

**City of Chicago**

**Independent Police Review Authority**

**Quarterly Report**

**July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015**

**Information contained herein is filed pursuant to the  
Municipal Code of Chicago, Chapter 2-57-110**

**October 15, 2015**

This report is filed pursuant to Municipal Code of Chicago, Section 2-57-110, which requires the filing of quarterly reports. This quarterly report provides information for the period July 1, 2015 through September 30, 2015. The information contained in this report is accurate as of October 15, 2015. All of IPRA's public reports are available at [www.iprachicago.org](http://www.iprachicago.org).

### **Quarterly Overview**

There were 15 officer-involved shootings (OIS) between July and September. This is nearly double in comparison with the previous two quarters combined, during which time there were 4 OIS investigations in each quarter. However, the number of OIS in Chicago still remains on pace for the lowest number of OIS for any calendar year since IPRA's creation.

There was a slight increase in the number cases IPRA opened for the third straight quarter. During the period of July through September, 391 IPRA investigations were opened; that number includes 114 instances in which officers discharged their Tasers.

IPRA closed 348 investigations from all years during the third quarter. IPRA completed 24 sustained investigations from July to September where discipline was recommended. Mediation numbers increased slightly as well. There were 30 cases during the last quarter where mediation was deemed appropriate and 27 cases where officers accepted mediation. IPRA will continue to work with the Fraternal Order of Police and the Policemen's Benevolent & Protective Association of Illinois to extend mediation to those department members where it is warranted, thus leaving more investigative resources to close older cases.

During July 1, 2015 through September 30, 2015, IPRA referred 1,068 cases to other agencies as follows:

- Chicago Police Department Bureau of Internal Affairs = 1,052
- Cook County State's Attorney's Office = 15
- Federal Bureau of Investigation = 1

IPRA held a meeting with the Chicago Chapter of Asian Americans Advancing Justice following the IPRA recommendation of discipline in a case that previously drew media attention. IPRA also hosted a delegation from the Czech Republic consisting of police officers, prosecutors, and judges. IPRA will continue to host police oversight guests from other countries, as well as reaching out to the community in Chicago. The Chief Administrator and other IPRA personnel also attended the three monthly Police Board Meetings held in July, August, and September.

If there are community groups, religious organizations, public officials, schools, colleges or any other groups that are interested in having the IPRA make a presentation, please call IPRA's Director of Community Outreach & Engagement, Larry Merritt at 312-746-3609.

## IPRA Cumulative Figures

	INTAKE (all allegations/ notifications) <sup>1</sup>	IPRA Investigations Opened <sup>2</sup>	IPRA Investigations Closed <sup>3</sup>	IPRA Caseload
Sept. 2007	746	216	162	1290
4Q 2007	2273	613	368	1535
1Q 2008	2366	590	554	1571
2Q 2008	2436	640	670	1541
3Q 2008	2634	681	667	1555
4Q 2008	2337	699	692	1562
1Q 2009	2384	657	687	1532
2Q 2009	2648	755	651	1635
3Q 2009	2807	812	586	1981
4Q 2009	2235	617	654	1949
1Q 2010	2191	640	561	2028
2Q 2010	2626	868	832	2048
3Q 2010	2591	942	835	2168
4Q 2010	2127	746	681 <sup>4</sup>	2233
1Q 2011	2023	610	711	2132
2Q 2011	2171	778	747	2159
3Q 2011	2335	788	749	2173
4Q 2011	2038	688	594	2237
1Q 2012	1995	620	649	2210
2Q 2012	2155	693	747	2155
3Q 2012	2264	690	698	2147
4Q 2012	1824	543	759	1925
1Q 2013	1828	475	509	1883
2Q 2013	2122	558	668	1754

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the IPRA Ordinance, certain events trigger an IPRA investigation even in the absence of an allegation of misconduct. The term “notification” refers to those events that IPRA investigates where there is no alleged misconduct.

<sup>2</sup> This number includes investigations opened and assigned to IPRA as of the end of the identified quarter. It does not include investigations “Re-opened” because of the settlement of litigation, new evidence, or the results of the Command Channel Review process.

<sup>3</sup> This number may include some investigations “Re-closed” after being Re-opened.

<sup>4</sup> The number of investigations closed and IPRA Caseload reflect a correction of numbers reported in a previous report.

