

# **Independent Police Review Authority**

## **Quarterly Report**

**October 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013**

**January 15, 2014**

This report is filed pursuant to Municipal Code Section 2-57-110, which requires the filing of quarterly reports. This quarterly report provides information for the period October 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013. The information contained in this report is accurate as of January 15, 2014. All of IPRA's public reports are available at [www.iprachicago.org](http://www.iprachicago.org).

### Quarterly Overview

IPRA initiated 375 investigations during the final quarter of 2013. This includes the 77 investigations resulting from notifications of a Taser discharge. IPRA responded to 5 officer-involved shootings during the third quarter of 2013.

IPRA closed 632 investigations during the last quarter. This number is down slightly from the third quarter. IPRA was successful in interviewing and filling one of the preciously 5 vacant Investigator positions. IPRA continues to work with the Mayor's Office and Budget Office to identify and fill vacancies.

The 80 completed sustained investigations during the last quarter of 2013 exceed the combined total number of sustained cases of the previous three quarters combined. The Mediation process has continued to grow and allow investigators to focus efforts on other cases. IPRA will continue to work with the Fraternal Order of Police to extend mediation to those cases where it is warranted, thus, leaving more investigative resources to close older cases.

During this quarter, IPRA spoke at Chicago State University in October and hosted a multinational delegation at the end of November. IPRA continued its outreach to elected officials, community residents, community based organizations, and faith based institutions in order to explain IPRA's role and its process. IPRA took to social media (Facebook and Twitter) this past quarter and will explore ways to further connect with the community. IPRA also attended the three scheduled monthly Police Board Meetings during October, November, and December.

## IPRA Cumulative Figures

	INTAKE (all allegations/ notifications) <sup>1</sup>	IPRA Investigations Opened <sup>2</sup>	IPRA Investigations Closed <sup>3</sup>	IPRA Caseload <sup>4</sup>
Sept. 2007	746	216	162	1290
4Q 2007	2273	613	368	1535
1Q 2008	2366	590	554	1571
2Q 2008	2436	640	670	1541
3Q 2008	2634	681	667	1555
4Q 2008	2337	699	692	1562
1Q 2009	2384	657	687	1532
2Q 2009	2648	755	651	1635
3Q 2009	2807	812	586	1981
4Q 2009	2235	617	654	1949
1Q 2010	2191	640	561	2028
2Q 2010	2626	868	832	2048
3Q 2010	2591	942	835	2168
4Q 2010	2127	746	681 <sup>5</sup>	2233
1Q 2011	2023	610	711	2132
2Q 2011	2171	778	747	2159
3Q 2011	2335	788	749	2173
4Q 2011	2038	688	594	2237
1Q 2012	1995	620	649	2210
2Q 2012	2155	693	747	2155
3Q 2012	2264	690	698	2147

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the IPRA Ordinance, certain events trigger an IPRA investigation even in the absence of an allegation of misconduct. The term “notification” refers to those events that IPRA investigates where there is no alleged misconduct.

<sup>2</sup> This number includes investigations opened and assigned to IPRA as of the end of the identified quarter. It does not include investigations “Re-opened” because of the settlement of litigation, new evidence, or the results of the Command Channel Review process.

<sup>3</sup> This number may include some investigations “Re-closed” after being Re-opened.

<sup>4</sup> The caseload number for periods prior to 3Q 2009 are the numbers that IPRA previously reported in quarterly reports. As discussed previously, due to a calculation error, over time these numbers became inaccurate. The caseload number for 3Q 2009 reflects the results of IPRA’s complete audit of pending investigations.

<sup>5</sup> The number of investigations closed and IPRA Caseload reflect a correction of numbers reported in a previous report.

**IPRA Cumulative Figures (Continued)**

	INTAKE (all allegations/ notifications)	IPRA Investigations Opened	IPRA Investigations Closed	IPRA Caseload
4Q 2012	1824	543	759	1925
1Q 2013	1828	475	509	1883
2Q 2013	2122	558	668	1754
3Q 2013	2032	508	692	1594
4Q 2013	1588	375	632	1327

**IPRA Investigations Opened by Incident Type<sup>6</sup>**

	IPRA (COMPLAIN T S)	IPRA (NOTIFICATIONS)					
	INFO & CR	EXTRAORDINARY OCCURRENCE (EO)	HIT SHOOTING (U#)	NON-HIT SHOOTING	SHOOTING/ ANIMAL	TASER	OC DISCHARGE
Sept. 2007	195	4	4	3		3	2
4Q 2007	572	18	7	1		12	5
1Q 2008	475	16	8	12	18	31	16
2Q 2008	526	16	15	8	21	45	9
3Q 2008	563	8	14	10	20	52	13
4Q 2008	579	16	14	7	24	35	24
1Q 2009	553	11	9	9	25	39	14
2Q 2009	624	15	14	13	28	56	7
3Q 2009	657	21	18	16	18	63	22
4Q 2009	495	19	16	19	20	39	9
1Q 2010	482	13	12	14	29	74	15
2Q 2010	505	16	10	10	19	285	27
3Q 2010	576	15	11	10	30	285	16
4Q 2010	470	7	10	10	28	227	10
1Q 2011	377	17	15	12	27	155	10
2Q 2011	471	9	20	10	20	240	10
3Q 2011	460	15	16	17	22	248	9
4Q 2011	420	10	7	14	20	210	6

<sup>6</sup> Note: A single investigation may fall into more than one Incident Type. For instance, an investigation may be both an Extraordinary Occurrence (EO) and a Complaint Register (CR). For this chart, the investigation is counted in all applicable Incident Types. They are counted only once, in the total Log Numbers retained by IPRA. As defined by ordinance, an Extraordinary Occurrence (EO) is a death or injury to a person while in police custody or other extraordinary or unusual occurrence in a lockup facility.

### IPRA Investigations Opened by Incident Type (Continued)

	IPRA (COMPLAINTS)	IPRA (NOTIFICATIONS)					
	INFO & CR	EXTRAORDINARY OCCURRENCE (EO)	HIT SHOOTING (U#)	NON-HIT SHOOTING	SHOOTING/ ANIMAL	TASER	OC DISCHARGE
1Q 2012	384	14	12	10	13	186	3
2Q 2012	440	9	5	12	23	188	3
3Q 2012	411	12	19	14	28	204	5
4Q 2012	328	8	14	13	26	149	4
1Q 2013	329	24	11	9	15	87	5
2Q 2013	400	14	13	7	16	96	5
3Q 2013	344	14	13	5	14	110	8
4Q 2013	263	17	5	4	9	77	2

#### 2-57-110(1): The number of investigations initiated since the last report

Between October, 2013 and December 31, 2013, IPRA issued 1588 Log Numbers. Of these Log Numbers, IPRA retained 375 for resolution. IPRA forwarded the remaining 1213 Log Numbers to the Internal Affairs Division of the Chicago Police Department for appropriate resolution.

Of the 375 Log Numbers retained by IPRA, IPRA classified 115 as Complaint Register Numbers. In addition, IPRA began Pre-affidavit Investigations for 147 of the Log Numbers retained by IPRA. The remainder of the retained Log Numbers consisted of 5 Log Numbers for shootings where an individual was hit by a bullet and a “U Number” was issued, 4 for shootings where no one was hit by a bullet, 9 for shots fired at animals, 77 for reported uses of tasers, 2 for reported uses of pepper spray,<sup>7</sup> and 17 for Extraordinary Occurrences<sup>8</sup>.

#### 2-57-110(2): The number of investigations concluded since the last report

<sup>7</sup> As of December 31, 2007, IPRA issued a Log Number for notifications of uses of taser, pepper spray, or for shootings where no one is injured only if it received a telephonic notification of the incident or there was an allegation of misconduct. As of January 1, 2008, IPRA implemented procedures to issue Log Numbers for all uses of taser and shootings, regardless of the method of notification. In addition CPD issued a reminder to CPD personnel to provide notification to IPRA. IPRA continues to issue Log Numbers for discharges of pepper spray at the request of CPD personnel.

<sup>8</sup> These numbers include one Log Numbers classified as both a U Number and a Complaint Register; and two Log Number classified as both an Extraordinary Occurrence and a Complaint Register. These Log Numbers are counted only once in the total number of Log Numbers retained by IPRA, but included in the breakouts of all applicable incident types.

Between October 1, 2013 and December 31 2013, IPRA closed 632 Log Numbers. A Log Number is considered closed when IPRA completes its work on the matter, regardless of whether the Police Department is still processing the results.

2-57-110(3): The number of investigations pending as of the report date

As of December 31, 2013, there were 1327 investigations pending completion by IPRA. These include both allegations that have received Complaint Register Numbers, and those being followed under a Log Number, as well as officer-involved shootings, and Extraordinary Occurrences.

2-57-110(4): The number of complaints not sustained since the last report<sup>9</sup>

Between October 1, 2013 and December 31, 2013, IPRA recommended that 151 investigations be closed as “not sustained.”

