

Independent Police Review Authority

Quarterly Report

October 1, 2014 – December 31, 2014

January 15, 2015

This report is filed pursuant to Municipal Code Section 2-57-110, which requires the filing of quarterly reports. This quarterly report provides information for the period October 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014. The information contained in this report is accurate as of January 15, 2015. All of IPRA's public reports are available at www.iprachicago.org.

Quarterly Overview

During the final quarter of 2014, IPRA opened 356 investigations. These were 82 instances where officers discharged their Tasers during that same time span. There were 13 officer-involved shooting investigations; up from 8 shootings during the previous quarter.

Between October and December, IPRA completed 542 investigations. Through attrition, the office is now operating with the continued vacancies of a Supervising Investigator, 5 Intake Aides and 6 Investigators as compared to 1 Supervisor and 5 Investigator vacancies during the previous quarter.

IPRA finished the year having completed 24 sustained investigations during the final three months of 2014. These are investigations where discipline was recommended by IPRA. Mediation numbers increased from the previous quarter; there were 28 cases during the last quarter where mediation was deemed appropriate, compared to 18 during the second quarter; in addition, 23 officers accepted mediation as compared to 13 previously. IPRA will continue to work with the Fraternal Order of Police and other unions to extend mediation to those cases where it is warranted, thus leaving more investigative resources to close older cases.

The IPRA continues its efforts to engage with the community. IPRA attended and spoke at 3rd Ward Alderman Pat Dowell's Town Hall Meeting at Pilgrim Baptist Church (3300 S. Indiana) in November. Recently, IPRA met with members of the Illinois House and Senate, including members of the Legislative Black Caucus, to discuss officer conduct, body cameras and other issues. In December, IPRA also met with community leaders to discuss larger efforts CPD and IPRA are taking to improve community-police relations and transparency in police investigations, and to preview the Safer Report recommendations – a report commissioned at the request of Mayor Rahm Emanuel that seeks to build on current practices and offers recommendations to strengthen the entire police discipline system. The IPRA also attended the three monthly Police Board Meetings held during the past three months.

IPRA Cumulative Figures

	INTAKE (all allegations/ notifications) ¹	IPRA Investigations Opened ²	IPRA Investigations Closed ³	IPRA Caseload ⁴
Sept. 2007	746	216	162	1290
4Q 2007	2273	613	368	1535
1Q 2008	2366	590	554	1571
2Q 2008	2436	640	670	1541
3Q 2008	2634	681	667	1555
4Q 2008	2337	699	692	1562
1Q 2009	2384	657	687	1532
2Q 2009	2648	755	651	1635
3Q 2009	2807	812	586	1981
4Q 2009	2235	617	654	1949
1Q 2010	2191	640	561	2028
2Q 2010	2626	868	832	2048
3Q 2010	2591	942	835	2168
4Q 2010	2127	746	681 ⁵	2233
1Q 2011	2023	610	711	2132
2Q 2011	2171	778	747	2159
3Q 2011	2335	788	749	2173
4Q 2011	2038	688	594	2237
1Q 2012	1995	620	649	2210
2Q 2012	2155	693	747	2155
3Q 2012	2264	690	698	2147

¹ Pursuant to the IPRA Ordinance, certain events trigger an IPRA investigation even in the absence of an allegation of misconduct. The term “notification” refers to those events that IPRA investigates where there is no alleged misconduct.

² This number includes investigations opened and assigned to IPRA as of the end of the identified quarter. It does not include investigations “Re-opened” because of the settlement of litigation, new evidence, or the results of the Command Channel Review process.

³ This number may include some investigations “Re-closed” after being Re-opened.

⁴ The caseload number for periods prior to 3Q 2009 are the numbers that IPRA previously reported in quarterly reports. As discussed previously, due to a calculation error, over time these numbers became inaccurate. The caseload number for 3Q 2009 reflects the results of IPRA’s complete audit of pending investigations.