### IPRA Cumulative Figures (Continued)

	INTAKE (all allegations/ notifications)	IPRA Investigations Opened	IPRA Investigations Closed	IPRA Caseload
3Q 2013	2032	508	692	1594
4Q 2013	1588	375	632	1327
1Q 2014	1483	388	583	1133
2Q 2014	1768	484	642	971
3Q 2014	1672	437	542	862
4Q 2014	1377	354	443	771
1Q 2015	1251	298	414	655
2Q 2015	1463	377	385	636
3Q 2015	1443	391	348	672

### IPRA Investigations Opened by Incident Type<sup>5</sup>

	IPRA (COMPLAINT S)	IPRA (NOTIFICATIONS)					
	INFO & CR	EXTRAORDINARY OCCURRENCE (EO)	HIT SHOOTING (U#)	NON-HIT SHOOTING	SHOOTING/ ANIMAL	TASER	OC DISCHARGE
Sept.	195	4	4	3		3	2
4Q 2007	572	18	7	1		12	5
1Q 2008	475	16	8	12	18	31	16
2Q 2008	526	16	15	8	21	45	9
3Q 2008	563	8	14	10	20	52	13
4Q 2008	579	16	14	7	24	35	24
1Q 2009	553	11	9	9	25	39	14

<sup>5</sup> Note: A single investigation may fall into more than one Incident Type. For instance, an investigation may be both an Extraordinary Occurrence (EO) and a Complaint Register (CR). For this chart, the investigation is counted in all applicable Incident Types. They are counted only once, in the total Log Numbers retained by IPRA. An Extraordinary Occurrence (EO) is a death or injury to a person while in police custody or other extraordinary or unusual occurrence in a lockup facility.

## IPRA Investigations Opened by Incident Type (Continued)

	IPRA (COMPLAINTS)	IPRA (NOTIFICATIONS)					
	INFO & CR <sup>6</sup>	EXTRAORDINARY OCCURRENCE (EO)	HIT SHOOTING (U#)	NON-HIT SHOOTING	SHOOTING/ ANIMAL	TASER	OC DISCHARGE <sup>7</sup>
2Q 2009	624	15	14	13	28	56	7
3Q 2009	657	21	18	16	18	63	22
4Q 2009	495	19	16	19	20	39	9
1Q 2010	482	13	12	14	29	74	15
2Q 2010	505	16	10	10	19	285	27
3Q 2010	576	15	11	10	30	285	16
4Q 2010	470	7	10	10	28	227	10
1Q 2 011	377	17	15	12	27	155	10
2Q 2011	471	9	20	10	20	240	10
3Q 2011	460	15	16	17	22	248	9
4Q 2011	420	10	7	14	20	210	6
1Q 2012	384	14	12	10	13	186	3
2Q 2012	440	9	5	12	23	188	3
3Q 2012	411	12	19	14	28	204	5
4Q 2012	328	8	14	13	26	149	4
1Q 2013	329	24	11	9	15	87	5
2Q 2013	400	14	13	7	16	96	5
3Q 2013	344	14	13	5	14	110	8
4Q 2013	263	17	5	4	9	77	2
1Q 2014	264	17	10	4	14	76	2
2Q 2014	307	25	9	9	23	111	1
3Q 2014	269	12	13	9	17	115	2
4Q 2014	325	7	13	8	19	82	3
1Q 2015	325	13	4	5	12	82	3
2Q 2015	211	17	4	3	14	123	5
3Q 2015	213	14	15	7	20	114	4

<sup>6</sup> These numbers include one Log Number classified as both a U Number and a Complaint Register. These Log Numbers are counted only once in the total number of Log Numbers retained by IPRA, but included in the breakouts of all applicable incident types.

<sup>7</sup> As of December 31, 2007, IPRA issued a Log Number for notifications of uses of taser, pepper spray, or for shootings where no one is injured only if it received a telephonic notification of the incident or there was an allegation of misconduct. As of January 1, 2008, IPRA implemented procedures to issue Log Numbers for all uses of Taser deployments and shootings, regardless of the method of notification. In addition, CPD issued a reminder to CPD personnel to provide notification to IPRA. IPRA continues to issue Log Numbers for discharges of pepper spray at the request of CPD personnel.

	* COMPLAINT INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED CURRENT YEAR				
	Sustained <sup>8</sup>	Not Sustained <sup>9</sup>	Unfounded <sup>10</sup>	Exonerated <sup>11</sup>	No Affidavit <sup>12</sup>
1Q 2015	27	89	59	4	62
2Q 2015	37	61	40	1	85
3Q 2015	24	53	41	1	78

\* Investigation Completed include Closed and Re-Closed cases

Between July 1, 2015 and September 30, 2015, IPRA received complaints of alleged misconduct based on incidents in the following districts, as follows:

District 01 = 49	District 07 = 73	District 14 = 21	District 20 = 17
District 02 = 78	District 08 = 67	District 15 = 55	District 22 = 52
District 03 = 80	District 09 = 46	District 16 = 43	District 24 = 32
District 04 = 65	District 10 = 58	District 17 = 23	District 25 = 63
District 05 = 49	District 11 = 108	District 18 = 50	
District 06 = 70	District 12 = 64	District 19 = 54	
Outside City Limits = 29	Unknown location = 13		

(See Attachment)

<sup>8</sup> As defined in CPD Directive S08-01-01 as “when the allegation is supported by substantial evidence.” Abstracts for all investigations where IPRA has recommended a sustained finding can be found at [www.iprachicago.org](http://www.iprachicago.org) under the Resources heading.