In addition, 143 cases were closed after a Pre-affidavit Investigation because the complainants refused to sign an affidavit. IPRA recommended that 70 investigations be closed as “unfounded,” and 3 be closed as “exonerated.”

2-57-110(5): The number of complaints sustained since the last report

Between October 1, 2013 and December 31, 2013, IPRA recommended that 80 cases be closed as sustained. Attached are abstracts for each case where IPRA recommended a sustained finding, and the discipline IPRA recommended.<sup>10</sup>

2-57-110(6): The number of complaints filed in each district since the last report<sup>11</sup>

Between October 1, 2013 and December 31, 2013, IPRA received complaints of alleged misconduct based on incidents in the following districts, as follows:

**NOTE: IPRA experienced a power outage recently which affected the department’s computer server. We are in the process of restoring the server to full operability. The number of complaints filed in each district, complaints against CPD members by unit, and any corrected data will be added within the coming days and an updated report will be posted on IPRA’s Web site.**

---

<sup>9</sup> The term “not sustained” is a term of art in police misconduct investigations. It is defined in CPD G.O. 93-3 as “when there is insufficient evidence either to prove or disprove allegation.” In addition, cases may be “unfounded,” which means “the allegation is false or not factual.”

<sup>10</sup> Abstracts for all investigations where IPRA has recommended a sustained finding can be found at [www.iprachicago.org](http://www.iprachicago.org) under the Resources heading.

<sup>11</sup> “Complaints” is defined as all reports of alleged misconduct, whether from the community or from a source internal to the Police Department, whether a Complaint Register number has been issued or not. This does not include, absent an allegation of misconduct, reports of uses of Tasers, pepper spray, discharges of weapons whether hitting an individual or not, or Extraordinary Occurrences. Districts are identified based on the district where the alleged misconduct occurred. Some complaints occurred in more than one District, they are counted in each district where they occurred. This list does include confidential complaints.

2-57-110(7): The number of complaints filed against each officer in each district since the last report<sup>12</sup>

2-57-110(8): The number of complaints referred to other agencies and the identity of such other agencies

Between October 1, 2013 and December 31, 2013, IPRA referred 1219 cases to other agencies as follows:

Chicago Police Department – Internal Affairs Division = 1213

Cook County State’s Attorney = 6

Federal Bureau of Investigations = 0

---

<sup>12</sup> This uses the same definition of “complaints” as the preceding section. Except as otherwise noted, if a member was assigned to one unit but detailed to another at the time of the complaint, the member is listed under the detailed unit.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

OCTOBER 2013

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1015799***

On April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding domestic incidents between a Chicago Police Department (CPD) Sergeant (Sergeant A) and Officers (Complainant Officer B and Officers C, D and E) that occurred on multiple dates in the 11<sup>th</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup> Districts. It was alleged that Sergeant A engaged in conduct which brought discredit on the Department on unknown dates and times. It was also alleged that Sergeant A threatened to provide the Department with false information on Complainant Officer B on numerous occasions between 2006 and 2008. It was alleged that Sergeant A gained access to Complainant Officer B's Deferred Compensation Account without her permission on an unknown date in 2007. It was also alleged that Sergeant A sent text messages to or phoned Officers C, D and E to obtain information on Complainant Officer B on several occasions in 2008. It was alleged that Sergeant A harassed Complainant Officer B by keeping her under surveillance and used CPD resources to do so between January through June of 2008. It was also alleged that Sergeant A maltreated Complainant Officer B at her residence on January 9<sup>th</sup>, 2008. It was alleged that Sergeant A maltreated Complainant Officer B at her residence on February 24<sup>th</sup>, 2008. It was also alleged that Sergeant A repeatedly harassed Complainant Officer B at her residence on April 16, 2008. It was alleged that Sergeant A harassed Complainant Officer B on June 8<sup>th</sup>, 2008. It was also alleged that Sergeant A engaged in conduct which brought discredit on the Department in the 11<sup>th</sup> District Station between June 11<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup>, 2008. It was alleged that Sergeant A telephoned IPRA and made a false report on June 29<sup>th</sup>, 2008. Based upon a mediation, Sergeant A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he repeatedly harassed Complainant Officer B at her residence on April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2008; harassed Complainant Officer B by keeping her under surveillance and used CPD resources to do so between January through June of 2008; and sent text messages to or phoned Officers C, D and E to obtain information on Complainant Officer B on several occasions in 2008. Sergeant A agreed through mediation to accept a **suspension of one (1) day.**

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1036570***

On May 24<sup>th</sup>, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer that occurred on May 24<sup>th</sup>, 2010, in the 25<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer punched Victim A, pushed Victim B and pushed Victim C; attempted to strike

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

OCTOBER 2013

Victim A with a golf club; brought discredit upon the Department in that he was involved in a physical altercation while off-duty; and brought discredit upon the Department in that he was arrested for Simple Battery. Based on a mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **thirty (30) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1038857***

On August 11<sup>th</sup>, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer that occurred on August 11<sup>th</sup>, 2009, in Waukegan, Illinois. It was alleged that the Officer used profanity towards the Victim; pushed the Victim; pulled the Victim to the ground; and threatened further harm to the Victim. Based on a mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **fifteen (15) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1048176***

On September 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Senior Data Entry Operators (SDEO A and Victim SDEO B) and two on-duty Supervisor of Data Entry Operators (Supervisors C and D) that occurred on multiple dates in the 2<sup>nd</sup> District. It was alleged that SDEO A repeatedly struck Victim SDEO B on the arm on August 15<sup>th</sup>, 2011. It was also alleged that SDEO A told Victim SDEO B not to obtain a Complaint Log Number against him between August 22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2011. It was alleged that Supervisors C and D had knowledge of misconduct on the part of a Department member and failed to obtain a Complaint Log Number between August 22<sup>nd</sup> through September 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011. Based on statements from the accused Members, the Victim, and a witness, in addition to Department reports, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that SDEO A repeatedly struck Victim SDEO B on the arm on August 15<sup>th</sup>, 2011 and told Victim SDEO B not to obtain a Complaint Log Number against him between August 22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2011. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Supervisors C and D had knowledge of misconduct on the part of a Department member and failed to obtain a Complaint Log Number between August 22<sup>nd</sup> through September 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011. IPRA recommended a **written reprimand for Supervisors C and D**.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

OCTOBER 2013

### *Log/C.R. No. 1042347*

On December 30<sup>th</sup>, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer and an on-duty CPD Sergeant that occurred on December 30<sup>th</sup>, 2010 in the 8<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer threatened to shoot Victim A in the face; pointed a firearm at Victim A, placing the Victim in a reasonable expectation of receiving a battery; committed the act of assaulting Victim A by pointing a firearm at his face; was arrested and charged with Aggravated Assault-Handgun in that he pointed a gun at Victim A on December 30<sup>th</sup>, 2010; pointed a firearm at Victim B's head, placing the Victim in a reasonable expectation of receiving a battery; threatened to shoot Victim B; committed the offence of Domestic Battery-Bodily Harm in that without legal justification, knowingly and/or intentionally caused bodily harm to Victim B, a household member, when he punched him in the head with a closed fist; was arrested and charged with Domestic Battery-Bodily Harm on December 30<sup>th</sup>, 2010; committed the act of assaulting Victim B by pointing the muzzle of the firearm to his head, placing Victim B in reasonable expectation of receiving a battery; was arrested and charged with Aggravated Assault-Handgun in that he pointed a gun at Victim B on December 30<sup>th</sup>, 2010; was intoxicated; was in possession of his firearm while intoxicated; unnecessarily displayed his firearm; was disarmed and/or lost control of his firearm; and engaged in an unjustified verbal and/or physical altercation with Victim C. It was alleged that the Sergeant failed to administer a breathalyzer Examination to the accused Officer, who was alleged to be intoxicated during a Domestic Battery and Aggravated Assault incident. Based on statements from the accused Officer and Sergeant, Department and Court Reports, and OEMC transmissions, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the Sergeant failed to administer a breathalyzer Examination to the accused Officer, who was alleged to be intoxicated during a Domestic Battery and Aggravated Assault incident. Based on a mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **thirty (30) day suspension**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1024714*

On March 15<sup>th</sup>, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Detective and an off-duty CPD Officer that occurred on March 15<sup>th</sup>, 2009 in the 22<sup>nd</sup> District. It was alleged that the Detective choked Victim A; put her knee on Victim A's

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

OCTOBER 2013

back; kicked Victim A; verbally abused Victim A; was intoxicated while off-duty; and failed to completed and submit a Tactical Response Report (TRR). It was alleged that the Officer grabbed Victim B and threw Victim B to the ground; and choked Victim A. Based on statements from the accused Detective and Officer, the Victims, witnesses, Department and Court Reports, and ET photographs, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the Detective choked Victim A; put her knee on Victim A's back; kicked Victim A; verbally abused Victim A; and was intoxicated while off-duty. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the Detective failed to completed and submit a Tactical Response Report (TRR). IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Officer grabbed Victim B and threw Victim B to the ground and choked Victim A. IPRA recommended a **written reprimand for the Detective.**

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

NOVEMBER 2013

### *Log/C.R. No. 1040322*

On October 2, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer that occurred October 2, 2010, in the 18<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer engaged in an unjustified verbal altercation with Complainants A and B; directed profanities at Complainants A and B; pointed a gun at Complainants A and B; directed racial slurs at Complainants A and B; failed to identify himself as a Police Officer; and provided a false statement to IPRA. Based upon a mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that he engaged in an unjustified verbal altercation with Complainants A and B and directed profanities at Complainants A and B. The Officer agreed through mediation to accept a "**Violation Noted**".