⁵ The number of investigations closed and IPRA Caseload reflect a correction of numbers reported in a previous report.

IPRA Cumulative Figures (Continued)

	INTAKE (all allegations/ notifications)	IPRA Investigations Opened	IPRA Investigations Closed	IPRA Caseload
4Q 2012	1824	543	759	1925
1Q 2013	1828	475	509	1883
2Q 2013	2122	558	668	1754
3Q 2013	2032	508	692	1594
4Q 2013	1588	375	632	1327
1Q 2014	1483	388	583	1133
2Q 2014	1768	484	642	971
3Q 2014	1672	437	542	862
4Q 2014	1377	354	443	771

IPRA Investigations Opened by Incident Type⁶

	IPRA (COMPLAINT S)	IPRA (NOTIFICATIONS)					
	INFO & CR	EXTRAORDINARY OCCURRENCE (EO)	HIT SHOOTING (U#)	NON-HIT SHOOTING	SHOOTING/ ANIMAL	TASER	OC DISCHARGE
Sept. 2007	195	4	4	3		3	2
4Q 2007	572	18	7	1		12	5
1Q 2008	475	16	8	12	18	31	16
2Q 2008	526	16	15	8	21	45	9
3Q 2008	563	8	14	10	20	52	13
4Q 2008	579	16	14	7	24	35	24
1Q 2009	553	11	9	9	25	39	14
2Q 2009	624	15	14	13	28	56	7
3Q 2009	657	21	18	16	18	63	22
4Q 2009	495	19	16	19	20	39	9
1Q 2010	482	13	12	14	29	74	15
2Q 2010	505	16	10	10	19	285	27
3Q 2010	576	15	11	10	30	285	16
4Q 2010	470	7	10	10	28	227	10

⁶ Note: A single investigation may fall into more than one Incident Type. For instance, an investigation may be both an Extraordinary Occurrence (EO) and a Complaint Register (CR). For this chart, the investigation is counted in all applicable Incident Types. They are counted only once, in the total Log Numbers retained by IPRA. As defined by ordinance, an Extraordinary Occurrence (EO) is a death or injury to a person while in police custody or other extraordinary or unusual occurrence in a lockup facility.

IPRA Investigations Opened by Incident Type (Continued)

	IPRA (COMPLAINTS)	IPRA (NOTIFICATIONS)					
	INFO & CR	EXTRAORDINARY OCCURRENCE (EO)	HIT SHOOTING (U#)	NON-HIT SHOOTING	SHOOTING/ ANIMAL	TASER	OC DISCHARGE
1Q 2011	377	17	15	12	27	155	10
2Q 2011	471	9	20	10	20	240	10
3Q 2011	460	15	16	17	22	248	9
4Q 2011	420	10	7	14	20	210	6
1Q 2012	384	14	12	10	13	186	3
2Q 2012	440	9	5	12	23	188	3
3Q 2012	411	12	19	14	28	204	5
4Q 2012	328	8	14	13	26	149	4
1Q 2013	329	24	11	9	15	87	5
2Q 2013	400	14	13	7	16	96	5
3Q 2013	344	14	13	5	14	110	8
4Q 2013	263	17	5	4	9	77	2
1Q 2014	264	17	10	4	14	76	2
2Q 2014	307	25	9	9	23	111	1
3Q 2014	269	12	13	9	17	115	2
4Q 2014	325	7	13	8	19	82	3

2-57-110(1): The number of investigations initiated since the last report

Between October 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014, IPRA issued 1,377 Log Numbers. Of these Log Numbers, IPRA retained 354 for resolution. IPRA forwarded the remaining 1,023 Log Numbers to the Internal Affairs Division of the Chicago Police Department for appropriate resolution.

Of the 437 Log Numbers retained by IPRA, IPRA classified 167 as Complaint Register Numbers. In addition, IPRA began Pre-affidavit Investigations for 135 of the Log Numbers retained by IPRA. The remainder of the retained Log Numbers consisted of 13 Log Numbers for shootings where an individual was hit by a bullet and a “U Number” was issued, 8 for shootings where no one was hit by a bullet, 19 for shots fired at animals, 82 for reported uses of tasers, 3 for reported uses of pepper spray,⁷ and 7 for Extraordinary Occurrences⁸.

