

Independent Police Review Authority

Quarterly Report

January 1, 2011 – March 31, 2011

April 15, 2011

This report is filed pursuant to Municipal Code Section 2-57-110, which requires the filing of quarterly reports. This quarterly report provides information for the period January 1, 2011 through March 31, 2011. The information contained in this report is accurate as of March 31, 2011. All of IPRA's public reports are available at www.iprachicago.org.

Quarterly Overview

In the past quarter IPRA opened 610 investigations. Of these, 155 resulted from a notification of a Taser discharge.

During this quarter, IPRA closed 711 investigations. This includes 155 Taser discharge investigations. The number of closed investigations decreased from the prior two quarters. During this quarter IPRA carried six vacancies, which represents ten percent of its investigative ranks. IPRA is carefully looking at its operations in order to identify solutions to allow it to perform its workload with fewer resources.

During this quarter, IPRA hosted a community meeting at the Independence Branch Library at 3548 W. Irving Park Road from 6 p.m. until 7:30 p.m.

IPRA Cumulative Figures

	INTAKE (all allegations/ notifications) ¹	IPRA Investigations Opened ²	IPRA Investigations Closed ³	IPRA Caseload ⁴
Sept. 2007	746	216	162	1290
4Q 2007	2273	613	368	1535
1Q 2008	2366	590	554	1571
2Q 2008	2436	640	670	1541

¹ Pursuant to the IPRA Ordinance, certain events trigger an IPRA investigation even in the absence of an allegation of misconduct. The term "notification" refers to those events that IPRA investigates where there is no alleged misconduct.

² This number includes investigations opened and assigned to IPRA as of the end of the identified quarter. It does not include investigations "Re-opened" because of the settlement of litigation, new evidence, or the results of the Command Channel Review process.

³ This number may include some investigations "Re-closed" after being Re-opened.

⁴ The caseload number for periods prior to 3Q 2009 are the numbers that IPRA previously reported in quarterly reports. As discussed above, due to a calculation error, over time these numbers became inaccurate. The caseload number for 3Q 2009 reflects the results of IPRA's complete audit of pending investigations.

3Q 2008	2634	681	667	1555
4Q 2008	2337	699	692	1562
1Q 2009	2384	657	687	1532
2Q 2009	2648	755	651	1635
3Q 2009	2807	812	586	1981
4Q 2009	2235	617	654	1949
1Q 2010	2191	640	561	2028
2Q 2010	2626	868	832	2048
3Q 2010	2591	942	835	2168
4Q 2010	2127	746	681 ⁵	2233
1 Q 2011	2023	610	711	2132

⁵ The number of investigations closed and IPRA Caseload reflect a correction of numbers report in the prior report.

IPRA Investigations Opened by Incident Type⁶

	IPRA (COMPLAINTS)	IPRA (NOTIFICATIONS)					
	INFO & CR	EO	U#	Non-Hit Shooting	Shooting/ Animal	Taser	OC
Sept. 2007	195	4	4	3		3	2
4Q 2007	572	18	7	1		12	5
1Q 2008	475	16	8	12	18	31	16
2Q 2008	526	16	15	8	21	45	9
3Q 2008	563	8	14	10	20	52	13
4Q 2008	579	16	14	7	24	35	24
1Q 2009	553	11	9	9	25	39	14
2Q 2009	624	15	14	13	28	56	7
3Q 2009	657	21	18	16	18	63	22
4Q 2009	495	19	16	19	20	39	9
1Q 2010	482	13	12	14	29	74	15
2Q 2010	505	16	10	10	19	285	27
3Q 2010	576	15	11	10	30	285	16
4Q 2010	470	7	10	10	28	227	10
1Q 2011	377	17	15	12	27	155	10

2-57-110(1): The number of investigations initiated since the last report

Between January 1, 2011 and March 31, 2011, IPRA issued 2023 Log Numbers. Of these Log Numbers, IPRA retained 610 for resolution. IPRA forwarded the remaining 1413 Log Numbers to the Internal Affairs Division of the Chicago Police Department for appropriate resolution.