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

### *Log/C.R. No. 1020686*

On September 26<sup>th</sup>, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving two off-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A and B) that occurred on September 26<sup>th</sup>, 2008 in the 16<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A put his hands on the upper part of Officer B's chest and pushed her; verbally abused Officer B; grabbed Officer B by the shirt and threw her down the stairs; and left his district of assignment without proper authorization. It was alleged that Officer B arrived at Officer A and Complainant A's residence uninvited and caused a disturbance; verbally abused Officer B; stated obscenities at Officer B; pushed Complainant A; entered Officer A and Complainant A's residence without being invited; violently and aggressively lunged at Officer A and Complainant A's baby; and verbally abused Complainant A. Based on statements from the accused Officers, the Complainant, and witnesses; Department reports, 911 calls and the OEMC query, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A put his hands on the upper part of Officer B's chest and pushed her; verbally abused Officer B; grabbed Officer B by the shirt and threw her down the stairs; and left his district of assignment without proper authorization. During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **written reprimand**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1021083*

On October 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on multiple dates in the 9<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer harassed Complainant A; and left a voicemail message for Complainant A threatening to kill Complainant A's boyfriend on August 27<sup>th</sup>, 2008. It was also alleged that the Officer harassed Complainant A via cell phone between October 11<sup>th</sup>, 2008 and October 23, 2008. It was alleged that the Officer showed Complainant B a photograph of Complainant A's boyfriend and questioned her about him on October 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2008. It was also alleged that the Officer searched for the name of Complainant A's boyfriend without proper authorization and for personal gain on August 8<sup>th</sup>, 2008. During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **two (2) day suspension**.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

### *Log/C.R. No. 1048532*

On September 15<sup>th</sup>, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Sergeant that occurred on September 15<sup>th</sup>, 2011 in the 22<sup>nd</sup> District. It was alleged that the Sergeant attempted to strike Victim A; directed racially biased language at Victim A and Complainant B; refused to correctly identify herself when requested to do so by other members of the Department during a preliminary investigation regarding a Simple Assault; and was intoxicated, while off-duty, during a Simple Assault. Based on statements from the accused Sergeant, Victim, Complainant and witness, Department reports, OEMC transmissions, video, and breathalyzer results, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Sergeant attempted to strike Victim A and directed racially biased language at Victim A and Complainant B. During mediation, the Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that she refused to correctly identify herself when requested to do so by other members of the Department during a preliminary investigation regarding a Simple Assault and was intoxicated, while off-duty, during a Simple Assault. The Sergeant agreed to accept a **suspension of one (1) day**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1038666*

On August 6<sup>th</sup>, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on August 6<sup>th</sup>, 2010 in the 22<sup>nd</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer was intoxicated; directed racially biased language at Complainants A and B; pointed a gun towards Complainants A and B; chased the vehicle Complainants A and B were in with a gun in his hand; was in possession of a firearm while intoxicated; was belligerent and verbally abusive to the Alsip Police Department; and whose overall conduct brought discredit upon the Department. Based on statements from the accused Officer, Complainants, witnesses, as well as reports from the Chicago Police Department and the Alsip Police Department, OEMC transmissions and 911 reports, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Officer was intoxicated and was in possession of a firearm while intoxicated. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he directed racially biased language at Complainants A and B; pointed a gun towards Complainants A and B; chased the vehicle Complainants A and B were in with a gun in his hand; was belligerent and verbally abusive to the Alsip Police Department; and whose overall conduct brought

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

### DECEMBER 2013

discredit upon the Department. The Officer agreed to accept a **suspension of three (3) days**.

#### ***Log/C.R. No. 1037108***

On June 8<sup>th</sup>, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officer A and Complainant/Officer B) that occurred on June 8<sup>th</sup>, 2010 in the 6<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A brought discredit upon the Department in that he unnecessarily displayed and pointed his weapon at Complainant/Officer B and activated the attached flashlight; and disobeyed an order and a directive, in that he was not authorized to have a flashlight attached to a weapon. During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **one (1) day suspension**.

#### ***Log/C.R. No. 1058391***

On October 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on October 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2010 in the 5<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer mishandled his weapon and prematurely discharged it as he was attempting to protect his assisting Officers from possible death or great bodily harm. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **two (2) day suspension**.

#### ***Log/C.R. No. 1060039***

On February 9<sup>th</sup>, 2013, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Detective that occurred on February 9<sup>th</sup>, 2013 in the 19<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Detective operated a squad car in an erratic and reckless manner; verbally abused Complainants A and B; threw Complainant A's license and registration at Complainant A, which struck Complainant A about his face and chest; intentionally reversed a squad car into the Complainants' vehicle; intentionally fled the scene after striking the Complainants' vehicle with a squad car; failed to make any notification, written or oral, in regards to striking the Complainants' vehicle with a squad car; failed to document his contact with Complainants A and B; and failed to identify himself upon Complainants A's and B's request. Based on statements from the accused Detective, Complainants, witnesses, and Department reports, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Detective operated a squad car in an erratic and reckless manner;

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

verbally abused Complainants A and B; threw Complainant A's license and registration at Complainant A, which struck Complainant A about his face and chest; intentionally reversed a squad car into the Complainants' vehicle; intentionally fled the scene after striking the complainant's vehicle with a squad car; and failed to identify himself upon being requested to do so by Complainants A and B. During mediation, the Detective agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that he failed to make any notification, written or oral, in regards to striking the Complainants' vehicle with a squad car and failed to document his contact with Complainants A and B. The Detective agreed to accept a **three (3) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1057080***

On September 14<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on September 14<sup>th</sup>, 2012 in the 15<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer was inattentive to duty during a carbine functions check on a weapon in that he accidentally discharged the weapon. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a **written reprimand**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1048689***

On September 20<sup>th</sup>, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officer A and Complainant/Officer B) that occurred on September 20<sup>th</sup>, 2011 in the 22<sup>nd</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A engaged in a verbal and physical altercation with Complainant/Officer B; verbally abused Complainant/Officer B; and shoved Complainant/Officer B. During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations and a **two (2) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1058610***

On November 24<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on November 24<sup>th</sup>, 2012 in the 15<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer was inattentive to duty while clearing a Carbine rifle in that he accidentally discharged the weapon. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a **written reprimand**.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1058913***

On December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2012 in the 10<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his taser. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a **Violation Noted**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1036076***

On May 5<sup>th</sup>, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving one off-duty Chicago Police Officer (Officer A), two on-duty CPD Officers (Officers B and C) and one on-duty CPD Detective (Detective D) that occurred on May 5<sup>th</sup>, 2010 in the 7<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A twisted Victim A's arm behind his back; grabbed Victim A by the neck; handcuffed Victim A too tightly; punched Victim A about the body; slapped Victim A about the face; tore Victim A's shirt; slammed Victim A on the floor; placed Victim A's knee on Victim A's neck; threatened Victim A; and failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR). It was alleged that Officer B, Officer C and Detective D failed to report allegations of excessive force made to them by the Complainant regarding an incident between Victim A and Officer A. Based on statements from the accused CPD Members, the Victim, witnesses, Department and School reports, ET photographs and medical records, IPRA recommended to "**NOT SUSTAIN**" the allegations that Officer B, Officer C and Detective D failed to report allegations of excessive force made to them by the Complainant regarding an incident between Victim A and Officer A. IPRA recommended to "**EXONERATE**" the allegation that Officer A twisted Victim A's arm behind his back. Further, IPRA recommended to "**NOT SUSTAIN**" the allegations that Officer A grabbed Victim A by the neck; handcuffed Victim A too tightly; punched Victim A about the body; slapped Victim A about the face; tore Victim A's shirt; slammed Victim A on the floor; placed Victim A's knee on Victim A's neck; and threatened Victim A. During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR). The Officer agreed to accept a **Violation Noted**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1059385***

On January 7<sup>th</sup>, 2013, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on January

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

### DECEMBER 2013

7<sup>th</sup>, 2013 in the 24<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his taser. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a **Violation Noted**.

#### ***Log/C.R. No. 1057437***

On September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012 in the 14<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his taser. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **Violation Noted**.

#### ***Log/C.R. No. 1042738***

On January 15<sup>th</sup>, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on January 15<sup>th</sup>, 2011 in the 4<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer verbally abused Complainant A; made numerous attempts to spit on Complainant A and did strike Complainant A with spit; threatened to spit at Complainant B; verbally abused Complainant C; brought discredit upon the Department by his overall actions; and spat and struck Complainant A, committing a Battery. Based on statements from the accused Officer, Complainants, Department and OEMC reports, and a security video, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Officer verbally abused Complainant A and verbally abused Complainant C. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he made numerous attempts to spit on Complainant A and did strike Complainant A with spit; threatened to spit at Complainant B; brought discredit upon the Department by his overall actions; and spat and struck Complainant A, committing a Battery. The Officer agreed to accept a **two (2) day suspension**.