⁷ As of December 31, 2007, IPRA issued a Log Number for notifications of uses of taser, pepper spray, or for shootings where no one is injured only if it received a telephonic notification of the incident or there was an allegation of misconduct. As of January 1, 2008, IPRA implemented procedures to issue Log Numbers for all uses of taser and shootings, regardless of the method of notification.

2-57-110(2): The number of investigations concluded since the last report

Between October 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014, IPRA closed 443 Log Numbers. A Log Number is considered closed when IPRA completes its work on the matter, regardless of whether the Police Department is still processing the results.

2-57-110(3): The number of investigations pending as of the report date

As of December 31, 2014, there were 771 investigations pending completion by IPRA. These include both allegations that have received Complaint Register Numbers, and those being followed under a Log Number, as well as officer-involved shootings and Extraordinary Occurrences.

2-57-110(4): The number of complaints not sustained since the last report⁹

Between October 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014, IPRA recommended that 83 investigations be closed as “not sustained.”

In addition, 135 cases were closed after a Pre-affidavit Investigation because the complainants refused to sign an affidavit. IPRA recommended that 60 investigations be closed as “unfounded,” and 3 be closed as “exonerated.”

2-57-110(5): The number of complaints sustained since the last report

Between October 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014, IPRA recommended that 24 cases be closed as sustained. Attached are abstracts for each case where IPRA recommended a sustained finding, and the discipline IPRA recommended.¹⁰

In addition CPD issued a reminder to CPD personnel to provide notification to IPRA. IPRA continues to issue Log Numbers for discharges of pepper spray at the request of CPD personnel.

⁸ These numbers include five Log Numbers classified as both a U Number and a Complaint Register. These Log Numbers are counted only once in the total number of Log Numbers retained by IPRA, but included in the breakouts of all applicable incident types.

⁹ The term “not sustained” is a term of art in police misconduct investigations. It is defined in CPD G.O. 93-3 as “when there is insufficient evidence either to prove or disprove allegation.” In addition, cases may be “unfounded,” which means “the allegation is false or not factual.”

¹⁰ Abstracts for all investigations where IPRA has recommended a sustained finding can be found at www.iprachicago.org under the Resources heading.

2-57-110(6): The number of complaints filed in each district since the last report¹¹

Between October 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014, IPRA received complaints of alleged misconduct based on incidents in the following districts, as follows:

District 01 = 54	District 07 = 68	District 14 = 22	District 20 = 15
District 02 = 76	District 08 = 78	District 15 = 47	District 22 = 46
District 03 = 68	District 09 = 55	District 16 = 30	District 24 = 38
District 04 = 78	District 10 = 58	District 17 = 14	District 25 = 52
District 05 = 72	District 11 = 95	District 18 = 46	
District 06 = 85	District 12 = 60	District 19 = 46	
	Outside City Limits = 24	Unknown location = 14	

2-57-110(7): The number of complaints filed against each officer in each district since the last report¹²

2-57-110(8): The number of complaints referred to other agencies and the identity of such other agencies

Between October 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014, IPRA referred 1,033 cases to other agencies as follows:

Chicago Police Department – Internal Affairs Division = 1,023

Cook County State’s Attorney = 10

Federal Bureau of Investigations = 0

¹¹ “Complaints” is defined as all reports of alleged misconduct, whether from the community or from a source internal to the Police Department, whether a Complaint Register number has been issued or not. This does not include, absent an allegation of misconduct, reports of uses of Tasers, pepper spray, discharges of weapons whether hitting an individual or not, or Extraordinary Occurrences. Districts are identified based on the district where the alleged misconduct occurred. Some complaints occurred in more than one District, they are counted in each district where they occurred. This list does include confidential complaints.

