The term “not sustained” is a term of art in police misconduct investigations. It is defined in CPD Special Order S08-01-01 as “when there is insufficient evidence either to prove or disprove allegation.” In addition, cases may be “unfounded,” which means “the allegation is false or not factual.”

¹⁰ “Complaints”, reported as log numbers, is defined as all reports of alleged misconduct, whether from the community or from a source internal to the Police Department, whether a Complaint Register number has been issued or not. This does not include, absent an allegation of misconduct, reports of uses of Tasers, pepper spray, discharges of weapons whether hitting an individual or not, or Extraordinary Occurrences. Districts are identified based on the district where the alleged misconduct occurred. Some complaints occurred in more than one District, they are counted in each district where they occurred. This list does include confidential complaints.

2-57-110(7): The number of complaints filed against each officer in each district since the last report¹¹

2-57-110(8): The number of complaints referred to other agencies and the identity of such other agencies

Between January 1, 2015 and March 31, 2015, IPRA referred 961 cases to other agencies as follows:

Chicago Police Department – Internal Affairs Division = 953

Cook County State’s Attorney = 6

Federal Bureau of Investigations = 2

(See Attachment)

¹¹ This uses the same definition of “complaints” as the preceding section. Except as otherwise noted, if a member was assigned to one unit but detailed to another at the time of the complaint, the member is listed under the detailed unit.

ATTACHMENT: COMPLAINTS AGAINST CPD MEMBERS BY UNIT

District 001

Members 1-16: 1 complaint each
Member 17: 2 complaints

District 002

Members 1-13: 1 complaint each
Member 14: 2 complaints

District 003

Members 1-17: 1 complaint each
Member 18: 2 complaints each
Member 19: 3 complaints

District 004

Members 1-26: 1 complaint each
Members 27- 28: 2 complaints each
Member 29: 3 complaints

District 005

Members 1-21: 1 complaint each
Members 22-24: 2 complaints each

District 006

Members 1-21: 1 complaint each
Members 22-23: 2 complaints each

District 007

Members 1-30: 1 complaint each
Members 31-34: 2 complaints each
Member 35: 3 complaints

District 008

Members 1-26: 1 complaint each
Member 27-30: 2 complaints each

District 009

Members 1-16: 1 complaint each
Members 17-18: 2 complaints each

District 010

Members 1-21: 1 complaint each

District 011

Members 1-24: 1 complaint each

District 012

Members 1-10: 1 complaint each

District 014

Members 1-19: 1 complaint each
Member 20: 2 complaints

District 015

Members 1-13: 1 complaint each
Member 14: 2 complaints

District 016

Members 1-9: 1 complaint each
Members 10-11: 2 complaints each

District 017

Members 1-6: 1 complaint each

District 018

Members 1-19: 1 complaint each
Members 20-21: 2 complaints each
Member 22: 3 complaints

District 019

Members 1-21: 1 complaint each
Members 22-23: 2 complaints each

District 020

Members 1-7: 1 complaint each

District 022

Members 1-11: 1 complaint each

District 024

Members 1-16: 1 complaint each

District 025

Members 1-20: 1 complaint each
Member 21: 2 complaints

Recruit Training (044)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Airport Law Enforcement Unit – North (050)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Airport Law Enforcement Unit – South (051)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Marine Unit (059)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Special Investigations Unit (079)

Member 1: 1 complaint each

Deployment Operations Center (116)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Bureau of Internal Affairs (121)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Human Resources Division (123)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Public Safety Information Technology (PSIT) (125)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Professional Counseling Division (128)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Special Functions Division (141)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Traffic Section (145)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Loop Traffic Unit (152)¹

Member 1: 1 complaint

Records Inquiry Section (163)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Field Services Section (166)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Evidence and Recovered Property Section (167)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Central Detention (171)

Members 1-6: 1 complaint each

Bureau of Detectives (180)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Narcotics Section (189)

Members 1-22: 1 complaint each

Member 23: 2 complaints

Intelligence Section (191)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Vice and Asset Forfeiture Division (192)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Gang Investigation Division (193)

Members 1-6: 1 complaint each

Bureau of Patrol – Area Central (211)

Members 1-5: 1 complaint each

Member 6: 2 complaints

Bureau of Patrol – Area South (212)

Members 1-13: 1 complaint each

Members 14-15: 2 complaints each

Bureau of Patrol – Area North (213)

Members 1-10: 1 complaint each

Member 11: 2 complaints

Medical Services Section (231)

Member 1: 1 complaint each

¹ This unit no longer exists.

