

# **Independent Police Review Authority**

## **Quarterly Report**

**April 1, 2010 – June 30, 2010**

**July 15, 2010**

This report is filed pursuant to Municipal Code Section 2-57-110, which requires the filing of quarterly reports. This quarterly report provides information for the period April 1, 2010 through June 30, 2010. The information contained in this report is accurate as of June 30, 2010.

### Quarterly Overview

In the past quarter IPRA opened 868 pending investigations. This includes 285 investigations after a notification of a Taser discharge. Under the ordinance that created IPRA, IPRA is required to investigate any discharge of a Taser in a manner that potentially could strike an individual, regardless of whether misconduct is alleged. IPRA therefore is notified by CPD of every discharge of a Taser – only a very small percentage of these result in an allegation of misconduct.

In this quarter, CPD significantly increased the number of Tasers deployed – CPD's goal is to deploy a Taser in every beat car. As a result, the number of Taser discharges increased significantly. In an attempt to clarify the impact of the increase in Taser discharges on IPRA's statistics, IPRA has added a new chart to this quarterly report that categorizes by incident type the incidents that IPRA retains. This information was presented previously only in the narrative section of IPRA's quarterly reports.

As the chart demonstrates, the new deployment of Tasers resulted in a significant increase in the number of matters under IPRA's jurisdiction. IPRA therefore re-evaluated its procedures for investigating Taser discharges where there is no allegation of misconduct. Where there is an allegation of misconduct regarding a Taser discharge, IPRA continues to investigate the incident in the same manner as any other allegation of misconduct.

Where there is no allegation of misconduct, but only a notification of a Taser discharge, previously IPRA gathered all relevant available documentation and reviewed it to determine whether additional investigation was warranted. With the increase in Taser discharges, these procedures strained IPRA's resources. Therefore, IPRA developed criteria for determining those Taser discharges meriting the most attention.

Under IPRA's new procedure, for every Taser discharge, IPRA gathers three documents (if available) the Tactical Response Report, download of Taser deployment data (which indicates the number of discharges, the duration of discharge, and the time at which the discharge occurred), and the Arrest Report or General Offense Case Report. These documents are reviewed. If a Taser is used on a minor (17 years old or younger), a senior citizen (65 years or older), if there is serious injury or death, or an allegation of misconduct (either received from the public, a CPD member, or based on review of the documentation), then the incident will be assigned to an investigator to continue the investigation. If none of those conditions exist, the investigation is closed, but can be re-opened if additional information is received meriting further investigation.

During this quarter, IPRA closed 832 investigations. This includes more than 280 Taser discharge investigations. These account for a significant portion of the increase in

investigations closed from prior quarters. However, even after removing the Taser closings, this quarter did reflect an increase in the number of investigations closed as compared to the first quarter of 2010, but fewer than those closed in the second quarter last year.

During this quarter, IPRA hosted a community meeting at the Carter G. Woodson Regional Library. IPRA also continued in this quarter to meet with elected officials, community residents, community based organizations, and faith based institutions to explain IPRA's process and mandate, as well as to open lines of communication. IPRA will host its next community meeting at the South Shore Library on September 28, 2010. All of IPRA's public reports are available at [www.iprachicago.org](http://www.iprachicago.org).

## IPRA Cumulative Figures

	INTAKE (all allegations/ notifications) <sup>1</sup>	IPRA Investigations Opened <sup>2</sup>	IPRA Investigations Closed <sup>3</sup>	IPRA Caseload <sup>4</sup>
Sept. 2007	746	216	162	1290
4Q 2007	2273	613	368	1535
1Q 2008	2366	590	554	1571
2Q 2008	2436	640	670	1541
3Q 2008	2634	681	667	1555
4Q 2008	2337	699	692	1562
1Q 2009	2384	657	687	1532
2Q 2009	2648	755	651	1635
3Q 2009	2807	812	586	1981
4Q 2009	2235	617	654	1949
1Q 2010	2191	640	561	2028
2Q 2010	2626	868	832	2048

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the IPRA Ordinance, certain events trigger an IPRA investigation even in the absence of an allegation of misconduct. The term “notification” refers to those events that IPRA investigates where there is no alleged misconduct.