#### ***Log/C.R. No. 1060883***

On March 21<sup>st</sup>, 2013, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on March 21<sup>st</sup>, 2013 in the 12<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his weapon. During mediation,

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a **Violation Noted**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1058060***

On October 27<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on October 27<sup>th</sup>, 2012 in the 6<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his weapon. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a **one (1) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1042007***

On December 10<sup>th</sup>, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Detectives (Cross-Complainants Detective A and B) that occurred on December 10<sup>th</sup>, 2010 in the 5<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Detectives engaged in a verbal and physical altercation with each other; and brought discredit to the Department by their overall actions. During mediation, Detective A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **one (1) day suspension**. During mediation, Detective B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **two (2) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1050482***

On December 5<sup>th</sup>, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving three off-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A, B and C) that occurred on December 5<sup>th</sup>, 2011 in the 16<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A was in possession of his firearm inside a bar, when there was a likelihood that he would be consuming alcohol; unnecessarily displayed his firearm; discharged his firearm without justification; failed to make the proper notifications after he discharged his firearm; failed to complete the required Department Reports; failed to submit to the mandatory alcohol and drug testing after he discharged his firearm; drove away from the scene while under the influence of alcohol after he discharged his firearm; and impeded the investigation of the discharge of his firearm by leaving the scene. It was alleged that Officer B caused a public disturbance while intoxicated. It was alleged that Officer C observed misconduct and failed to report it. Based on statements from the accused Officers and witnesses, Department and medical reports, photographs, and videos, IPRA

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer C observed misconduct and failed to report it. During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **thirty-five (35) day suspension**. During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **one (1) day suspension**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1045777*

On May 31<sup>st</sup>, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on May 29<sup>th</sup>, 2011 in Stickney, Illinois. It was alleged that the accused Officer verbally abused Victim A; pushed Victim A; punched Victim B without provocation; kicked Victim B numerous times as Victim B laid prone on the ground; pointed his weapon at Victim B's head; used his gun as a weapon by striking Victim B in the head multiple times while on the ground; unnecessarily displayed his weapon; failed to correctly identify himself when requested by Victim B; verbally abused Victim B; directed racially biased language at Victims B, C, D, E, and F; threatened to shoot Victims C, D, E, and F if they did not stay away and move back; failed to identify himself as a peace officer prior to taking police action; handcuffed Victim B too tightly and refused to loosen Victim B's handcuffs upon request; failed to notify or submit a report, oral or written, to the Department; failed to submit a Tactical Response Report (TRR); and whose overall conduct brought discredit to the Department. Based on statements from the victims, witnesses, CPD and Stickney Police Department reports, photographs, and 911 calls, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations the Officer verbally abused Victim A; pushed Victim A; failed to correctly identify himself when requested by Victim B; threatened to shoot Victims C, D, E, and F if they did not stay away and move back; and handcuffed Victim B too tightly and refused to loosen Victim B's handcuffs upon request. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he punched Victim B without provocation; kicked Victim B numerous times as Victim B laid prone on the ground; pointed his weapon at the Victim B's head; used his gun as a weapon by striking Victim B in the head multiple times while on the ground; unnecessarily displayed his weapon; verbally abused Victim B; directed racially biased language at Victims B, C, D, E, and F; failed to identify himself as a peace officer prior to taking police action; failed to notify or submit a report, oral or written, to the Department; failed to submit a Tactical Response

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

### DECEMBER 2013

Report (TRR); and whose overall conduct brought discredit to the Department. The Officer accepted a **thirty (30) day suspension**.

#### ***Log/C.R. No. 1057963***

On October 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on October 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2012 in the 19<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer was intoxicated while off-duty. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a **one (1) day suspension**.

#### ***Log/C.R. No. 1049711***

On October 31<sup>st</sup>, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on multiple dates in the 8<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer grabbed Victim A's right shoulder and forearm and pushed her into her living room on October 31<sup>st</sup>, 2011. It was also alleged that the Officer grabbed Victim A by the shirt and punched her in the chest with his fist; and verbally abused Victim A on November 12<sup>th</sup>, 2009. It was further alleged that the Officer went through Victim A's garbage on December 11<sup>th</sup>, 2011. Based on statements from the Victim and a witness, Department reports, photographs, and 911 calls, IPRA recommended to "**NOT SUSTAIN**" the allegations that the Officer grabbed Victim A by the shirt and punched her in the chest with his fist and verbally abused Victim A on November 12<sup>th</sup>, 2009. IPRA further recommended to "**NOT SUSTAIN**" the allegation that the Officer went through Victim A's garbage on December 11<sup>th</sup>, 2011. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that he grabbed Victim A's right shoulder and forearm and pushed her into her living room on October 31<sup>st</sup>, 2011 and a **written reprimand**.

#### ***Log/C.R. No. 1016734***

On May 21<sup>st</sup>, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on May 21<sup>st</sup>, 2008 in the 24<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer was intoxicated while off-duty. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a **one (1) day suspension**.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1016252***

On May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2008 in the 18<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer was intoxicated while off-duty while involved in an off-duty physical altercation. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a **ten (10) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1006799***

On June 20<sup>th</sup>, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on June 20<sup>th</sup>, 2007 in the 4<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty in that she accidentally discharged her weapon. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a **written reprimand**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1032489***

On December 13, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Detective that occurred on December 13, 2009 in the 22<sup>nd</sup> District. It was alleged that the Detective verbally abused Victim A; pushed Victim A; struck Victim B; and was intoxicated. Based on statements from the accused Detective, Department reports, Breathalyzer Reports, photographs, and 911 calls, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Detective verbally abused Victim A; pushed Victim A; and struck Victim B. During mediation, the Detective agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he was intoxicated and a **written reprimand**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1035196***

On April 6<sup>th</sup>, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Detective that occurred on multiple dates in the 22<sup>nd</sup> District. It was alleged that the Detective initiated false reports on Victim A on May 15<sup>th</sup>, 2009 and April 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2010. It was alleged that the Detective broke household items on June 9<sup>th</sup>, 2009 and October 7<sup>th</sup>, 2009. It was further alleged that the Detective placed Victim A in a headlock; and violated a court order on June 14<sup>th</sup>, 2009. It was alleged that the Detective entered Victim A's bedroom in violation of a court order; grabbed Victim A by her shirt, pushed her to

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

the ground, threw a TV and broke her computer on November 27<sup>th</sup>, 2009. It was further alleged that the Detective verbally abused and harassed Victim A by calling her names and sending her offensive text messages on multiple dates and times. Based on statements from the accused Detective, the Victim and witnesses, Department reports, photographs, OEMC transmission, text messages, Medical and Court records, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Detective initiated false reports on Victim A on May 15<sup>th</sup>, 2009 and April 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2010; broke household items on June 9<sup>th</sup>, 2009 and October 7<sup>th</sup>, 2009; placed Victim A in a headlock on June 14<sup>th</sup>, 2009; grabbed Victim A by her shirt and pushed her to the ground on November 27<sup>th</sup>, 2009; and threw a TV and broke her computer on November 27<sup>th</sup>, 2009. During mediation, the Detective agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he violated a court order on June 14<sup>th</sup>, 2009; entered Victim A's bedroom in violation of a court order on November 27<sup>th</sup>, 2009; and verbally abused and harassed Victim A by calling her names and sending her offensive text messages on multiple dates and times. The Detective agreed to a **two (2) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1046376***

On June 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on June 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2011 in the 4<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer, during a cellular phone call with a Sergeant, was belligerent and disrespectful towards the Sergeant; was intoxicated and exhibited mumbled and slurred speech; and told the Sergeant that he did not care when the Sergeant informed him that, due to his lack of cooperation, the Sergeant would be forced to initiate a CR number against him. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a **two (2) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1060036***

On February 9<sup>th</sup>, 2013, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Detective that occurred on February 8<sup>th</sup>, 2013 in the 13<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Detective was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his weapon and failed to immediately notify the Office of Emergency Management and Communications (OEMC) and provide all relevant information regarding the weapons discharge. The Detective agreed

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a **written reprimand**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1056759*

On August 31, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on August 31, 2012 in the 7<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his weapon. The Officer agreed through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a **written reprimand**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1054645*