¹² This uses the same definition of “complaints” as the preceding section. Except as otherwise noted, if a member was assigned to one unit but detailed to another at the time of the complaint, the member is listed under the detailed unit.

(See Attachment)

ATTACHMENT: COMPLAINTS AGAINST CPD MEMBERS BY UNIT

District 001

Members 1-17: 1 complaint each
Members 18-19: 2 complaints each

District 002

Members 1-30: 1 complaint each
Member 31: 2 complaints
Member 32: 3 complaints

District 003

Members 1-19: 1 complaint each
Members 20- 21: 2 complaints each

District 004

Members 1-29: 1 complaint each
Members 30- 33: 2 complaints each
Member 34: 3 complaints

District 005

Members 1-27: 1 complaint each
Members 28-30: 2 complaints each

District 006

Members 1-26: 1 complaint each

District 007

Members 1-28: 1 complaint each
Members 29-30: 2 complaints each

District 008

Members 1-39: 1 complaint each
Member 40: 2 complaints

District 009

Members 1-22: 1 complaint each
Members 23-25: 2 complaints each

District 010

Members 1-16: 1 complaint each
Members 17-23: 2 complaints each

District 011

Members 1-16: 1 complaint each
Members 17-18: 2 complaints each

District 012

Members 1-16: 1 complaint each
Member 17: 2 complaints

District 014

Members 1-13: 1 complaint each

District 015

Members 1-15: 1 complaint each

District 016

Members 1-10: 1 complaint each
Member 11: 2 complaints

District 017

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

District 018

Members 1-14: 1 complaint each
Member 15: 2 complaints

District 019

Members 1-11: 1 complaint each
Member 12: 2 complaints

District 020

Members 1-10: 1 complaint each
Member 11: 2 complaints

District 022

Members 1-17: 1 complaint each
Members 18-19: 2 complaints each

District 024

Members 1-18: 1 complaint each

District 025

Members 1-23: 1 complaint each

Member 24: 2 complaints

Recruit Training (044)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Airport Law Enforcement Unit – North (050)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Airport Law Enforcement Unit – South (051)

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

Member 4: 2 complaints

Mounted Patrol Unit (055)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Marine Unit (055)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Special Investigations Unit (079)

Member 1: 1 complaint each

Office of the Superintendent (111)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Bureau of Internal Affairs (121)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Finance Division (122)

Member 1: 1 complaint each

Human Resources Division (123)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Education and Training Division (124)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Traffic Section (145)

Member 1: 1 complaint each

Members 2-3: 1 complaint each

Mobile Strike Force (153)¹

Member 1: 1 complaint each

Field Services Section (166)

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

Police Documents Section (169)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Forensics Services Division (177)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Narcotics Section (189)

Members 1-37: 1 complaint each

Members 38-39: 2 complaints each

Vice and Asset Forfeiture Division (192)

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

Gang Investigation Division (193)

Members 1-10: 1 complaint each

Member 11: 2 complaints

Bureau of Patrol – Area Central (211)

Members 1-10: 1 complaint each

Members 11-14: 2 complaints each

Member 15: 3 complaints

Bureau of Patrol – Area South (212)

Members 1-5: 1 complaint each

Member 6: 2 complaints

Bureau of Patrol – Area North (213)

Members 1-13: 1 complaint each

Medical Services Section (231)

Member 1: 1 complaint each

Court Services (261)

Member 1: 1 complaint each

Gang Enforcement – Area Central (311)

Members 1-16: 1 complaint each

¹ Unit 153 is no longer in existence.