Gang Enforcement – Area Central (311)

Members 1-11: 1 complaint each

Member 12: 2 complaints

Gang Enforcement – Area South (312)

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

Member 4: 2 complaints

Gang Enforcement – Area North (313)

Members 1-9: 1 complaint each

Canine Unit (341)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Alternate Response Section (376)

Members 1-7: 1 complaint each

Juvenile Intervention Support Center (JISC) (384)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Gang Enforcement Division (393)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Area Central , Deputy Chief – Bureau of Patrol (411)

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

Area South , Deputy Chief – Bureau of Patrol (412)

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

Area North , Deputy Chief – Bureau of Patrol (413)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Special Activities Section (441)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Central Investigations Unit (606)

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

Major Accident Investigation Unit (608)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Bureau of Detectives – Area Central (610)

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

Member 5: 2 complaints

Bureau of Detectives – Area South (620)

Members 1-6: 1 complaint each

Member 7: 2 complaints

Bureau of Detectives – Area North (630)

Members 1-14: 1 complaint each

Member 15: 2 complaints

Public Transportation Section (701)

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

Transit Security Unit (704)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Violence Reduction Initiative – South (712)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

January 2015

Log/C.R. No. 1050351

Notification Date: November 29, 2011

Location: 11th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (A and B) and the minor Subject, it was alleged that ,while inside of a Chicago Public School, Officer A choked the minor Subject and Officer B head-butted the minor Subject. Additionally, both Officers were alleged to have failed to make notification and complete required Department Reports after being assaulted by the minor Subject.

Finding: During mediation, the Officers agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation of failing to make the required notification and complete required reports after being assaulted by the minor Subject, and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**. All other allegations were "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

Log/C.R. No. 1054598

Notification Date: June 8, 2012

Location: 11th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant, the Officer was alleged to have struck the Complainant's head on the concrete, struck Complainant about his body with his knee, failed to render aid to the Complainant, failed to submit a Tactical Response Report, and failed to thoroughly complete a contact card regarding his contact with Complainant.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations of striking the Complainant's head on the concrete, failing to render aid to Complainant, failing to submit a Tactical Response Report, and failing to thoroughly complete a contact card regarding his contact with Complainant and a penalty of a **5-day suspension**. The allegation of striking complainant about the body with his knee was "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

February 2015

Log/C.R. No. 1044664

Notification Date: April 11, 2011

Location: 6th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving five on-duty CPD members including three Officers (A, B, and C), one unknown Officer, a Commander, and the Subject, it was alleged that the Commander pressed his hand against the Subject's nose/face, threatened the Subject, forcibly pressed his fingers into the Subjects' neck, failed to submit a Tactical Response Report regarding his contact with the Subject, failed to document that the Subject had been uncooperative and refused to be fingerprinted, and failed to provide the Subject with medical treatment while in police custody. The allegations against Officer A were that he twisted the Subject's arm, failed to ensure the safety and welfare of the Subject from the Commander's physical and verbal abuse, failed to report the misconduct of the Commander, failed to provide the Subject with medical attention after she was physically abused by the Commander, and failed to properly document and report that the Subject had been uncooperative and physically restrained. The allegations against Officers B and C were that they observed misconduct and failed to take appropriate action, failed to ensure the welfare and safety of the Subject when they did not intervene during the misconduct of the Commander, failed to provide the Subject with medical attention after she was physically abused by the Commander, and failed to document that the Subject had been uncooperative and refused to be fingerprinted. Additional allegations against Officer B include charges she displayed her Taser and threatened the Subject without justification. Lastly, it was alleged that a Unknown Officer forcibly pressed his finger against the Subject's neck.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the Commander, Officers B and C, the Complainant and one witness; department reports/records; court documents, including deposition transcripts of the Complainant, Officers A, B, and C; photographs and medical records, IPRA recommended the following:

Commander: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that he Threatened the Subject and pressed his hand against the Subject's nose/face and failed to provide medical treatment to the Subject, and

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

February 2015

a penalty of a **15-day Suspension**. **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he forcibly pressed his fingers in Subjects' neck, failed to submit a Tactical Response Report regarding his contact with the Subject, and failed to document that the Subject had been uncooperative and refused to be fingerprinted.