On June 11<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on June 10<sup>th</sup>, 2012 in the 19<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with the Victim, in that he followed her as she attempted to get away; grabbed the Victim by her neck; choked the Victim about the neck; pushed the Victim to the ground; pulled the Victim's hair; physically interfered with her call to 911, which was disconnected, and subsequently answered her phone; interfered with the Victim obtaining assistance from the security guard when he grasped her by the arm and demanded that she leave with him; grabbed the Victim by the hand and/or arm while she repeatedly told him to, words to the effect of, leave her alone; demanded that the Victim give him her hand against her will and verbally abused her; threatened the Victim twice; and was rude and discourteous to a security guard when the Victim went to the security guard for help. Based on Department reports, photographs, and OEMC transmissions, IPRA recommended to "**NOT SUSTAIN**" the allegations that the Detective grabbed the Victim by her neck; choked the Victim about the neck; pushed the Victim to the ground; and was rude and discourteous to a security guard when the Victim went to the security guard for help. The Officer agreed through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that he engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with the Victim, in that he followed her as she attempted to get away; pulled the Victim's hair; physically interfered with her call to 911, which was disconnected, and subsequently answered her phone; demanded that the Victim give him her hand against her will and verbally abused her; threatened the Victim twice; interfered with the Victim obtaining assistance from the security guard when he grasped her by the arm and demanded that she leave with

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

him; and grabbed the Victim by the hand and/or arm while she repeatedly told him to, words to the effect of, leave her alone. The Officer accepted a **three (3) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1043199***

On February 9<sup>th</sup>, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving two off-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A and B) that occurred on multiple dates in Des Plaines, Illinois. It was alleged that Officer A verbally abused Victim A from January 2009 through February 2010. It was further alleged that Officer A ran Victim A's license plate for unauthorized purposes from September 2009 through May 2009. It was alleged that Officer A ran Victim A's father's license plate for unauthorized purposes from April 2009 through October 2009. It was further alleged that Officer A used Department equipment to make inquiries on Victim A's license plate for unauthorized purposes on June 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2009, August 17<sup>th</sup>, 2009, May 28<sup>th</sup>, 2009, and June 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2009. It was further alleged that Officer A verbally abused Victim A and threw Victim B's "sippy cup" on the street; and filed a false Battery Report against Victim A on November 29<sup>th</sup>, 2011. It was alleged that Officer B used Department equipment to make inquiries on Victim A's license plate for unauthorized purposes on May 28<sup>th</sup>, 2009, and June 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2009. Based on statements from the accused Officers and Victim A, Department reports, OEMC transmission, Medical and Court records, IPRA recommended to "**NOT SUSTAIN**" the allegations that the Officer A verbally abused Victim A from January 2009 through February 2010; ran Victim A's license plate for unauthorized purposes from September 2009 through May 2009; ran Victim A's father's license plate for unauthorized purposes from April 2009 through October 2009; and verbally abused Victim A and threw Victim B's "sippy cup" on the street and filed a false Battery Report against Victim A on November 29<sup>th</sup>, 2011. IPRA recommended to "**UNFOUND**" the allegations that Officer B used Department equipment to make inquiries on Victim A's license plate for unauthorized purposes on May 28<sup>th</sup>, 2009, and June 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2009. During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that he used Department equipment to make inquiries on Victim A's license plate for unauthorized purposes on June 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2009; August 17<sup>th</sup>, 2009; May 28<sup>th</sup>, 2009; and June 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2009 and a **three (3) day suspension**.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

### *Log/C.R. No. 1044986*

On April 27<sup>th</sup>, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving three off-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A, B and C) that occurred on April 26<sup>th</sup>, 2011 in the 12<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A pushed the Victim; unnecessarily displayed his weapon at the Victim; failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR); and whose overall conduct brought discredit upon the Department. It was alleged that Officer B pushed the Victim against the wall; directed racially biased language at the Victim; verbally abused the Victim; and threatened the Victim. It was alleged that Officer C pushed the Victim against the wall. Based on statements from the accused Officers, the Victim, witnesses, Department reports, OEMC transmissions, medical and Court records, photographs and videos, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer B pushed the Victim against the wall; directed racially biased language at the Victim; verbally abused the Victim; and threatened the Victim. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer C pushed the Victim against the wall. During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he pushed the Victim; unnecessarily displayed his weapon at the Victim; failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR); and whose overall conduct brought discredit upon the Department. Officer A agreed to a **five (5) day suspension**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1050961*

On December 29<sup>th</sup>, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A and B) that occurred on April 26<sup>th</sup>, 2011 in the 12<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A tripped Victim A causing him to fall; pulled Victim A's arm behind his back; verbally abused Victim A; failed to provide medical attention for Victim A; failed to complete a contact card for Victim A; failed to complete a contact card for Victim B; and failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR). It was alleged that Officer B pushed Victim B's face against a door; failed to provide medical attention for Victim A; failed to complete a contact card for Victim A; and failed to complete a contact card for Victim B. Based on statements from the accused Officers, witnesses, Department reports, OEMC transmissions, photographs, Medical and Court records, IPRA recommended to **"UNFOUNDED"** the allegations that Officer A tripped Victim A causing him to fall; pulled Victim A's arm behind his back; failed to provide medical attention for Victim A; and failed to complete a Tactical

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

Response Report (TRR). Further, IPRA recommended to **"UNFOUND"** the allegations that Officer B pushed Victim B's face against a door and failed to provide medical attention for Victim A. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer A verbally abused Victim A. Officers A and B both agreed through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that they failed to complete a contact card for Victim A and failed to complete a contact card for Victim B. Officers A and B both agreed to a **Violation Noted**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1057129***

On September 17<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on September 15<sup>th</sup>, 2012 in Hammond, Indiana. It was alleged that the Officer used his official position for influence in that he attempted to convince bar staff to grant a civilian entry into a bar; fraternized with a convicted felon; was intoxicated while off-duty; physically abused Victim A; scratched Victim B on the neck while swinging at him; was verbally abusive towards Victim B; pushed and swung at Victim C; pushed, swung and punched Victim D; swung and struck Victim E; and refused to leave when asked to leave by bar staff. Based on statements from the accused Officer, the Victims and witnesses, CPD and Hammond Police Department reports, 911 calls, photographs, videos, Medical and Court records, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Officer fraternized with a convicted felon; was intoxicated while off-duty; physically abused Victim A; scratched Victim B on the neck while swinging at him; pushed and swung at Victim C; pushed, swung and punched Victim D; swung and struck Victim E; and refused to leave when asked to leave by bar staff. The Officer agreed through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that the Officer used his official position for influence in that he attempted to convince bar staff to grant a civilian entry into a bar; and was verbally abusive towards Victim B. Officers A and B both agreed to a **two (2) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1055626***

On July 17<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officer A and B) that occurred on April 19<sup>th</sup>, 2012 in the 1<sup>st</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A pushed Officer B. It was alleged that Officer B failed to report in a timely manner that Officer A pushed her. Based on statements from the accused Officers, witnesses, Department reports, and OEMC

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

transmissions, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer A pushed Officer B. Officer B agreed through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a **written reprimand**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1021695***

On November 18<sup>th</sup>, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on November 18<sup>th</sup>, 2008 in the 25<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer pushed the Victim to the floor; laid on top of the Victim; threatened the Victim; returned and entered the Victim's residence without permission; maltreated the Victim by throwing her to the floor; threatened to put his gun in his mouth and shoot himself; and was arrested and charged with Domestic Battery. Based on statements from the accused Officer, the Victim and witnesses, Department reports, OEMC transmissions, ET photographs, and Court records, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Officer threatened the Victim; returned and entered the Victim's residence without permission; maltreated the Victim by throwing her to the floor; and threatened to put his gun in his mouth and shoot himself. The Officer agreed through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that the Officer pushed the Victim to the floor; laid on top of the Victim; and was arrested and charged with Domestic Battery. The Officer agreed to a **three (3) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1046361***

On June 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on June 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2011 in the 25<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer failed to search the Offender when he took custody of him and was inattentive to duty in that the Offender escaped from his custody. The Officer agreed through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **two (2) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1058148***

On November 1<sup>st</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on November 1<sup>st</sup>, 2012 in the 14<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his taser.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

The Officer agreed through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **written reprimand**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1038683*

On August 6<sup>th</sup>, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A and B) that occurred on August 6<sup>th</sup>, 2010 in the 10<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A used racially biased language; directed profanities at a citizen; and whose overall conduct brought discredit upon the Department. It was alleged that Officer B directed inappropriate and derogatory language at a citizen; directed profanities at a citizen; and whose overall conduct brought discredit upon the Department. Based on statements from the accused Officers and Department reports, IPRA recommended to **"UNFOUND"** the allegation that Officer A directed profanities. IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officer A used racially biased language and engaged in conduct that brought discredit upon the Department. IPRA recommended to **"UNFOUND"** the allegation that Officer B used derogatory language towards a citizen. IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officer B directed profanities at a citizen and that his overall conduct brought discredit upon the Department. IPRA recommended a **Violation Noted for both Officers A and B**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1055737*

On July 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving two off-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A and B) that occurred on July 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2012 in the 25<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A engaged in a physical altercation while off-duty; failed to identify himself as a police officer; verbally abused a Sergeant; brought discredit upon the Department in that he was charged with Battery and Resisting; and failed to follow directions of responding officers who directed him not to leave the scene. It was alleged that Officer B engaged in a physical altercation while off-duty; was intoxicated while off-duty; failed to identify himself as a police officer; brought discredit upon the Department in that he was charged with Battery and Resisting; and failed to follow directions of responding officers who directed him not to leave the scene. Officer A agreed through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **twenty (20) day suspension**. Officer B agreed through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **thirty (30) day suspension**.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