<sup>9</sup> The finding of “not sustained” is a term used in police misconduct investigations. It is defined in CPD Directive S08-01-01 as “when there is insufficient evidence either to prove or disprove the allegation.”

<sup>10</sup> Defined in CPD Directive S08-01-01 as “when the allegation is false or not factual.

<sup>11</sup> Defined in CPD Directive S08-01-01 as “when the incident occurred but the actions of the accused were lawful and proper.

<sup>12</sup> Mandated by Collective Bargaining Agreements and pursuant to Illinois Compiled Statutes, 50 ILCS 725/ 3.8 (b), which states “(b) Anyone filing a complaint against a sworn peace officer must have the complaint supported by a sworn affidavit. Any complaint, having been supported by a sworn affidavit, and having been found, in total or in part, to contain knowingly false material information, shall be presented to the appropriate State’s Attorney for a determination of prosecution.

## **ATTACHMENT: COMPLAINTS AGAINST CPD MEMBERS BY UNIT**

### **District 001**

Members 1-12: 1 complaint each

Member 13: 2 complaints

### **District 002**

Members 1-19: 1 complaint each

Members 20-21: 2 complaints each

### **District 003**

Members 1-32: 1 complaint each

Member 33: 2 complaints

### **District 004**

Members 1-25: 1 complaint each

Members 26-28: 2 complaints each

### **District 005**

Members 1-13: 1 complaint each

### **District 006**

Members 1-25: 1 complaint each

Members 26-27: 2 complaints each

### **District 007**

Members 1-19: 1 complaint each

Member 20: 2 complaints

### **District 008**

Members 1-30: 1 complaint each

Members 31-32: 2 complaints each

### **District 009**

Members 1-7: 1 complaint each

Members 8-9: 2 complaints each

### **District 010**

Members 1-21: 1 complaint each

### **District 011**

Members 1-31: 1 complaint each

Members 32-39: 2 complaints each

Member 40: 3 complaints

### **District 012**

Members 1-27: 1 complaint each

Member 28: 2 complaints

### **District 014**

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

### **District 015**

Members 1-31: 1 complaint each

Member 32: 2 complaints

### **District 016**

Members 1-13: 1 complaint each

Member 14: 2 complaints

### **District 017**

Members 1-5: 1 complaint each

### **District 018**

Members 1-16: 1 complaint each

Member 17: 2 complaints

### **District 019**

Members 1-24: 1 complaint each

### **District 020**

Members 1-11: 1 complaint each

Member 12: 2 complaints

### **District 022**

Members 1-18: 1 complaint each

Member 19: 2 complaints

Member 20: 3 complaints

### **District 024**

Members 1-13: 1 complaint each

### **District 025**

Members 1-31: 1 complaint each

Members 32-33: 2 complaints each

### **Airport Law Enforcement Unit – North (050)**

Members 1-8: 1 complaint each

**Airport Law Enforcement Unit – South (051)**

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

**Mounted Patrol Unit (055)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Marine Unit (059)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Special Investigation Section (079)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Office of News Affairs (102)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Legal Affairs Section (114)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Deployment Operations Center (116)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Bureau of Administration (120)**

Member 1: 2 complaints

Member 2: 3 complaints

**Bureau of Internal Affairs (121)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

Member 2: 2 complaints

**Human Resources Division (123)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Education and Training Division (124)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Research and Development Division (127)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Bureau of Patrol (142)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Traffic Section (145)**

Member 1: 2 complaints

**Field Services Section (166)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

Member 2: 2 complaints

**Police Documents Section (169)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Bureau of Detectives (180)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Narcotics Section (189)**

Members 1-26: 1 complaint each

**Intelligence Section (191)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Vice and Asset Forfeiture Division (192)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Gang Investigation Division (193)**

Members 1-9: 1 complaint each

**Bureau of Patrol – Area Central (211)**

Members 1-11: 1 complaint each

Member 12: 2 complaints

**Bureau of Patrol – Area South (212)**

Members 1-14: 1 complaint each

Member 15: 2 complaints

**Bureau of Patrol – Area North (213)**

Members 1-5: 1 complaint each

**Medical Services Section (231)**

Member 1: 1 complaint each

**Gang Enforcement – Area Central (311)**

Members 1-7: 1 complaint each

**Gang Enforcement – Area South (312)**

Members 1-6: 1 complaint each

**Gang Enforcement – Area North (313)**

Members 1-5: 1 complaint each



**Canine Unite (341)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Special Weapons and Tactics (SWAT) Unit) 353**

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

**Alternate Response Section (376)**

Members 1-6: 1 complaint each

Members 7-8: 2 complaints each

**Juvenile Intervention Support Center (JISC) (384)**

Members 1-6: 1 complaint each

**Gang Enforcement Division (393)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Member 3: 2 complaints

**Area Central , Deputy Chief – Bureau of Patrol (411)**

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

**Area South , Deputy Chief – Bureau of Patrol (412)**

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

**Area North , Deputy Chief – Bureau of Patrol (413)**

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

**Bomb Unit (442)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Detached Services – Miscellaneous Detail (543)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Central Investigations Unit (606)**