Gang Enforcement – Area South (312)

Members 1-7: 1 complaint each

Member 8: 2 complaints

Gang Enforcement – Area North (313)

Members 1-11: 1 complaint each

Members 12-13: 2 complaints each

Canine Unit (341)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Alternate Response Section (376)

Members 1-14: 1 complaint each

Juvenile Intervention Support Center (JISC) (384)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Gang Enforcement Division (393)

Members 1-7: 1 complaint each

Area Central , Deputy Chief – Bureau of Patrol (411)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Area South , Deputy Chief – Bureau of Patrol (412)

Members 1-7: 1 complaint each

Area North , Deputy Chief – Bureau of Patrol (413)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Bomb Unit (442)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Detached Services – Miscellaneous (543)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Central Investigations Unit (606)

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

Member 5: 2 complaints

Major Accident Investigation Unit (608)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Bureau of Detectives – Area Central (610)

Members 1-15: 1 complaint each

Bureau of Detectives – Area South (620)

Members 1-12: 1 complaint each

Bureau of Detectives – Area North (630)

Members 1-12: 1 complaint each

Member 13: 2 complaints

Public Transportation Section (701)

Members 1-6: 1 complaint each

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

October 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1064569

Notification Date: August 29, 2013

Location: 11th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving three on-duty CPD Officers (A and B and an Unknown Officer), and a Subject, it was alleged that, after being stopped during a routine patrol, Officers A and B used intimidation tactics to persuade the Subject to plead guilty for an upcoming case, failed to thoroughly complete a field contact card, and failed to make proper notifications regarding their Subject. Additional allegations against Officer B included that he pulled the Subject's hair, punched him in the face, and threatened to shoot the Subject. It was further alleged that the Unknown Officer also threatened to shoot the Subject.

Findings: Based on statements from the two accused Officers, the Subject, two witness officers; department reports/records, GPS data, cell-phone video, and POD video, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that the Officer failed to thoroughly complete a field contact card and make proper notifications regarding contact with the Subject, and a penalty of a **Reprimand**; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the alleged use of intimidation tactics to persuade the Subject to plead guilty for an upcoming case.

Officer B: **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that the Officer failed to thoroughly complete a field contact card and make proper notifications regarding contact with the Subject, and a penalty of a **Reprimand**; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he used intimidation tactics to persuade the Subject to plead guilty for an upcoming case, pulled the Subject's hair, punched him in the face, and threatened to shoot the Subject.

Unknown: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation against the Unknown Officer.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

October 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1052142

Notification Date: February 27, 2012

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Accidental Firearm Discharge

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have accidentally discharged his firearm which resulted in a self-inflicted injury.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **1-day suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1058745

Notification Date: November 15, 2012

Location: 11th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (A and B) and a Subject, Officer A was alleged to have hit the Subject in the face, damaged the Subject's cell phone, used money recovered from the Subject to purchase lottery tickets, and failed to transport Subject immediately to the district/area of arrest. Officer B is alleged to have used money recovered from the Subject to purchase lottery tickets, failing to transport Subject immediately to the district/area of arrest, and kicking a door onto the Subject causing him to fall to the floor and sustain bruises to his body.

Finding: During mediation, both Officers agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation of failing to transport the Subject immediately to the district/area of arrest and a penalty of a **Reprimand**. All other allegations were "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

October 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1062333

Notification Date: May 20, 2013

Location: 6th District

Complaint: Unnecessary Physical Contact

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (A and B) and a Victim of a crime (Victim), the Officers were alleged to have failed to make a police report documenting a theft and battery against the Victim, failed to ensure medical attention for the Victim, and failed to submit/complete a field contact card regarding their contact with the Victim. Additionally, Officer B was alleged to have handcuffed the Victim too tightly.