### *Log/C.R. No. 1028646*

On July 28<sup>th</sup>, 2009 a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving one on-duty Chicago Police Officer (Officer A), one Chicago Police Sergeant (Sergeant B), and one Chicago Police Lieutenant (Lieutenant C) that occurred on August 6<sup>th</sup>, 2010 in the 10<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A asked the Victim if she was a "hooker"; referred to the Victim in a derogatory manner; slammed the Victim's face down onto the floor; placed his knee on the Victim's back while handcuffing her; groped the Victim about her body; erased personal data from the Victim's cellular phone; and described the Victim using racially biased and offensive language. It was alleged that Sergeant B and Lieutenant C observed and/or had knowledge of misconduct and failed to take necessary actions by initiating a Complaint Log number. Based on statements from the accused Department Members, the Victim and witnesses, Department reports, and photographs, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Sergeant B and Lieutenant C observed and/or had knowledge of misconduct and failed to take necessary actions by initiating a Complaint Log number. IPRA recommended to **"UNFOUNDED"** the allegations that Officer A slammed the Victim's face down onto the floor and groped the Victim about her body. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A asked the Victim if she was a "hooker"; referred to the Victim in a derogatory manner; placed his knee on the Victim's back while handcuffing her; and erased personal data from the Victim's cellular phone. IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that Officer A described the Victim using racially biased and offensive language. IPRA recommended a **one (1) day suspension for Officer A.**

### *Log/C.R. No. 1057205*

On September 20<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on September 20<sup>th</sup>, 2012 in the 14<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer contaminated a crime scene by failing to protect and preserve the crime scene; used profanities on more than one occasion towards an Evidence Technician; and used sexually-biased language in referencing an Evidence Technician. Based on statements from the accused Officer, the Evidence Technician, witnesses and Department reports, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Officer contaminated a crime scene by failing to protect and preserve

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

the crime scene; used profanities on more than one occasion towards an Evidence Technician; and used sexually-biased language in referencing an Evidence Technician. IPRA recommended a **fifty-five (55) day suspension for the accused Officer.**

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1026904***

On June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an off-duty Probationary Chicago Police Officer that occurred on June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2009 in Flossmoor, Illinois. It was alleged that the Probationary Officer resided in Flossmoor, Illinois; discharged her weapon into the air; denied discharging her weapon; and struck the Victim on the head with a hammer. Based on statements from the accused Probationary Officer, the Victim, witnesses, Chicago Police Department and Flossmoor Police Department reports, 911 calls, court records, video and photographs, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Officer resided in Flossmoor, Illinois; discharged her weapon into the air; denied discharging her weapon; and struck the Victim on the head with a hammer. IPRA recommended **separation for the accused Probationary Officer.**

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1039348***

On August 28<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A and B) that occurred on August 28<sup>th</sup>, 2012 in the 7<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A pushed Victim A against a squad car; pushed Victim A against convenience store doors; punched Victims A and B about the head and body; struck Victims A and B about the head and body with an asp; failed to document his encounter with Victims A and B; transported and dropped off Victim A and B to another city neighborhood without justification; and left his district of assignment without authorization or justification. It was alleged that Officer B failed to report misconduct by Officer A; failed to document his encounter with Victims A and B; transported and dropped off Victim A and B to another city neighborhood without justification; and left his district of assignment without authorization or justification. Based on statements from the accused Officers, the Victims, witnesses, video, GPS and Department reports, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer A struck Victims A and B about the head and body with an asp. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A pushed Victim A against a squad car; pushed Victim A against convenience store doors; punched Victims A and B about the head and

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

body; failed to document his encounter with Victims A and B; transported and dropped off Victim A and B to another city neighborhood without justification; and left his district of assignment without authorization or justification. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer B failed to report misconduct by Officer A; failed to document his encounter with Victims A and B; transported and dropped off Victim A and B to another city neighborhood without justification; and left his district of assignment without authorization or justification. IPRA recommended **separation for accused Officers A and B.**

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1034290***

On March 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Lieutenant that occurred on February 28, 2010 in the 22<sup>nd</sup> District. It was alleged that the Lieutenant failed to initiate a Log Number when she was notified of an allegation of misconduct. Based on statements from the accused Lieutenant, ET photographs, 911 calls, Chicago Police Department and Evergreen Park Police Department reports, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the Lieutenant failed to initiate a Log Number when she was notified of an allegation of misconduct. IPRA recommended a **Violation Noted for the accused Lieutenant.**

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1029468***

On August 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an domestic incident involving an off-duty Detention Aide that occurred on August 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2009 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Detention Aide physically maltreated the Victim by striking her multiple times; pointed a firearm at the Victim; was in possession of an unregistered firearm; was arrested for Aggravated Assault, Domestic Battery, and Registration of Firearms; and was found guilty of the charge of Failure to Register a Firearm. Based on statements from the accused Detention Aide, ET photographs, OEMC transmissions, Department reports and Court records, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the Detention Aide pointed a firearm at the Victim. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Detention Aide physically maltreated the Victim by striking her multiple times; was in possession of an unregistered firearm; was arrested for Aggravated Assault, Domestic Battery, and Registration of Firearms; and was found guilty of the charge of Failure to Register a Firearm. IPRA recommended **separation for the accused Detention Aide.**

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

### *Log/C.R. No. 1037726*

On July 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on June 27<sup>th</sup>, 2010 in the 7<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer deployed his Taser without making proper notification and deployed his Taser without completing a Tactical Response Report (TRR). Based on statements from the accused Officer and Department reports, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Officer deployed his Taser without making proper notification and deployed his Taser without completing a Tactical Response Report (TRR). IPRA recommended a **written reprimand for the accused Officer.**

### *Log/C.R. No. 1004181*

On July 12<sup>th</sup>, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A and B) that occurred on March 16, 2007 in the 14<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A generated a false Department report; and provided IPRA with a false To-From report. It was alleged that Officer B tackled Victims A and B to the ground; shoved Victim C against a fence and tackled her to the ground; falsely arrested Victims A, B and C; generated a false Department report; provided IPRA with a false To-From report; was inattentive to duty in that he generated a Department report which did not corroborate his sworn testimony given at trial; and was inattentive to duty in that he provided IPRA with a false To-From report which did not corroborate his sworn testimony given at trial. Based on statements from the accused Officers, trial transcripts and Department reports, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A generated a false Department report and provided IPRA with a false To-From report. IPRA recommended to **"UNFOUND"** the allegations that Officer B tackled Victims A and B to the ground and shoved Victim C against a fence and tackled her to the ground. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer B falsely arrested Victims A, B and C; generated a false Department report; and provided IPRA with a false To-From report. During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he was inattentive to duty in that he generated a Department report which did not corroborate his sworn testimony given at trial and he was inattentive to duty in that he provided IPRA with a false To-From report which did not corroborate his sworn

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

testimony given at trial. Officer B agreed to accept a **suspension of ten (10) days**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1008716*

On August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on multiple dates and locations. It was alleged that the Officer physically abused Victim A during the month of September 1997. It was further alleged that the Officer physically and verbally abused Victim A during the month of December 2001. It was alleged that the Officer physically and verbally abused Victim A during the month of August 2003. It was further alleged that the Officer physically and verbally abused Victim A during the month of July 2004. It was alleged that the Officer harassed Victim A by making unwanted phone calls to her during the month of January 2005. It was further alleged that the Officer verbally abused Victim A during the month of April 2006. It was alleged that the Officer verbally abused Victim A and harassed and threatened Victim A while in an intoxicated state during the month of May 2006. It was further alleged that the Officer physically and verbally abused Victim A while in an intoxicated state on October 28<sup>th</sup>, 2006. It was alleged that the Officer physically abused Victim A while intoxicated and harassed Victim A by throwing all her personal possessions down the stairs between November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2006 and December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2006. It was further alleged that the Officer verbally abused Victim A during the month of December 2006. It was alleged that the Officer verbally abused Victim A between the months of February and June 2007. It was further alleged that the Officer sent Victim A a harassing text message on August 20<sup>th</sup>, 2007. It was alleged that the Officer sent Victim A a harassing text message on August 21<sup>st</sup>, 2007. It was further alleged that the Officer sent Victim A a harassing text messages on August 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2007. It was alleged that the Officer sent Victim A a harassing text message and called and threatened Victim A on August 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2007. It was further alleged that the Officer went to Victim A's house uninvited; verbally abused Victim A; threatened Victim A; threw a cup of soda in Victim A's face; threatened Victim B; unnecessarily displayed a weapon; and discharged his weapon on August 24<sup>th</sup>, 2007. It was alleged that the Officer verbally abused Victim A on unspecified dates and times. Based on statements from the accused Officer, victims, and witnesses, Department reports, OEMC transmissions, Text messages, Court records, photographs and video, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the Officer physically abused Victim A during the month of September 1997; physically and verbally