Of the 610 Log Numbers retained by IPRA, IPRA classified 179 as Complaint Register Numbers. In addition, IPRA began Pre-affidavit Investigations for 198 of the Log Numbers retained by IPRA. The remainder of the retained Log Numbers consisted of 15 Log Numbers for shootings where an individual was hit by a bullet and a “U Number” was issued, 12 for shootings where no one was hit by a bullet, 27 for shots fired at animals, 155 for reported uses of tasers, 10 for reported uses of pepper spray,⁷ 17 for

⁶ Note: A single investigation may fall into more than one Incident Type. For instance, an investigation may be both an Extraordinary Occurrence (EO) and a Complaint Register (CR). For this chart, the investigation is counted in all applicable Incident Types. They are counted only once, in the total Log Numbers retained by IPRA.

⁷ As of December 31, 2007, IPRA issued a Log Number for notifications of uses of taser, pepper spray, or for shootings where no one is injured only if it received a telephonic notification of the incident or there was an allegation of misconduct. As of January 1, 2008, IPRA implemented procedures to issue Log Numbers for all uses of taser and shootings, regardless of the method of notification. In addition CPD

Extraordinary Occurrences, and 1 for a “miscellaneous” notification involving a duplicate notification.⁸

2-57-110(2): The number of investigations concluded since the last report

Since January 1, 2011, IPRA closed 711 Log Numbers. A Log Number is considered closed when IPRA completes its work on the matter, regardless of whether the Police Department is still processing the results.

2-57-110(3): The number of investigations pending as of the report date

As of March 31, 2011, there were 2132 investigations pending completion by IPRA. These include both allegations that have received Complaint Register Numbers, and those being followed under a Log Number, as well as officer-involved shootings, and Extraordinary Occurrences.

2-57-110(4): The number of complaints not sustained since the last report⁹

Between January 1, 2011 and March 31, 2011, IPRA recommended that 208 investigations be closed as “not sustained.”

In addition, 232 cases were closed after a Pre-affidavit Investigation because the complainants refused to sign an affidavit. IPRA recommended that 51 investigations be closed as “unfounded,” and 3 be closed as “exonerated.”

2-57-110(5): The number of complaints sustained since the last report

Between January 1, 2011 and March 31, 2011, IPRA recommended that 18 cases be closed as sustained.

issued a reminder to CPD personnel to provide notification to IPRA. IPRA continues to issue Log Numbers for discharges of pepper spray at the request of CPD personnel.

⁸ These numbers includes two Log Numbers classified as both a “U Number” and a Complaint Register, one Log Number classified as both an Extraordinary Occurrence and a Complaint Register, one Log Number classified as both an Extraordinary Occurrence and Taser discharge, and one Log Number classified as both a Taser discharge and a Complaint Register. These Log Numbers are counted only once in the total number of Log Numbers retained by IPRA, but included in the breakouts of all applicable categories: U Number, Taser discharge, Extraordinary Occurrence, and Complaint Register.

⁹ The term “not sustained” is a term of art in police misconduct investigations. It is defined in CPD G.O. 93-3 as “when there is insufficient evidence either to prove or disprove allegation.” In addition, cases may be “unfounded,” which means “the allegation is false or not factual.”

2-57-110(6): The number of complaints filed in each district since the last report¹⁰

Between January 1, 2011 and March 31, 2011, IPRA received complaints of alleged misconduct based on incidents in the following districts, as follows:

District 01 = 58	District 08 = 123	District 14 = 59	District 20 = 32
District 02 = 79	District 09 = 90	District 15 = 69	District 21 = 39
District 03 = 79	District 10 = 85	District 16 = 52	District 22 = 59
District 04 = 101	District 11 = 117	District 17 = 35	District 23 = 26
District 05 = 72	District 12 = 34	District 18 = 63	District 24 = 54
District 06 = 87	District 13 = 28	District 19 = 40	District 25 = 76
District 07 = 105			
Outside City Limits = 40		Unknown location = 31	

2-57-110(7): The number of complaints filed against each officer in each district since the last report¹¹

(See Attachment)

2-57-110(8): The number of complaints referred to other agencies and the identity of such other agencies

Between January 1, 2011 and March 31, 2011 IPRA referred 1423 cases to other agencies as follows:

Chicago Police Department – Internal Affairs Division = 1413

Cook County State’s Attorney = 10

¹⁰ “Complaints” is defined as all reports of alleged misconduct, whether from the community or from a source internal to the Police Department, whether a Complaint Register number has been issued or not. This does not include, absent an allegation of misconduct, reports of uses of Tasers, pepper spray, discharges of weapons whether hitting an individual or not, or Extraordinary Occurrences. Districts are identified based on the district where the alleged misconduct occurred. Some complaints occurred in more than one District, they are counted in each district where they occurred. This list does include confidential complaints.