<sup>2</sup> This number does not include investigations “Re-opened” because of the settlement of litigation, new evidence, or the results of the Command Channel Review process.

<sup>3</sup> This number may include some investigations “Re-closed” after being Re-opened.

<sup>4</sup> The caseload number for periods prior to 3Q 2009 are the numbers that IPRA previously reported in quarterly reports. As discussed above, due to a calculation error, over time these numbers became inaccurate. The caseload number for 3Q 2009 reflects the results of IPRA’s complete audit of pending investigations.

## IPRA Investigations Opened by Incident Type<sup>5</sup>

	IPRA (COMPLAINTS)	IPRA (NOTIFICATIONS)					
	INFO & CR	EO	U#	Non-Hit Shooting	Shooting/ Animal	Taser	OC
Sept. 2007	195	4	4	3		3	2
4Q 2007	572	18	7	1		12	5
1Q 2008	475	16	8	12	18	31	16
2Q 2008	526	16	15	8	21	45	9
3Q 2008	563	8	14	10	20	52	13
4Q 2008	579	16	14	7	24	35	24
1Q 2009	553	11	9	9	25	39	14
2Q 2009	624	15	14	13	28	56	7
3Q 2009	657	21	18	16	18	63	22
4Q 2009	495	19	16	19	20	39	9
1Q 2010	482	13	12	14	29	74	15
2Q 2010	505	16	10	10	19	285	27

### 2-57-110(1): The number of investigations initiated since the last report

Between April 1, 2010 and June 30, 2010, IPRA issued 2626 Log Numbers. Of these Log Numbers, IPRA retained 868 for resolution. IPRA forwarded the remaining 1758 Log Numbers to the Internal Affairs Division of the Chicago Police Department for appropriate resolution.

Of the 868 Log Numbers retained by IPRA, IPRA classified 172 as Complaint Register Numbers. In addition, IPRA began Pre-affidavit Investigations for 333 of the Log Numbers retained by IPRA. The remainder of the retained Log Numbers consisted of 10 Log Numbers for shootings where an individual was hit by a bullet and a “U Number”<sup>6</sup> was issued, 10 for shootings where no one was hit by a bullet, 19 for shots fired at animals, 285 for reported uses of tasers, 27 for reported uses of pepper spray,<sup>7</sup> and 16 for Extraordinary Occurrences.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>5</sup> Note: A single investigation may fall into more than one Incident Type. For instance, an investigation may be both an Extraordinary Occurrence (EO) and a Complaint Register (CR). For this chart, the investigation is counted in all applicable Incident Types. They are counted only once, in the total Log Numbers retained by IPRA.

<sup>6</sup> This includes a Log Number classified as both a “U Number” and a Complaint Register. This Log Number is counted only once in the total number of Log Numbers retained by IPRA, but included in the breakouts of all applicable categories: U Number and Complaint Register.

<sup>7</sup> As of December 31, 2007, IPRA issued a Log Number for notifications of uses of taser, pepper spray, or for shootings where no one is injured only if it received a telephonic notification of the incident or there was an allegation of misconduct. As of January 1, 2008, IPRA implemented procedures to issue Log

2-57-110(2): The number of investigations concluded since the last report

Since April 1, 2010, IPRA closed 832 Log Numbers. A Log Number is considered closed when IPRA completes its work on the matter, regardless of whether the Police Department is still processing the results.

2-57-110(3): The number of investigations pending as of the report date

As of June 30, 2010, there were 2048 investigations pending completion by IPRA. These include both allegations that have received Complaint Register Numbers, and those being followed under a Log Number, as well as officer-involved shootings, and Extraordinary Occurrences.

2-57-110(4): The number of complaints not sustained since the last report<sup>9</sup>

Between April 1, 2010 and June 30, 2010, IPRA recommended that 140 investigations be closed as “not sustained.”

In addition, 240 cases were closed after a Pre-affidavit Investigation because the complainants refused to sign an affidavit. IPRA recommended that 57 investigations be closed as “unfounded,” and 4 be closed as “exonerated.”