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

### DECEMBER 2013

abused Victim A during the month of December 2001; physically and verbally abused Victim A during the month of August 2003; physically and verbally abused Victim A during the month of July 2004; harassed Victim A by making unwanted phone calls to her during the month of January 2005; verbally abused Victim A during the month of April 2006; verbally abused Victim A and harassed and threatened Victim A while intoxicated during the month of May 2006; physically and verbally abused Victim A while intoxicated on October 28<sup>th</sup>, 2006; physically abused Victim A while intoxicated between November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2006 and December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2006; verbally abused Victim A during the month of December 2006; verbally abused Victim A between the months of February and June of 2007; sent Victim A a harassing text message on August 20<sup>th</sup>, 2007; sent Victim A a harassing text message on August 21<sup>st</sup>, 2007; sent Victim A a harassing text message and called and threatened Victim A on August 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2007; went to Victim A's house uninvited; threatened Victim A; threatened Victim B; unnecessarily displayed a weapon; and discharged his weapon on August 24<sup>th</sup>, 2007. The Officer agreed through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he harassed Victim A by throwing all her personal possessions down the stairs between November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2006 and December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2006; sent Victim A harassing text messages on August 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2007; verbally abused Victim A and threw a cup of soda in Victim A's face on August 24<sup>th</sup>, 2007; and verbally abused Victim A on unspecified dates and times. The Officer agreed to accept a **suspension of one (1) day**.

#### ***Log/C.R. No. 1029140***

On August 12<sup>th</sup>, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving seven on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A, B, C, D, E, F, and G) and two CPD Sergeants (Sergeants H and I) that occurred on August 12<sup>th</sup>, 2009 in the 22<sup>nd</sup> District. It was alleged that Officer A displayed his weapon without justification at Location A; pushed Victim A against the wall at Location A; pushed Victim B against the wall at Location A; pushed Victim C against the wall at Location A; handcuffed Victim A without justification at Location A; handcuffed Victim B without justification at Location A; handcuffed Victim C without justification at Location A; instructed Victims A, B, and C to kneel for an extended amount of time at Location B; verbally abused Victims A, B, and C at Location B; threatened Victims A, B, and C at Location B; punched Victim A while en route to the Police District Station; kicked Victim C while en route to the Police District Station; verbally abused Victims A, B, and C while en route to the Police District Station;

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

threatened Victims A, B and C while en route to the Police District Station; failed to complete contact cards for Victims A and B; verbally abused Victim A at the Police District Station; threatened Victim A at the Police District Station; falsely arrested Victim A at the Police District Station; disobeyed an order by a Supervisor to complete a Tactical Response report (TRR) and contact his immediate Supervisor at the Police District Station; failed to complete a TRR; and violated a Department Order in that he investigated a crime where a family member was a victim of a crime. It was alleged that Officers B, C, D, and E displayed their weapon(s) without justification at Location A; pushed Victim A against the wall at Location A; pushed Victim B against the wall at Location A; pushed Victim C against the wall at Location A; handcuffed Victim A without justification at Location A; handcuffed Victim B without justification at Location A; handcuffed Victim C without justification at Location A; had knowledge of misconduct on the part of a Department Member and failed to report it; had knowledge of misconduct on the part of a Department Member and failed to report it; and failed to complete contact cards for Victims A and B. It was alleged that Officers F and G had knowledge of misconduct on the part of a Department Member and failed to report it. It was alleged that Sergeants H and I failed to initiate a Log Number after being advised of misconduct on the part of a Department Member. Based on statements from the accused Members, the Victims, witnesses, Department reports, photographs and video footage, IPRA recommended to **“NOT SUSTAIN”** the allegations that Officer A displayed his weapon without justification at Location A; pushed Victim A against the wall at Location A; pushed Victim B against the wall at Location A; pushed Victim C against the wall at Location A; handcuffed Victim A without justification at Location A; handcuffed Victim B without justification at Location A; handcuffed Victim C without justification at Location A; instructed Victims A, B, and C to kneel for an extended amount of time at Location B; verbally abused Victims A, B, and C at Location B; threatened Victims A, B, and C at Location B; punched Victim A while en route to the Police District Station; kicked Victim C while en route to the Police District Station; verbally abused Victims A, B, and C while en route to the Police District Station; threatened Victims A, B and C while en route to the Police District Station; verbally abused Victim A at the Police District Station; threatened Victim A at the Police District Station; and falsely arrested Victim A at the Police District Station. IPRA recommended to **“NOT SUSTAIN”** the allegations that Officers B, C, D, and E displayed their weapon(s) without justification at Location A; pushed Victim A against the wall at Location A; pushed Victim B against the wall at Location A;

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

pushed Victim C against the wall at Location A; handcuffed Victim A without justification at Location A; handcuffed Victim B without justification at Location A; handcuffed Victim C without justification at Location A; had knowledge of misconduct on the part of a Department Member and failed to report it. IPRA recommended to **"UNFOUNDED"** the allegation that Officers B, C, D, and E failed to complete contact cards for Victims A and B. IPRA recommended to **"UNFOUNDED"** the allegation that Officers F and G had knowledge of misconduct on the part of a Department Member and failed to report it. IPRA recommended to **"UNFOUNDED"** the allegation that Sergeant I failed to initiate a Log Number after being advised of misconduct on the part of a Department Member. Officer A agreed through mediation to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he failed to complete contact cards for Victims A and B; disobeyed an order by a Supervisor to complete a Tactical Response report (TRR) and contact his immediate Supervisor at the Police District Station; failed to complete a TRR; and violated a Department Order in that he investigated a crime where a family member was a victim of a crime. Based upon a mediation, Sergeant H agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that he failed to initiate a Log Number after being advised of misconduct on the part of a Department Member. Officer A received a **suspension of five (5) days**. Sergeant H received a **written reprimand**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1032901***

On January 3, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officer A and Officer B) that occurred on January 2-3, 2010 in the 9<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that, while on-duty, Officer A left his district of assignment without authorization to travel to his residence; failed to notify OEMC via radio of his change in availability when he left his district of assignment and failed to indicate the reason for that change and his specific street location in violation of G.O. 97-06-01-V:H1-2; failed to notify OEMC when he left the radio assignment in violation of G.O. 97-06-01-VI: A1c-1 & 2; and was inattentive to duty in that he had knowledge that Officer B cleared a job while outside of the district in which it occurred. It is alleged that, while on-duty, Officer B left his district of assignment with Officer A without authorization; failed to notify OEMC via radio of his change in availability when he left his district of assignment and failed to indicate the reason for that change and his specific street location in violation of G.O. 97-06-01-V:H1-2; failed to notify OEMC when he left the radio assignment in violation of G.O. 97-06-01-VI:

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

A1c-1 & 2; and was inattentive to duty in that he cleared a job while outside of the district in which it occurred. During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations and accepted **two (2) day suspension**. During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations and accepted a **Written Reprimand**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1033512***

On January 29, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving a Chicago Police Officer that occurred on multiple dates and in multiple districts. It was alleged that the accused Officer threatened Victim and her unborn child via telephone and/or text message; verbally abused Victim in person and via text; accessed and/or obtained Department reports for his own personal gain in that he queried the Chicago Police Department's CLEAR System with his User ID and Password to Access the Arrestee/Criminal History of Victim; and associated and/or fraternized with a person who was convicted of a felony or misdemeanor. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he accessed and/or obtained Department reports for his own personal gain in that he queried the Chicago Police Department's CLEAR System with his User ID and Password to Access the Arrestee/Criminal History of Victim and associated and/or fraternized with a person who was convicted of a felony or misdemeanor. The accused Officer accepted a **one (1) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1033719***

On February 7, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a February 3, 2010 incident involving an on-duty Sergeant in the 22<sup>nd</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Sergeant failed to take appropriate police action, in that he failed to properly document a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer. During mediation, the accused Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation and accepted a **Violation Noted**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1036301***

On May 14, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an April 13, 2010 incident involving an on-duty Sergeant in the 10<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Sergeant failed to take appropriate police action, in that

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

### DECEMBER 2013

he failed to properly document a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer. During mediation, the accused Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and accepted a **Violation Noted**.

#### ***Log/C.R. No. 1038335***

On July 24, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a July 24, 2010 incident involving an on-duty Officer in the 6<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer failed to take appropriate police action, in that he failed to file a complaint on behalf of the Complainant. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and accepted a **Written Reprimand**.