Officer A: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all allegations.

Officer B and C: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation of observing misconduct and failing to take appropriate action, and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension**. **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all other allegations.

Unknown Officer: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation.

Log/C.R. No. 1012748

Notification Date: December 19, 2007

Location: 11th District

Complaint: Improper care of Subject

In an incident involving ten on-duty CPD members including nine Officers (A-I) and a Lieutenant, it was alleged that Officers A, B, D, H, and I failed to properly search the Subject while in custody, which resulted in the Subject's suicide. It was alleged that the Lieutenant and Officers C, E, F and G failed to take appropriate action when they failed to transport the Subject to the nearest mental health facility once the Subject made comments regarding threats of suicide.

Findings: Based on statements from the 10 accused CPD members, department reports/records, Medical examiner records/reports, and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation.

Officer B: **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation.

Officer C: **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation of failing to take appropriate action and a penalty of Violation Noted.

Officer D: **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

February 2015

Officer E: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he failed to take appropriate action and a penalty of a **1-day suspension**.

Officer F: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he failed to take appropriate action and a penalty of a **1-day suspension**.

Officer G: "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation of failing to take appropriate action and a penalty of Violation Noted.

Officer H: "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation of failing to properly search the Subject and a penalty of Violation Noted.

Officer I: "**UNFOUNDED**" for the allegation.

Lieutenant: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he failed to take appropriate action and a penalty of a Written Reprimand

Log/C.R. No. 1066643

Notification Date: December 17, 2013

Location: 16th District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In a domestic incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the Victim, it was alleged that the Officer verbally abused and kicked the Victim on the hand. The responding Sergeant was alleged to have failed to initiate an investigation after being made aware of allegations of misconduct against the Officer.

Finding:

Officer: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation of verbal abuse against the victim and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**. The allegation of kicking the victim's hand was "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

February 2015

Sergeant: During mediation, the Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation of failing to initiate an investigation regarding the police misconduct and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

Log/C.R. No. 1070597

Notification Date: July 27, 2014

Location: 16th District

Complaint: Racial/Ethnic

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and two Complainants (A and B), the Officer was alleged to have directed profanities and threatened to kick or beat up both Complainants, all of whom were walking their dogs at a city dog park. Additionally, the Officer was alleged to have called Complainant B a racially derogatory name.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused, the two Complainants and two witnesses; department reports/records; and OEMC records, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations and a Penalty of a **15-day Suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1072294

Notification Date: October 29, 2014

Location: 6th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant it was alleged the Officer detained, grabbed and pushed the Complainant about the body, and then pushed him to the ground while the Complainant was waiting at a bus/train terminal. It was further alleged that the Officer failed to properly document his encounter with the Complainant.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused, the Complainant and a witness/Officer; department reports/records; and Chicago Transit Authority (CTA) video, IPRA recommended the following:

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

February 2015

Officer: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that the Officer detained, grabbed and pushed the Complainant about the body and pushed him to the ground, and a penalty of a **Reprimand**. "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for all other allegations.

Log/C.R. No. 1073077

Notification Date: December 23, 2014

Location: 11th District

Complaint: Accidental discharge of firearm

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty Sergeant and the Victim/Officer, it was alleged that the Sergeant was inattentive to duty in that he failed to properly secure a loaded firearm while cleaning it, resulting in an accidental discharge, striking the Victim/Officer.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2015

Log/C.R. No. 1029005

Notification Date: August 8, 2009

Location: 22nd District

Complaint: Unnecessary Physical Contact

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Crossing Guard and the Complainant, it was alleged that while at the residence of the Complainant's boyfriend, the CPD Crossing Guard pushed, threatened, directed profanities, and grabbed Complainant's hair.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused, the Complainant and two witnesses; department reports/records; and OEMC transmissions IPRA recommended the following:

Crossing Guard: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations and a penalty of the "**VIOLATION NOTED**".