2-57-110(5): The number of complaints sustained since the last report

Between April 1, 2010 and June 30, 2010, IPRA recommended that 17 cases be closed as sustained.

2-57-110(6): The number of complaints filed in each district since the last report<sup>10</sup>

Between April 1, 2010 and June 30, 2010, IPRA received complaints of alleged misconduct based on incidents in the following districts, as follows:

---

Numbers for all uses of taser and shootings, regardless of the method of notification. In addition CPD issued a reminder to CPD personnel to provide notification to IPRA. IPRA continues to issue Log Numbers for discharges of pepper spray at the request of CPD personnel.

<sup>8</sup> This includes two Log Numbers classified as both an Extraordinary Occurrence and a Taser discharge, and one counted as both an Extraordinary Occurrence and a Complaint Register. These Log Number are counted only once in the total number of Log Numbers retained by IPRA, but included in the breakouts of all applicable types: Extraordinary Occurrence, Taser discharge, and Complaint Register.

<sup>9</sup> The term “not sustained” is a term of art in police misconduct investigations. It is defined in CPD G.O. 93-3 as “when there is insufficient evidence either to prove or disprove allegation.” In addition, cases may be “unfounded,” which means “the allegation is false or not factual.”

<sup>10</sup> “Complaints” is defined as all reports of alleged misconduct, whether from the community or from a source internal to the Police Department, whether a Complaint Register number has been issued or not. This does not include, absent an allegation of misconduct, reports of uses of Tasers, pepper spray, discharges of weapons whether hitting an individual or not, or Extraordinary Occurrences. Districts are identified based on the district where the alleged misconduct occurred. Some complaints occurred in more than one District, they are counted in each district where they occurred. This list does include confidential complaints.

District 01 = 93	District 08 = 142	District 14 = 75	District 20 = 35
District 02 = 89	District 09 = 108	District 15 = 91	District 21 = 29
District 03 = 103	District 10 = 88	District 16 = 50	District 22 = 76
District 04 = 110	District 11 = 168	District 17 = 40	District 23 = 47
District 05 = 113	District 12 = 38	District 18 = 102	District 24 = 85
District 06 = 151	District 13 = 28	District 19 = 50	District 25 = 88
District 07 = 154			

Outside City Limits = 33      Unknown location = 25

2-57-110(7): The number of complaints filed against each officer in each district since the last report<sup>11</sup>

(See Attachment)

2-57-110(8): The number of complaints referred to other agencies and the identity of such other agencies

Between April 1, 2010 and June 30, 2010, IPRA referred 1782 cases to other agencies as follows:

Chicago Police Department – Internal Affairs Division = 1758

Cook County State’s Attorney = 24

---

<sup>11</sup> This uses the same definition of “complaints” as the preceding section. Except as otherwise noted, if a member was assigned to one unit but detailed to another at the time of the complaint, the member is listed under the detailed unit.

## **ATTACHMENT: COMPLAINTS AGAINST CPD MEMBERS BY UNIT**

### **District 001**

Members 1-18: 1 complaint each  
Members 19-20: 2 complaints each

### **District 002**

Members 1-21: 1 complaint each  
Members 22-23: 2 complaints each

### **District 003**

Members 1-27: 1 complaint each  
Member 28: 2 complaints

### **District 004**

Members 1-20: 1 complaint each  
Members 21-22: 2 complaints each  
Member 23: 3 complaints

### **District 005**

Members 1-20: 1 complaint each  
Members 21-26: 2 complaints each

### **District 006**

Members 1-26: 1 complaint each  
Members 27-31: 2 complaints each

### **District 007**

Members 1-19: 1 complaint each  
Members 20-22: 2 complaints each

### **District 008**

Members 1-31: 1 complaint each  
Members 32-40: 2 complaints each

### **District 009**

Members 1-31: 1 complaint each  
Members 32-33: 2 complaints each

### **District 010**

Members 1-16: 1 complaint each  
Members 17-18: 2 complaints each

### **District 011**

Members 1-31: 1 complaint each  
Members 32-33: 2 complaints each

### **District 012**

Members 1-10: 1 complaint each  
Member 11: 2 complaints

### **District 013**

Members 1-15: 1 complaint each  
Member 16: 3 complaints

### **District 014**

Members 1-20: 1 complaint each

### **District 015**

Members 1-20: 1 complaint each  
Member 21: 2 complaints  
Members 22-23: 3 complaints each