Members 1-5: 1 complaint each

**Major Accident Investigation Unit (608)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Bureau of Detectives – Area Central (610)**

Members 1-12: 1 complaint each

**Bureau of Detectives – Area South (620)**

Members 1-7: 1 complaint each

**Bureau of Detectives – Area North (630)**

Members 1-9: 1 complaint each

Member 10: 2 complaints

**Public Transportation Section (701)**

Members 1-8: 1 complaint each

**Transit Security Unit (704)**

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

## **Abstracts of Sustained Cases**

**July 2015**

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1074748***

**Notification Date:** April 19, 2015

**Location:** 25th District

**Complaint:** Firearm Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, it was alleged that the Officer was inattentive to duty when he failed to properly handle his weapon causing it to discharge.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1065832***

**Notification Date:** November 1, 2013

**Location:** 8th District

**Complaint:** Firearm Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have accidentally discharged his weapon while cleaning it, causing injury to his leg.

**Finding:** Based on statements to IPRA from the Officer; department reports/records, medical records and photographs; IPRA recommended a finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1071816***

**Notification Date:** October 3, 2014

**Location:** 16th District

**Complaint:** Accidental Taser Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, it was alleged that while conducting a spark test, the Officer accidentally discharged the Taser.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

July 2015

### *Log/C.R. No. 1064799*

**Notification Date:** September 9, 201

**Location:** 4<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident

**Summary:** In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and two Complainants (Complainant 1 and Complainant 2), it was alleged that the Officer broke Complainant 1's living room window, repeatedly directed profanity at Complainant 2, repeatedly slapped Complainant 2 about her head and face, repeatedly scratched Complainant 2 about her face and body, repeatedly pulled Complainant 2's hair, bit Complainant 2 on her arm, displayed a firearm without justification, attempted to discharge OC spray without justification, failed to secure a firearm in that Complainant 1 took the firearm from the Officer, entered Complainant 1's residence without permission, engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with Complainant 1, and incited a domestic incident.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **45-day suspension** for the allegations that she broke Complainant 1's living room window, repeatedly directed profanity at Complainant 2, repeatedly scratched Complainant 2 about her face and body, repeatedly pulled Complainant 2's hair, bit Complainant 2 on her arm, displayed a firearm without justification, failed to secure a firearm in that Complainant 1 took the firearm from the Officer, entered Complainant 1's residence without permission, engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with Complainant 1, and incited a domestic incident; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all the other allegations.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1056599*

**Notification Date:** August 26, 2012

**Location:** 16<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident

**Summary:** In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer/boyfriend and the Complainant/girlfriend, it was alleged that the Officer/boyfriend fractured the Complainant/girlfriend's nasal bone when he struck her multiple times with his fist on her face and head

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

July 2015

and restrained the Complainant/girlfriend with his hands on her upper arms and shoulders without justification. It was further alleged that at unspecified locations, dates, and times the Officer/boyfriend physically abused the Complainant/girlfriend.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **5-day suspension** for the allegation that he fractured the Complainant/girlfriend's nasal bone when he struck her multiple times with his fist on her face and head and restrained the Complainant/girlfriend with his hands on her upper arms and shoulders without justification; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that at unspecified locations, dates, and times the Officer/boyfriend physically abused the Complainant/girlfriend.

**Log/C.R. No. 1042276**

**Notification Date:** December 26, 2010

**Location:** 17<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Firearm Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, it was alleged that the Officer used deadly force in violation of a department general order, made a false report that he accidentally discharged his weapon, falsely reported the circumstances regarding the discharge of his weapon, and was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his firearm.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **1-day suspension** for the allegation that he was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his firearm; "**UNFOUNDED**" for all the other allegations.

**Log/C.R. No. 1072561**

**Notification Date:** November 14, 2014

**Location:** 3<sup>rd</sup> District

**Complaint:** Accidental Taser Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, it was alleged that the Officer failed to maintain control of her Taser causing it to accidentally discharge.

## **Abstracts of Sustained Cases**

**July 2015**

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

***Log/C.R. No. 1073132***

**Notification Date:** December 28, 2014

**Location:** 11<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Firearm Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, it was alleged that the Officer failed to ensure the firearm that he recovered was unloaded and was inattentive to duty when he accidentally discharged a firearm that struck another officer.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations and a penalty of a **5-day suspension**.

***Log/C.R. No. 1069245***

**Notification Date:** May 18, 2014

**Location:** 12<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Firearm Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer, it was alleged that the Officer was inattentive to duty which resulted in his weapon discharging.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

***Log/C.R. No. 1054661***

**Notification Date:** June 11, 2012

**Location:** Chicago, IL

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

July 2015

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer/husband, the Complainant/wife, and the Detective/wife's brother, it was alleged that the Officer/husband grabbed the Complainant/wife's arm and shoved her. Also, on June 16, 2012, it was alleged that the Officer/husband violated a court order because he sent the Complainant/wife a text message and called her on the telephone. It was further alleged that between January 7 and July 1, 2012, the Officer/husband harassed the Complainant/wife by sending her numerous text messages. Finally, it was alleged that on September 9, 2011, the Officer/husband harassed and verbally abused the Detective/wife's brother by sending him a derogatory text message.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **Violation Noted** for the allegation that he harassed and verbally abused the Detective/wife's brother by sending him a derogatory text message; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for all the other allegations.