Finding:

Officer A: During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension** for failing to make a police report documenting a theft and battery against the Victim and failing to submit/complete a field contact card regarding their contact with Victim. The allegation for failing to ensure medical attention was "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

Officer B: During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **Reprimand** for failing to make a police report documenting a theft and battery against the Victim and for failing to complete and submit a field contact card regarding their contact with the Victim. The allegations for failing to ensure medical attention and handcuffing the Victim too tightly were "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

October 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1063700

Notification Date: July 21, 2013

Location: 8th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and a Subject, the Officer was alleged to have struck the Subject on the face and then failing to submit a Tactical Response Report regarding the use of force.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **1-day suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1065021

Notification Date: September 19, 2013

Location: 2nd District

Complaint: Accidental Firearm Discharge

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have accidentally discharged his firearm, which resulted in the firearm discharging through the windshield of a squadrol.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **1-day**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

October 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1065826

Notification Date: November 1, 2013

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Accidental Taser Discharge

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have been inattentive to duty when he accidentally discharged his Taser.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

Log/C.R. No. 1070254

Notification Date: July 10, 2014

Location: 18th District

Complaint: Accidental Taser Discharge

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Sergeant, the Sergeant was alleged to have been inattentive to duty when he accidentally discharged his Taser.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

October 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1037367

Notification Date: May 29 - June 19, 2010

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer, (who is a primary caregiver for the Victim, who has Parkinson's Disease) a victim and two relatives (Relative A and B), it was alleged the Officer struck the victim with a camcorder, engaged in a verbal altercation with Relative A, directed profanities towards Relative B, would not allow her to come into the victim's home to provide assistance to the victim with Parkinson's Disease, and caused the victim to fall by moving a trash can from his reach. Additional allegations include the Officer's refusal to assist or get assistance for the victim after he fell to the floor, making a false claim that victim threatened the Officer with a gun, thereby placing her in fear for her life knowing that the victim suffered from Parkinson's disease and could not hold a gun, and filed an order of protection against the victim based on false allegations in retaliation for the victim and his healthcare giver filing a elder abuse complaint of neglect against the Officer. Lastly, an elder abuse investigation "verified" that the Officer emotionally abused the victim and "indicated" that the Officer caused deprivation of care to the victim.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused, the victim, the two relatives, and 3 witnesses, court documents, department reports/records, OEMC transmissions, 911 records, and social service documents IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **10-day Suspension** for the allegations that the Officer refused to assist or get assistance for the victim after he fell and the elder abuse investigation findings. "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that the Officer struck the victim with a camcorder, engaged in a verbal altercation with Relative A, directed profanities towards Relative B and did not allow her to come into the victim's home, thereby causing the victim to fall by moving a trash can from his reach, made false claims that victim threatened the Officer with a gun placing her in fear for her life, and filed an order of protection against the victim based on false allegations.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

November 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1046680

Notification Date: July 5, 2011

Location: 16th District

Complaint: Unnecessary Physical Contact

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the complainant, the Officer was alleged to have pushed, pointed his weapon, grabbed, threatened, directed profanities, and demanded money from complainant. Additional allegations against the Officer included the Officer's arrest and plea of guilty to a misdemeanor aggravated assault all of which brought discredit to the Department.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all the allegations and a penalty of a **3-day Suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1051499

Notification Date: January 27, 2012

Location: 7th District

Complaint: Unnecessary Physical Contact

Summary: In an incident involving three on-duty CPD Officers (Officers A, B, and C) and the complainant, Officer A and B were alleged to have struck complainant with a car door and pushed him against a vehicle, handcuffed him too tightly, directed profanities at complainant, failed to inventory belongings, and unlawfully arrested/detained complainant without probable cause. It was alleged that Officer C witnessed misconduct but failed to report it.

Finding:

Officer A and B: During mediation, Officers A and B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation of unlawful arrest/detention of the complainant without probable cause and a penalty of a **3-day Suspension**; all other allegations were "**NOT SUSTAINED**".

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

November 2014

Officer C : During mediation, Officer C agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he witnessed misconduct but failed to report it and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1042772

Notification Date: January 17, 2011

Location: 18th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the complainant, it was alleged that the Officer struck complainant in the nose, failed to complete a tactical response report, failed to report the incident, and brought discredit upon the department.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations and a penalty of a **10-day suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1058194

Notification Date: November 5, 2012

Location: 5th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officers A and B) and the complainant, it was alleged that Officer A pushed, kicked, and kneed complainant in the back, and failed to complete a tactical response report regarding incident. It was alleged that Officer B failed to report misconduct and directed profanities at the complainant.