### **District 016**

Members 1-11: 1 complaint each  
Member 12: 2 complaints

### **District 017**

Members 1-21: 1 complaint each  
Member 22: 2 complaints

### **District 018**

Members 1-27: 1 complaint each  
Members 28-30: 2 complaints each

### **District 019**

Members 1-9: 1 complaint each

### **District 020**

Members 1-10: 1 complaint each

### **District 021**

Members 1-17: 1 complaint each  
Members 18-19: 2 complaints each



**District 022**

Members 1-13: 1 complaint each

Members 14-16: 2 complaints each

**District 023**

Members 1-15: 1 complaint each

Members 16-17: 2 complaints each

**District 024**

Members 1-27: 1 complaint each

Member 28: 2 complaints

**District 025**

Members 1-18: 1 complaint each

**Recruit Training (44)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**District Reinstatement (45)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Airport Law Enforcement Unit – North (50)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Airport Law Enforcement Unit – South (51)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Traffic Section – Detail Unit (57)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Marine Unit (059)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Special Investigations Unit (79)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Department Administration (111)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Human Resources Division (123)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Education & Training Division (124)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Information Services Division (125)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Inspection Division (126)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Information and Strategic Services (133)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**CAPS Implementation Office (135)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Court Liaison Section (143)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Traffic Enforcement Unit (151)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Member 3: 2 complaints

**Loop Traffic Unit (152)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Mobile Strike Force (153)**

Members 1-20: 1 complaint each

Members 21-22: 2 complaints each

**Records Inquiry Section (163)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Field Services Section (166)**

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

Member 5: 3 complaints

**Evidence & Recovered Property Unit (167)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Police Document Services Section (169)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Central Detention Section (171)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Equipment & Supply Section (172)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Forensics Services Section (177)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Member 3: 2 complaints

**Youth Investigation Section (184)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Narcotics Section (189)**

Members 1-75: 1 complaint each

Members 76-91: 2 complaints each

Members 92-94: 3 complaints each

**Vice Control Section (192)**

Members 1-12: 1 complaint each

**Gang Investigation Section (193)**

Members 1-9: 1 complaint each

**Asset Forfeiture Unit (196)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 1 (211)**

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

**Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 2 (212)**

Members 1-8: 1 complaint each

Members 9-19: 2 complaints each

**Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 3 (213)**

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

**Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 4 (214)**

Members 1-12: 1 complaint each

**Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 5 (215)**

Members 1-5: 1 complaint each

**Office of the Deputy Chief, Central Control Group (216)**

Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

**Targeted Response Unit (253)**

Members 1-18: 1 complaint each

Members 19-20: 2 complaints each

**Gang Team Area 1 (311)**

Members 1-21: 1 complaint each

**Gang Team Area 2 (312)**

Members 1-9: 1 complaint each

**Gang Team Area 3 (313)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Gang Team Area 4 (314)**

Members 1-10: 1 complaint each

**Gang Team Area 5 (315)**

Members 1-18 1 complaint each

**Alternate Response Section (376)**

Members 1-10: 1 complaint each

**Juvenile Intervention Support Center Unit (384)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Gang Enforcement Section (393)**

Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

**Fraternal Order of Police (541)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Central Investigations Section (606)**

Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Detective Division, Area 1 (610)**

Members 1-11: 1 complaint each

**Detective Division, Area 2 (620)**

Members 1-7: 1 complaint each

**Detective Division, Area 3 (630)**

Members 1-8: 1 complaint each

**Detective Division, Area 4 (640)**

Member 1: 1 complaint

**Detective Division, Area 5 (650)**

Members 1-7: 1 complaint each

**Public Transportation Section (701)**

Members 1-9: 1 complaint each

**Transit Security (704)**

Member 1: 1 complaint