**Log/C.R. No. 1055341**

**Notification Date:** July 7, 2012

**Location:** 5<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Excessive Force

**Summary:** In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officer A and Officer B) and the Complainant, it was alleged that, while at Roseland Hospital and/or the hospital parking lot, Officer A grabbed the Complainant by his throat, stomped on the Complainant's ankle, slammed the Complainant against a wall and/or door, and directed profanity at the Complainant. Also, while at Roseland Hospital and/or hospital parking lot, it was alleged that Officer B directed profanity at the Complainant, directed additional profanity at the Complainant, spit on the Complainant while he was seated in the rear of a police vehicle, squirted water on the Complainant while he was seated in the rear of a police vehicle, and threw a mobile telephone at the Complainant.

**Finding:** Based on statements to IPRA from the accused, the Complainant; department reports/records, video footage, and medical records; IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer A:** A finding of "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

July 2015

**Officer B:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **1-day suspension** for the allegation that she squirted water on the Complainant while he was seated in the rear of a police vehicle; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for all the other allegations.

**Log/C.R. No. 1058646**

**Notification Date:** November 26, 2012

**Location:** 25<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Unnecessary Physical Contact

**Summary:** In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officer A and Officer B), two on-duty CPD Sergeants (Sergeant 1 and Sergeant 2) and two Complainants (Complainant 1 and Complainant 2), it was alleged that Officer A falsely charged Complainant 1 with resisting arrest, falsely charged Complainant 2 with fleeing/attempt to elude the police, falsified the circumstances of Complainant 2's arrest, falsified the circumstances of Complainant 1's arrest and brought discredit upon the Chicago Police Department with regards to the arrest and maltreatment of the Complainants. It was also alleged that Officer B falsely charged Complainant 1 with resisting arrest, falsely charged Complainant 2 with fleeing/attempt to elude the police, falsified the circumstances of Complainant 2's arrest, falsified the circumstances of Complainant 1's arrest, handcuffed Complainant 1 too tightly and pulled on the handcuffs while they were on Complainant 1's wrists, was rude and unprofessional during his contact with Complainant 2 when he directed profanities and engaged in an unjustified verbal argument, was rude and unprofessional during his contact with Complainant 1 when he directed profanities and engaged in an unjustified verbal argument, punched Complainant 2's vehicle passenger side door, and brought discredit upon the Chicago Police Department with regards to the arrest and maltreatment of the Complainants. It was further alleged that Sergeant 1 failed to take appropriate action and initiate a complaint on behalf of the Complainants. Finally, it was alleged that Sergeant 2 failed to initiate a complaint when Complainant 1 complained to her that Officer B was intentionally pulling down on the handcuffs on his wrist causing discomfort and pain, and failed to take action when Complainant 1 complained to her that Officer B was intentionally pulling down on the handcuffs on his wrist causing discomfort and pain.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

July 2015

### Finding:

**Officer A:** During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **60-day suspension** for the allegations that he falsely charged Complainant 2 with fleeing/attempt to elude the police, falsified the circumstances of Complainant 2's arrest, falsified the circumstances of Complainant 1's arrest, and brought discredit upon the Chicago Police Department in regards to the arrest and maltreatment of the Complainants; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he falsely charged Complainant 1 with resisting arrest.

**Officer B:** During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **120-day suspension** for the allegations that he falsely charged Complainant 2 with fleeing/attempt to elude the police, falsified the circumstances of Complainant 2's arrest, falsified the circumstances of Complainant 1's arrest, handcuffed Complainant 1 too tightly and pulled on the handcuffs while they were on Complainant 1's wrists, was rude and unprofessional during his contact with Complainant 2 when he directed profanities and engaged in an unjustified verbal argument, was rude and unprofessional during his contact with Complainant 1 when he directed profanities and engaged in an unjustified verbal argument, punched Complainant 2's vehicle passenger side door, and brought discredit upon the Chicago Police Department with regards to the arrest and maltreatment of the Complainants; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he falsely charged Complainant 1 with resisting arrest.