Log/C.R. No. 1063213

Notification Date: June 30, 2013

Location: 2nd District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant, the Officer was alleged to have grabbed, choked, threw the Complainant to the wall, punched Complainant in the stomach, and slapped the Complainant on the face. Additional allegations included failure to submit a Tactical Response Report and complete a contact card regarding his contact with Complainant.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations and a penalty of a **45-day suspension**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2015

Log/C.R. No. 1065147

Notification Date: September 13, 2014

Location: 16th District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving a CPD Officer and a Complainant (an ex-boyfriend), it was alleged that the Officer repeatedly went to the Complainant's house unannounced and, on one occasion, banged on his gate, yelled obscenities, made repeated calls to the Complainant's personal phone and to his place of employment, left inappropriate voicemails on the Complainant's phone, sent inappropriate text messages to his business phone, grabbed him by the neck, used CPD resources to run a check on the complainant and his female companion, and sent his female companion an anonymous letter.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations of going to the complainant's house unannounced and banging on his gate, yelling obscenities, repeatedly calling and leaving inappropriate voicemails on the Complainant's phone, and mailing a letter to the Complainant's female companion and a penalty of a **1-day suspension**. All other allegations were "**NOT SUSTAINED**".

Log/C.R. No. 1073052

Notification Date: December 20, 2014

Location: 7th District

Complaint: Accidental Taser Discharge

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have been inattentive to duty when she accidentally discharged her Taser.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2015

Log/C.R. No. 1072909

Notification Date: December 7, 2014

Location: 20th District

Complaint: Racial/Ethnic

Summary: In an incident involving two CPD Officers, Officer A and Complainant/Officer B, Officer A was alleged to have degraded Complainant/Officer B's work ethic and being verbally abusive on various occasions to Complainant/Officer B by directing racial and sexually charged language toward the Officer.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation of degrading Complainant/Officer B's work ethic and a penalty of a "**Violation Noted**". The allegation that the Officer was verbally abusive was "**NOT SUSTAINED**".

Log/C.R. No. 1066696

Notification Date: December 20, 2013

Location: 6th District

Complaint: Accidental Weapon Discharge

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have been inattentive to duty when he failed to properly unload an arrestee's weapon, causing the weapon to discharge.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **1-day suspension**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2015

Log/C.R. No. 1065545

Notification Date: October 16, 2013

Location: 8th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant, the Officer was alleged to have directed profanities at the Complainant, grabbed and slapped him, and failed to submit a Tactical Response Report.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations of slapping the Complainant and failing to submit a Tactical Response Report and a penalty of a **1-day suspension**. "**EXONERATED**" for the allegation of grabbing the Complainant and "**UNFOUNDED**" for the allegation of directing profanities.

Log/C.R. No. 1061604

Notification Date: April 18, 2013

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving an CPD Officer and the Officer's minor child, the Officer was alleged to have struck the minor on the head with his hand and struck him about the body numerous times with a belt.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations and a penalty of a **3-day suspension**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2015

Log/C.R. No. 1068222

Notification Date: March 26, 2014

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Accidental Taser Discharge

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have been inattentive to duty when he accidentally discharged his Taser.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

Log/C.R. No. 1068432

Notification Date: April 6, 2014

Location: 16th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant, the Officer was alleged to have been engaged in a "Road Rage" incident with the Complainant, directed profanities at him, refused to identify himself by not providing his name and star number, pushed the Complainant, threw him to the ground, discharged his OC spray at the Complainant, and failed to adhere to the OEMC operator's direction to terminate his pursuit of Complainant.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations and a penalty of a **24-day suspension**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2015

Log/C.R. No. 1073682

Notification Date: February 6, 2015

Location: 8th District

Complaint: Accidental Taser Discharge

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, it was alleged that the Officer was inattentive to duty when he discharged a Taser cartridge during a spark test.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

Log/C.R. No. 1054080

Notification Date: May 15, 2012

Location: 16th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving two CPD members, an on-duty CPD Sergeant and an off-duty CPD Officer, and the Complainant, it was alleged that, while patronizing a bar, Officer A directed profanities and threw a bottle at the Complainant. After the incident, the Complainant drove to the 16th District where she reported the incident to the Sergeant. It was alleged that the Sergeant failed to register the Complaint or to complete departmental records regarding the incident.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from both accused CPD members, the Complainant, nine witnesses, and two officer/witnesses; department reports/records; medical records, photos, phone records, and GPS records, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations.

Sergeant: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations and a penalty of a "**VIOLATION NOTED**".