#### ***Log/C.R. No. 1041622***

On November 21, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Officer that occurred on November 21, 2010 in the 4<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer made unjustified physical contact with the Victim in that he "yanked" her about the arms and body; committed the offense of Domestic Battery when he "yanked" the Victim about the arms and body; was arrested and charged with Domestic Battery in that he "yanked" the Victim about the arms and body; made physical contact with the Victim in that he placed his hands on her throat in a threatening manner; committed the offense of Domestic Battery in that he placed his hands on the Victim's throat in a threatening manner; was arrested and charged with Domestic Battery for placing his hands on the Victim's throat in a threatening manner; used a deadly weapon (Motor Vehicle), without lawful authority and he knowingly attempted to "ram" the Victim's vehicle with his vehicle; used a deadly weapon (Motor Vehicle), without lawful authority and he positioned his vehicle to prevent the Victim from fleeing thereby placing her in reasonable apprehension of receiving a battery; committed the offence of Aggravated Assault (Motor Vehicle) in that he attempted to "ram" the Victim vehicle with his vehicle; committed the offence of Aggravated Assault (Motor Vehicle) in that he positioned his vehicle to prevent the Victim from fleeing thereby placing her in reasonable apprehension of receiving a battery; was arrested and charged with Aggravated Assault (Motor Vehicle) when he attempted to "ram" the Victim's vehicle with his vehicle; was arrested and charged with Aggravated Assault (Motor Vehicle) when he positioned his vehicle to prevent the Victim from

## **Abstracts of Sustained Cases**

**DECEMBER 2013**

fleeing thereby placing her in reasonable apprehension of receiving a battery; was intoxicated in that he registered a .091g/2101 on a Breathalyzer Test; was intoxicated during the battery and aggravated assault incidents involving the Victim; was arrested and charged with driving under the influence (DUI); was convicted of DUI. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations and accepted a **fifteen (15) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1042217***

On December 21, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a December 19, 2010 incident involving an on-duty Officer in the 6<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer struck the Complainant about the head and body during her arrest and failed to complete a TRR report. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for failing to complete a TRR and accepted a **one (1) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1043017***

On January 27, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a January 27, 2011 incident involving an on-duty Officer in the 2<sup>nd</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer discharged his weapon without justification and violated the Department's Rules and Regulations relative to evidence recovery post weapon discharge. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations and accepted a **Written Reprimand**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1045714***

On May 27, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a May 21, 2011 domestic incident involving an off-duty Officer that occurred in Summit, Illinois. It was alleged that the accused Officer verbally abused the Complainant/Officer; threatened the Complainant/Officer by stating words to the effect of, "I want to shoot you. I wish you were dead;" threatened the Complainant/Officer by stating that she would make false allegations against him to the Internal Affairs Division; and, on various dates and times, and at various locations in person and telephonically between January 1, 2007 and May 12, 2011, repeatedly threatened the Complainant/Officer in that she wished him

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

dead and stated that she wished that he had been shot dead in 2003. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations of verbal abuse and threatening the Complainant/Officer by stating words to the effect of, "I want to shoot you. I wish you were dead. The accused Officer accepted a **Written Reprimand**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1045959***

On June 7, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding domestic incidents that occurred on various dates and times between April 1, 2011 and May 10, 2011 in the 16<sup>th</sup> District and involving an off-duty Officer. It is alleged the accused Officer verbally abused Victims A and B in that he directed profanities at them; verbally abused Victim C in that he directed profanities at her; and physically abused Victims A and B in that he threw shoes at them. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations of directing profanities at Victims A, B, and C, and accepted a **Written Reprimand**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1046098***

On June 12, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a June 12, 2011 incident involving an off-duty Officer in the 20<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was intoxicated; placed the Complainant in a chokehold; slapped the Complainant on the face; directed profanities at the Complainant; failed to identify himself as a Department member; and brought discredit upon the Department. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations of intoxication, placing the Complainant in a chokehold; slapping the Complainant on the face; directing profanities at the Complainant; and bringing discredit upon the Department. The accused Officer accepted a **twenty (20) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1047619***

On August 11, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an August 10, 2011 domestic incident involving an off-duty Officer in the 22<sup>nd</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer made telephone calls to the Victim and stated words to the effect of "I'll be coming back. She made one mistake, she didn't take my guns," resulting in the accused Officer's arrest for harassment by telephone; having been served with notice of

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

Order Protection, the accused Officer intentionally committed an act prohibited in the order resulting in his arrest for violation of order of protection; was intoxicated; and operated a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol. It is further alleged that on or after August 10, 2011, the accused Officer failed to notify the Chicago Police Department that he had been served with an Order of Protection; on unspecified dates and times, the accused Officer verbally abused and threatened members of his household; left numerous vulgar and threatening messages on the Victim's voicemail; attempted to push the Victim down the stairs; and struck the Victim on the back with a pot. It is also alleged that on September 22, 2011, the accused Officer entered a plea of guilty and was subsequently found guilty of telephone harassment. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations of making telephone calls to the Victim and stated words to the effect of "I'll be coming back. She made one mistake, she didn't take my guns," resulting in the accused Officer's arrest for harassment by telephone; having been served with notice of Order Protection, the accused Officer intentionally committed an act prohibited in the order resulting in his arrest for violation of order of protection; intoxication; operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol; and being found guilty of telephone harassment. The accused Officer accepted a **Violation Noted**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1050611*

On December 11, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) involving a December 11, 2011 incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred in the 5<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer punched Complainant A on the face, and kicked Complainant A down the stairs. It was also alleged that the accused Officer used profanity against Complainant A, Complainant B, and Complainant C. In addition, it was alleged that the accused Officer violated G03-02-05, in that on December 11, 2011, he failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR) regarding his use of force against Complainant A, and violated the Chicago Police Department Secondary Employment Directive E01-11 by wearing clothing that represented him as a Chicago Police Officer while working his secondary employment. IPRA recommended "**EXONERATED**" for the allegation of punching Complainant A on the face, "**UNFOUNDED**" for kicking Complainant A down the stairs, and "**UNFOUNDED**" for using profanity against Complainant A, Complainant B, and Complainant C. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

allegations that the Officer violated G03-02-05, in that on December 11, 2011, he failed to complete a TRR regarding his use of force against Complainant A, and violated the Chicago Police Department Secondary Employment Directive E01-11 by wearing clothing that represented him as a Chicago Police Officer while working his secondary employment, and agreed to a **one (1) day suspension**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1051437***

On November 13, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a November 13, 2011 incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred in the 7<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his taser when placing an offender into custody. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a **Violation Noted**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1052294***

On March 3, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on March 1, 2012 in the 14<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer harassed her ex-boyfriend, Complainant/Officer, via his cellular phone, including the use of profanity. It was alleged that the accused Officer also harassed Complainant/Officer via his cellular phone in that she sent text messages using profanity and threatened to come to Complainant/Officer's home if he did not talk to her over the telephone. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the accused Officer threatened to come to Complainant/Officer's home. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's findings of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that she harassed Complainant/Officer via his cellular phone, including the use of profanity, and that she sent text messages using profanity. The accused Officer agreed to accept a **Written Reprimand**.

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1052303***

On March 3, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred in the 7<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty in that she accidentally discharged her taser while performing a spark test. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a **Violation Noted**.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

### ***Log/C.R. No. 1052473***

On March 10<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on the same date in the suburb of Oak Lawn. It was alleged that the accused Officer punched the Complainant on the nose with a closed fist and was arrested for Domestic Battery-Bodily Harm. During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations and a **ten (10) day suspension**.

### ***LOG/C.R. No. 1053717***

On May 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2012 in the 4<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the Officer was inattentive to duty when he tripped and accidentally discharged his firearm while engaged in a foot pursuit. During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a **Written Reprimand**.

### ***LOG/C.R No. 1054821***

On June 16<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on the same date in the 24<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer physically abused the Complainant when he threw her against a wall and repeatedly struck her arm with a door; and engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with the Complainant. It was also alleged that on June 13<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the accused Officer damaged the Complainant's vehicle; on June 24<sup>th</sup> and August 6<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the accused Officer violated an Order of Protection when he had contact with the Complainant; on February 8<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the accused Officer slapped the Complainant on the face and struck her as she drove; on May 12<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the accused Officer attempted to physically force the Complainant from his car, physically abused her by squeezing her hand very hard, and threw her purse out of his moving car; and on multiple occasions between December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2012 and June 16<sup>th</sup>, 2013, the accused Officer purposefully broke the Complainant's phone, verbally abused the Complainant by calling her derogatory names, stalked the Complainant, entered her residence without permission, damaged the Complainant's property (photographs and concert tickets), threatened to kill her, was intoxicated, and drove while intoxicated. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

DECEMBER 2013

IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for physically abusing the Complainant when he threw her against a wall and repeatedly struck her arm with a door and engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with the complainant. The accused Officer agreed to accept a **one (1) day suspension**.

### ***LOG/C.R. No. 1055947***

On July 31, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on the same date in the 1<sup>st</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty when he discharged his taser out of the window of a moving squad car. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation and a **violation noted**.

### ***LOG/C.R. No. 1059084***

On December 18<sup>th</sup>, 2012, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on the same date in the 5<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty when she accidentally discharged her weapon while cleaning it. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation and a written **reprimand**.

### ***LOG/C.R. No. 1059481***

On January 12<sup>th</sup>, 2013, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving an on-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on the same date in the 5<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer was inattentive to duty when he discharged his weapon without justification during the execution of a search warrant. During mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation and a written **reprimand**.