**Sergeant 1:** A finding of "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegation.

**Sergeant 2:** A finding of "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for all the allegations.

***Log/C.R. No. 1063498***

**Notification Date:** July 12, 2013

**Location:** 3<sup>rd</sup> District

**Complaint:** Excessive Force

**Summary:** In an incident involving three on-duty CPD Officers (Officer A, Officer B, and Officer C), a fourth Unknown on-duty CPD Officer, and two Complainants (Complainant 1 and Complainant 2) it was



## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

July 2015

alleged, that Officer A pushed Complainant 1 over a retaining wall, forcefully grabbed Complainant 1's arm, forcefully bent Complainant 1's arm back, held Complainant 1's wrist too tightly, pushed Complainant 1 against a car, and pushed Complainant 1 inside a squad car. Officer B was alleged to have forcefully grabbed Complainant 1 by the arm, twisted Complainant 1's arm, put his knee in Complainant 1's neck while she was on the ground, directed profanities at Complainant 1, refused Complainant 1's request for medical attention, directed profanities at Complainant 2, directed a racial slur and profanity at Complainant 2 and failed to complete to complete a TRR for the use of force utilized when he deployed a Taser to gain compliance from a crowd. It was also alleged that Officer C twisted Complainant 1's arm, directed profanities at Complainant 1, refused Complainant 1's request for medical attention, directed profanities at Complainant 2, and directed a racial slur and profanity at Complainant 2. Finally, it was alleged that an Unknown Officer deployed a Taser on Complainant 2 two or three times, threw Complainant 2 to the ground, dragged Complainant 2 across the ground, deployed a Taser on Complainant 1, and dragged Complainant 1 across the ground.

**Finding:** Based on statements to IPRA from the accused and witnesses; department reports/records, court records, a video, and medical records, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer A:** A finding of **"EXONERATED"** for the allegation that he pushed Complainant 1 against a car; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all the other allegations.

**Officer B:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **Violation Noted** for the allegation that he failed to complete to complete a TRR for the use of force utilized when he deployed a Taser to gain compliance from a crowd; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all the other allegations.

**Officer C:** A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations.

**Unknown Officer:** A finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegations that the Unknown Officer deployed a Taser on Complainant 2 two or three times and deployed a Taser Complainant 1; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all the other allegations.

## **Abstracts of Sustained Cases**

**July 2015**

## **Abstracts of Sustained Cases**

**August 2015**

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1072863***

**Notification Date:** December 8, 2014

**Location:** 5<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Accidental Taser Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Probationary Police Officer (PPO), it was alleged that while conducting a spark test, the PPO accidentally discharged her Taser.

**Finding:** During mediation, the PPO agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1072586***

**Notification Date:** November 17, 2014

**Location:** 8<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident

**Summary:** In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer/father and the Complainant/daughter, it was alleged that the Officer/father slapped the Complainant/daughter about the face.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer/father agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1068353***

**Notification Date:** April 2, 2015

**Location:** Niles, IL

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident

**Summary:** In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer/husband and the Complainant/wife, it was alleged that the Officer/husband was involved in a domestic altercation with the Complainant/wife, shoved the Complainant/wife, and utilized department resources to conduct an unauthorized search of license plates. In addition, it was alleged that on various dates and times the Officer/husband physically and verbally maltreated the Complainant/wife.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

August 2015

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer/husband agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **Reprimand**" for the allegation that he utilized department resources to conduct an unauthorized search of license plates; "**UNFOUNDED**" for the allegation that he shoved the Complainant/wife; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for all the other allegations.

**Log/C.R. No. 1069558**

**Notification Date:** June 3, 2014

**Location:** 7<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Accidental Taser Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, it was alleged that while conducting a spark test, the Officer accidentally discharged the Taser.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

**Log/C.R. No. 1056707**

**Notification Date:** August 30, 2012

**Location:** 3<sup>rd</sup> District

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident

**Summary:** In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer/ex-boyfriend, two on-duty CPD Sergeants (Sergeant A and Sergeant B), and the Complainant/ex-girlfriend, it was alleged that on or about April 2012, the Officer/ex-boyfriend utilized departmental databases for unofficial business by conducting a name search of the Complainant/ex-girlfriend and utilized departmental resources for unofficial business by providing the Complainant/ex-girlfriend with a copy of her criminal report. It was further alleged that on August 20, 2012, the Officer/ex-boyfriend had the Complainant/ex-girlfriend's new boyfriend falsely arrested. In addition, it was alleged that on or about August 2012, the Officer/ex-boyfriend utilized departmental databases for unofficial business by conducting a name search of the Complainant/ex-girlfriend's new boyfriend, deliberately drove the Complainant/ex-girlfriend's vehicle into a pole and pushed the

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

August 2015

Complainant/ex-girlfriend out of the crashed vehicle. Finally, it was alleged that on August 20, 2012, Sergeant A and B failed to file a complaint against the Officer/ex-boyfriend on behalf of the Complainant/ex-girlfriend.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **2-day suspension** for the allegations that he utilized departmental databases for unofficial business by conducting a name search of the Complainant/ex-girlfriend and utilized departmental databases for unofficial business by conducting a name search of the Complainant's/ex-girlfriend's new boyfriend; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for all the other allegations.