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2015

Log/C.R. No. 1054496

Notification Date: June 4, 2012

Location: 14th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant, the Officer was alleged to have stopped and searched the Complainant without justification, pointed his weapon at the Complainant, threw him to the ground placing his knees on Complainant's neck, struck him in the face with his fist, directed profanities at him and failed to complete departmental reports.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused, the Complainant, and two witnesses; department reports/records; photos, and GPS records, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he struck The Complainant in the face with his fist, directed profanities at him and failed to complete departmental reports and a penalty of a **10-day suspension**. **"EXONERATED"** for the allegations of stopping and searching Complainant without justification, pointing his weapon at the Complainant, and throwing him to the ground, placing his knees on the Complainant's neck.

Log/C.R. No. 1063571

Notification Date: July 14, 2013

Location: 12th District

Complaint: Unnecessary Physical Contact

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (A and B) and the Complainant, Officer A and B were alleged to have detained the Complainant without justification and then failed to document their contact with the Complainant. Further allegations against Officer B were that he searched, grabbed and pushed the Complainant onto the hood of the squad car without justification.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from both accused, the Complainant, and two witnesses; and department reports/records, IPRA recommended the following:

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2015

Officer A: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for failing to document contact with the Complainant and a penalty of a "**VIOLATION NOTED**". "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for detaining the Complainant without justification.

Officer B: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for failing to document contact with the Complainant and a penalty of a "**VIOLATION NOTED**". "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for detaining, searching, grabbing and pushing the Complainant onto the hood squad car without justification.

Log/C.R. No. 1067614

Notification Date: February 20, 2014

Location: 6th District

Complaint: Unnecessary Display of Weapon

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant/spouse, while engaging in a traffic altercation with his spouse, it was alleged that the Officer directed profanities at the Complainant, unnecessarily displayed his weapon, and engaged in reckless behavior by pursuing the Complainant in his personal vehicle.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused and the Complainant, department reports/records, photos, and OEMC transmissions, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for unnecessary display of his weapon and engaging in reckless behavior by pursuing the Complainant in his personal vehicle and a penalty of a "**5-day suspension**". "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for directing profanities at the Complainant.

Log/C.R. No. 1067734

Notification Date: February 28, 2014

Location: 12th District

Complaint: Accidental Weapon Discharge

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, it was alleged that the Officer was inattentive to duty when he accidentally discharged his firearm at the police facility.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2015

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

Log/C.R. No. 1068635

Notification Date: April 16, 2014

Location: 9th District

Complaint: Unnecessary Physical Contact

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant, it was alleged that the Officer pulled the Complainant off a CTA bus, pushed him down the street, and failed to complete a contact card.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation of failing to complete a contact card and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**. "**EXONERATED**" for all other allegations.

Log/C.R. No. 1030456

Notification Date: September 24, 2009

Location: 2nd District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving three on-duty CPD Officers (A, B, and C) and two Subjects/Complainants (A and B), it was alleged that Officer A struck Subject A in the head and about the body with his baton, slammed Subject A and repeatedly struck him in the face with an open hand, and struck Subject B in the head with his baton. Officers B and C were alleged to have failed to provide service when they responded to the hospital and failed to notify a supervisor that Subject B wished to file a complaint.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the three accused officers, both Subjects/Complainants, and one witness; department reports/records, POD camera, photos, medical records, and Illinois State Police reports, IPRA recommended the following:

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2015

Officer A: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for striking both Subject's in the head with his baton and a penalty of a **" 3-day suspension"**. **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all other allegations.

Officer B: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for failing to notify a supervisor that Subject B wished to file a complaint and a penalty of the **"VIOLATION NOTED"**.

Officer C: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for failing to notify a supervisor that Subject B wished to file a complaint and a penalty of the **"VIOLATION NOTED"**.

Log/C.R. No. 1046670

Notification Date: July 4, 2011

Location: 7th District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving four on-duty CPD Officers (A, B, C, and an Unknown Officer) and the Subject, it was alleged that, during the Subject's arrest, Officer A struck the Subject about the body with a baton, placed his foot on his back, and failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR). Officer B was alleged to have tackled the Subject to the ground and placed his knee on his back. Officer C was alleged to have struck the Subject about the body with an asp, directed profanities, and failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR). The Unknown officer was alleged to have stomped the Subject about the body.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the three identified accused officers, the Subject, and three witnesses; department reports/records, POD camera, photos, video, and OEMC transmissions, IPRA recommended the following:

Unknown Officer: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation.

Officer A: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations and a penalty of a **2-day suspension**.

Officer B: A finding of **"EXONERATED"** for the allegations.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2015

Officer C: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations and a penalty of a **2-day suspension**.