Finding:

Officer A: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for failing to complete a tactical response report and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**. Officer A was **EXONERATED** for the allegation that he pushed complainant and the allegations that the Officer kicked and kneed complainant in the back were **UNFOUNDED**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

November 2014

Based on statements from one accused, the complainant, two witnesses, medical records, department reports/records, and OEMC transmissions, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer B: UNFOUNDED for the allegations of failing to report misconduct and directing profanities at complainant.

Log/C.R. No. 1060226

Notification Date: February 18, 2013

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Discharge of Firearm

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty Officers (Officers A and B) and an on-duty Sergeant, it was alleged that Officer A was inattentive to duty in that he discharged his firearm without justification, failed to follow proper procedure when he left a location of a firearm discharge incident, failed to make an immediate notification of a firearm discharge incident, and failed to report a burglary in progress. Officer B was alleged to have failed to follow proper procedure when he left a location of a firearm discharge incident and failed to report a burglary in progress. The Sergeant was alleged to have failed to conduct a complete and thorough investigation into an accidental shooting and failed to report misconduct when he did not make immediate notification of a weapons discharge.

Finding:

Officer A: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for failing to follow proper procedure when he left a location of a firearm discharge incident and make an immediate notification of a firearm discharge incident, and failing to report a burglary in progress; and a penalty of a **3-day Suspension**. "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he discharged his weapon without justification.

Officer B: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for both allegations and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

November 2014

Sergeant: During mediation, the Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for failing to report misconduct when he did not make immediate notification of a weapons discharge a penalty of a **Violation Noted. "NOT SUSTAINED"** for failing to conduct a complete and thorough investigation into an accidental shooting.

Log/C.R. No. 1063333

Notification Date: July 6, 2013

Location: 18th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant, it was alleged the Officer knocked Complainant's hat off his head, grabbed his arm, pushed his chest, punched him about the head and body, failed to complete a contact card, and engaged in conduct that brought discredit upon the department.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that he knocked Complainant's hat off his head, grabbed his arm, pushed his chest, failed to complete a contact card, and engaged in conduct that brought discredit upon the department for a penalty of a **1-day Suspension. "NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that he punched complainant about the head and body.

Log/C.R. No. 1040786

Notification Date: October 19, 2010

Location: 3rd District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: It was alleged that an off-duty CPD Officer stole Complainant's earrings from her apartment, sent a text/picture message to Complainant regarding her missing earrings, and on a separate occasion sent a verbally abusive text message to Complainant.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he sent a text/picture message to Complainant regarding her missing

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

November 2014

earrings and a verbally abusive text message; and a penalty of a **Violation Noted. "NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that he stole Complainant's earrings from her apartment.

Log/C.R. No. 1034631

Notification Date: March 16, 2010

Location: Brookfield, Illinois

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the Victim, it was alleged that the Officer engaged in a physical altercation with Victim, left the scene of a crime and failed to report it, and brought discredit to the Department in that he was arrested and charged with Battery.

Findings: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a penalty of a **7-day Suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1049208

Notification Date: October 11, 2011

Location: 6th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty Lieutenant, Sergeant, and an Unknown Officer, it is alleged that the Lieutenant verbally insulted and directed profanities at Subject by calling him a derogatory name, told him to shut up, threatened to take Subject's infant child to the police station with him, caused the infant child to fall out of his baby carrier, causing the infant to strike his head on a table, and separated the infant child from the Subject while in police custody. Additional allegations against the Lieutenant were that he placed Subject in a headlock and choke hold, threw him on the ground and in the back of a police vehicle, struck him in the face with a police radio, failed to identify himself, and detained and falsely arrested Subject without justification. Allegations against the Sergeant were that he directed profanities at Subject and threw him in the back of a police vehicle. It was alleged the Unknown Officer refused bathroom privileges to Subject, threatened and directed profanities at him.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