**Sergeant A:** A finding of "**UNFOUNDED**" for the allegation.

**Sergeant B:** A finding of "**UNFOUNDED**" for the allegation.

***Log/C.R. No. 1069799***

**Notification Date:** June 14, 2014

**Location:** 22<sup>nd</sup> District

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident

**Summary:** In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer/ex-boyfriend and the Complainant/ex-girlfriend, it was alleged that between April 17, 2014 and June 5, 2014 the Officer/ex-boyfriend harassed the Complainant/ex-girlfriend via text message and voice mail and was also verbally abusive via text message and voice mail. It was also alleged that on June 6, 2014, the Officer/ex-boyfriend verbally abused the Complainant/ex-girlfriend via text message. In addition, it was alleged that on June 14, 2014, the Officer/ex-boyfriend verbally abused the Complainant/ex-girlfriend by directing profanities at the Complainant/ex-girlfriend via text message and voice mail. It was further alleged that on June 14, 2014 and June 15, 2014, the Officer/ex-boyfriend made threatening statements via voice mail, harassed the Complainant/ex-girlfriend by calling and leaving her numerous voice mail messages including after she instructed him not to call her, and was intoxicated at an unknown location. Finally, it was alleged that the Officer/ex-boyfriend was named as the respondent in an order of protection and failed to notify the Chicago Police Department that he was named as the respondent in an order of

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

August 2015

protection.

**Finding:** Based on statements to IPRA from the accused, the Complainant; department reports/records, and phone records; IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer:** A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **10-day suspension** for the allegations that between April 17, 2014 and June 5, 2014, the Officer/ex-boyfriend harassed the Complainant/ex-girlfriend via text message and was also verbally abusive via text message and voice mail, on June 6, 2014, the Officer/ex-boyfriend verbally abused the Complainant/ex-girlfriend via text message, on June 14, 2014, the Officer/ex-boyfriend verbally abused the Complainant/ex-girlfriend by directing profanities via voice mail, made threatening statements via voice mail, harassed the Complainant/ex-girlfriend by calling and leaving her numerous voice mail messages including after she instructed him not to call her, and failed to notify the Chicago Police Department that he was named as respondent in an order of protection; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation involving intoxication.

***Log/C.R. No. 1071952***

**Notification Date:** October 10, 2014

**Location:** 18<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Excessive Force

**Summary:** In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officer A and Officer B) and the Complainant, it was alleged that the Officers kicked the Complainant, punched the Complainant, failed to properly search the Complainant because he was in possession of a weapon while in custody and being transported, and failed to properly restrain the Complainant with a seatbelt while inside the police vehicle.

**Finding:** Based on statements to IPRA from the accused and numerous eye witnesses; department reports/records; as well as surveillance video, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer A:** A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of **Separation** for the allegations that he failed to properly search the Complainant because he was in possession of a weapon while in custody and being

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

August 2015

transported, and failed to properly restrain the Complainant with a seatbelt while inside the police vehicle; **"EXONERATED"** for all the other allegations.

**Officer B:** A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of **Separation** for the allegations that he failed to properly search the Complainant because he was in possession of a weapon while in custody and being transported, and failed to properly restrain the Complainant with a seatbelt while inside the police vehicle; **"EXONERATED"** for the allegation that he punched the Complainant; **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that he kicked the Complainant.

**Log/C.R. No. 1051762**

**Notification Date:** February 7, 2012

**Location:** 4<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Excessive Force

**Summary:** In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officer A and Officer B) and the Complainant/minor, it was alleged that on February 7, 2012, Officer A detained, questioned, and searched the Complainant/minor without lawful justification, conducted a strip search of the Complainant/minor without justification, conducted a strip search of the Complainant/minor without proper authorization, grabbed the Complainant/minor's genitals during a strip search, failed to complete any department records when he detained, questioned, and searched the Complainant/minor, observed misconduct and failed to take appropriate action and report it to the department. It was further alleged that on April 14, 2014, Officer A provided a false statement to the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) when he stated that he did not conduct a strip search of the Complainant/minor and when he stated that he did not observe Officer B hit the Complainant/minor with a metal walking crutch.

It was alleged that on February 7, 2012, Officer B grabbed the Complainant/minor by his collar and forced him into the backroom of the store, detained, questioned, and searched the Complainant/minor without lawful justification, struck the Complainant/minor with his hand about the face and head, shoved the Complainant/minor against the wall, struck the Complainant/minor in the head with a metal walking crutch, grabbed the Complainant/minor's genitals during a search of his person, conducted a strip search of the

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

August 2015

Complainant/minor without justification, conducted a strip search of the Complainant/minor without proper authorization, and failed to complete any department reports when he detained, questioned, and searched the Complainant/minor.

**Finding:** During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and penalty of a **150-day suspension** for the allegations that he conducted a strip search of the Complainant/minor without justification, conducted a strip search of the Complainant/minor without proper authorization, failed to complete any department reports when he detained, questioned, and searched the Complainant/minor, and observed misconduct and failed to take appropriate action and report it to the department; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all the other allegations.