¹¹ This uses the same definition of “complaints” as the preceding section. Except as otherwise noted, if a member was assigned to one unit but detailed to another at the time of the complaint, the member is listed under the detailed unit.

ATTACHMENT: COMPLAINTS AGAINST CPD MEMBERS BY UNIT

District 001

Members 1-13: 1 complaint each

Member 14: 2 complaints

District 002

Members 1-20: 1 complaint each

Members 21-22: 2 complaints each

District 003

Members 1-27: 1 complaint each

Members 28-29: 2 complaints each

District 004

Members 1-20: 1 complaint each

Member 21: 2 complaints

District 005

Members 1-29: 1 complaint each

Member 30: 2 complaints

District 006

Members 1-37: 1 complaint each

Members 38-40: 2 complaints each

Member 41: 3 complaints

District 007

Members 1-19: 1 complaint each

Member 20: 2 complaints

District 008

Members 1-26: 1 complaint each

Members 27-28: 2 complaints each

District 009

Members 1-17: 1 complaint each

District 010

Members 1-20: 1 complaint each

Member 21: 2 complaints

District 011

Members 1-13: 1 complaint each

Members 14-16: 2 complaints each

District 012

Members 1-19: 1 complaint each

District 013

Members 1-13: 1 complaint each

Member 14: 2 complaints

District 014

Members 1-15: 1 complaint each

Members 16-17: 2 complaints each

District 015

Members 1-25: 1 complaint each

Member 26: 2 complaints

District 016

Members 1-6: 1 complaint each

District 017

Members 1-11: 1 complaint each

District 018

Members 1-17: 1 complaint each

Member 18: 2 complaints

District 019

Members 1-17: 1 complaint each

District 020

Members 1-16: 1 complaint each

Member 17: 2 complaints

District 021

Members 1-16: 1 complaint each

Member 17: 2 complaints

District 022

Members 1-18: 1 complaint each
Members 19-20: 2 complaints each
Member 21: 3 complaints

District 023

Members 1-9: 1 complaint each
Members 10-11: 2 complaints each

District 024

Members 1-11: 1 complaint each
Members 12-13: 2 complaints each

District 025

Members 1-9: 1 complaint each
Members 10-11: 2 complaints each

Recruit Training (044)¹

Members 1-6: 1 complaint each

District Reinstatement (045)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Airport Law Enforcement Unit – North (050)

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each
Member 5: 2 complaints

Airport Law Enforcement Unit – South (051)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Mounted Unit (055)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Marine Unit (059)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Special Investigations Unit (079)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Public Safety Information Technology (125)

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

(133)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Traffic Section Administration (145)

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

Mobile Strike Force (153)

Members 1-27: 1 complaint each
Member 28: 2 complaints

Records Inquiry Section (163)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Field Services Section (166)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each
Members 3-6: 2 complaints each

Evidence & Recovered Property Unit (167)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Forensic Services Section (177)

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

Narcotics Section (189)

Members 1-6: 1 complaint each
Member 7: 2 complaints

Vice Control Section (192)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Gang Investigation Section (193)

Members 1-16: 1 complaint each

Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 1 (211)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 5 (215)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

¹ These numbers include CPD members who are detailed to a District as part of their training, but are officially still assigned to Recruit Training.

Office of the Deputy Chief, Central Control Group (216)

Member 1: 2 complaint

Targeted Response Unit (253)

Members 1-19: 1 complaint each

Member 20: 2 complaints

Member 21: 3 complaints

Gang Team Area 1 (311)

Members 1-11: 1 complaint each

Members 12-15: 2 complaints each

Gang Team Area 2 (312)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Gang Team Area 3 (313)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Gang Team Area 4 (314)

Members 1-5: 1 complaint each

Gang Team Area 5 (315)

Members 1-11: 1 complaint each

Alternate Response Section (376)

Members 1-9: 1 complaint each

Member 10: 2 complaints

Member 11: 3 complaints

Juvenile Intervention Support Center (384)

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Gang Enforcement Section (393)

Member 1: 1 complaint

Central Investigations Section (606)

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

Detective Division, Area 1 (610)

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

Member 4: 2 complaints

Detective Division, Area 2 (620)

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

Detective Division, Area 3 (630)

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

Detective Division, Area 4 (640)

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

Detective Division, Area 5 (650)

Members 1-12: 1 complaint each

Public Transportation Section (701)

Member 1: 2 complaints

Transit Security (704)

Member 1: 1 complaint