November 2014

Finding: Based on statements from the two identified accused, the Subject, 3 witnesses, 2 officer/witnesses, court documents, department reports/records, OEMC records, medical records, and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Lieutenant: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **7-day Suspension** for the allegations that the Lieutenant verbally insulted and directed profanities at Subject by calling him a derogatory name, told him to shut up, and causing the infant child to fall and strike his head on table. **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations of the headlock and choke hold on subject, throwing him on the ground and in the back of a police vehicle, and failing to identify himself. **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegations of striking Subject in the face with a police radio, detaining and falsely arresting Subject without justification, threatening to take the infant child to the police station with Subject, and separating the minor from Subject while in police custody.

Sergeant: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations against the Sergeant.

Unknown: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations against the Unknown Officer.

Log/C.R. No. 1046375

Notification Date: June 22, 2011

Location: 25th District

Complaint: Unnecessary display of weapon

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (A and B), it was alleged the Officers displayed their weapon at Subject, used profanities toward Subject's mother, Complainant, as well as Subject, failed to properly inventory property found inside the Complainant's vehicle, which was being driven by Subject, failed to follow Department vehicle towing operations, and provided inaccurate information on Department reports.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

November 2014

Findings:

Officer A: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that the Officer failed to properly inventory property found inside the complainant's vehicle, failed to follow Department vehicle towing operations, and provided inaccurate information on Department reports, and a penalty of a **Reprimand**. "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the alleged unnecessary display of weapon and use of profanity.

Officer B: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that the Officer failed to properly inventory property found inside the complainant's vehicle, failed to follow Department vehicle towing operations, and provided inaccurate information on Department reports, and a penalty of a **Reprimand**. "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the alleged unnecessary display of weapon and use of profanity.

Log/C.R. No. 1037527

Notification Date: February 14, 2012

Location: 16th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving three off-duty CPD Officers (Officers A, B, and C) and two complainants (Complainants A and B); it was alleged that Officer A and B failed to provide Complainants with police service, conspired to cover-up a criminal attack against Complainants, and failed to properly document Complainants' encounter with an Unknown officer. An additional allegation against Officer A was that he gave a false report to IPRA investigator regarding his contact with an Unknown Officer. Officer C's allegations included that he displayed and pointed his handgun at complainants, pushed, choked, and unlawfully detained complainant A, placed barrel of handgun down Complainant A's mouth, struck Complainant B about the head, face, and body with his handgun, directed profanities at Complainants, failed to document his encounter with Complainants, and conspired with Officer A to cover-up the criminal attack against Complainants.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

November 2014

Finding: Based on statements from two of the three accused, the complainants, and a Sergeant/witness, court documents, department reports/records, medical records, and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of “**SUSTAINED**” for all allegations and a penalty of a **Separation**.

Officer B: A finding of “**UNFOUNDED**” for the allegations.

Officer C: A finding of “**SUSTAINED**” for all allegations and a penalty of a **Separation**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

December 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1049824

Notification Date: November 5, 2011

Location: 22nd District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the complainant (ex-wife), the Officer was alleged to have pushed and held the complainant against a brick wall, threatened to have the complainant and her brother falsely arrested, and verbally abused the complainant via text message.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation of verbal abuse via text message and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**. All other allegations were "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

Log/C.R. No. 1059044

Notification Date: December 16, 2012

Location: 19th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the complainant, the Officer was alleged to have struck the complainant on the head and about the face, and failed to obtain a complaint register number

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations and a penalty of a **2-day suspension.**

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

December 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1068140

Notification Date: March 23, 2014

Location: 24th District

Complaint: Accidental Discharge of Weapon

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have accidentally discharged his weapon.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

Log/C.R. No. 1069804

Notification Date: June 15, 2014

Location: 16th District

Complaint: Accidental Taser Discharge

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, it was alleged that the Officer was inattentive to duty when he discharged a Taser cartridge during a spark test, striking the floor.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.