**Officer B:** A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of **Separation** for the allegations that he grabbed the Complainant/minor by his collar and forced him into the backroom of the store, detained, questioned, and searched the Complainant/minor without lawful justification, struck the Complainant/minor with his hand about the face and head, shoved the Complainant/minor against the wall, struck the Complainant/minor in the head with a metal waking crutch, conducted a strip search of the Complainant/minor without justification, conducted a strip search of the Complainant/minor without proper authorization, and failed to complete any department reports when he detained, questioned, and searched the Complainant/minor; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that he grabbed the Complainant/minor's genitals during a search of his person.

***Log/C.R. No. 1067139***

**Notification Date:** January 22, 2014

**Location:** 12<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Excessive Force

**Summary:** In an incident involving eleven on-duty CPD Officers (Officer A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, and K), a twelve Unknown on-duty CPD Officer, an on-duty Sergeant and the Complainant, it was alleged that Officer A struck the Complainant on the head while she was handcuffed and down on her knees, engaged in conduct that brought



## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

August 2015

discredit upon the Chicago Police Department, failed to intervene to protect the Complainant from being verbally abused by Officer B, failed to report the misconduct committed by Officer B when he verbally abused the Complainant, failed to show his badge to the Complainant, grabbed the Complainant, pushed/threw the Complainant against a wall, pushed the Complainant onto a sofa, punched the Complainant, slapped the Complainant, kicked the Complainant, choked the Complainant, and handcuffed the Complainant too tightly on her wrists and ankles. It was alleged that Officer B verbally abused the Complainant, engaged in the above conduct that brought discredit upon the Chicago Police Department, and failed to show his badge to the Complainant. Officer C was alleged to have failed to intervene to protect the Complainant from being verbally abused by Officer B, failed to report the misconduct committed by Officer B when he verbally abused the Complainant, failed to show her badge to the Complainant, and handcuffed the Complainant too tightly on her wrists and ankles. Officers D, E, F, G, H, I, J, and K were alleged to have failed to intervene to protect the Complainant from being verbally abused by Officer B, failed to report misconduct committed by Officer B when he verbally abused the Complainant, and failed to show their badge to the Complainant. It was further alleged that the Sergeant failed to immediately intervene to protect the Complainant from being verbally abused by Officer B, failed to initiate a complaint register number in relation to the misconduct committed by Officer B when he verbally abused the Complainant and failed to show his badge to the Complainant. Finally, it was alleged that the Unknown Officer put a pillow on the Complainant's face and directed profanities towards the Complainant.

**Finding:** During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and penalty of a **8-day suspension** for the allegations that he struck the Complainant on the head while she was handcuffed and down on her knees, engaged in the above conduct that brought discredit upon the Chicago Police Department; **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegations that he failed to intervene to protect the Complainant from being verbally abused by Officer B, failed to report the misconduct committed by Officer B when he verbally abused the Complainant, failed to show his badge to the Complainant, pushed/threw the Complainant against a wall, and choked the Complainant; **"EXONERATED"** for all the other allegations.

**Officer B:** During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

August 2015

finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **25-day suspension** for the allegations that he verbally abusing the Complainant and engaged in the above conduct that brought discredit upon the Chicago Police Department; **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that he failed to show his badge to the Complainant.

**Officer C:** A finding of **"EXONERATED"** for the allegation that she handcuffed the Complainant too tightly on her wrists and ankles; **"UNFOUNDED"** for all the other allegations.

**Officer D, E, F, G, H, I, J, and K:** A finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for all the allegations.

**Sergeant:** During mediation, the Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **1-day suspension** for the allegations that he failed to immediately intervene to protect the Complainant from being verbally abused by Officer B and failed to initiate a complaint register number in relation to the misconduct committed by Officer B when he verbally abused the Complainant; **"UNFOUNDED"** for the other allegation.

**Unknown Officer:** A finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for all the allegations.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

September 2015

### *Log/C.R. No. 1075381*

**Notification Date:** May 28, 2015

**Location:** 24th District

**Complaint:** Unintentional Taser Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Sergeant, it was alleged that while inside the 24<sup>th</sup> district, the Sergeant was inattentive to duty because she failed to properly handle a Taser causing it to discharge.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1052718*

**Notification Date:** March 21, 2012

**Location:** 10<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Firearm Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Detective and two Complainants (Complainant 1 and 2), it was alleged that the Detective was on public property and fired a weapon that he failed to qualify with pursuant to CPD directives, violated CPD directives when he discharged his firearm at Complainant 1, violated CPD directives when he fired his firearm into a crowd striking Complainant 2. It was further alleged that the Detective provided inconsistent accounts of this event in his deposition, detective interview, and to the State's Attorney's Office. Finally, it was alleged that the Detective's conduct brought discredit upon the Chicago Police Department.

**Finding:** Based on statements to IPRA from the accused, Complainant 1 and witnesses; court documents, photographs, a video, in-car camera footage, medical records and department reports/records; IPRA recommended the following:

**Detective:** A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations and a penalty of **Separation**.