Independent Police Review Authority

Quarterly Report
January 1, 2010 – March 31, 2010

April 15, 2010
This report is filed pursuant to Municipal Code Section 2-57-110, which requires the filing of quarterly reports. This quarterly report provides information for the period January 1, 2010 through March 31, 2010. The information contained in this report is accurate as of March 31, 2010.

Quarterly Overview

In the past quarter IPRA closed 561 pending investigations. This reflects a decrease in the number of investigations closed compared to past quarters. IPRA retained 640 matters for investigation. This is consistent with the number of investigations opened in IPRA’s first year, and lower than the number opened in IPRA’s second year.

The decrease in investigations closed reflects the continued impact of the high caseloads carried by individual investigators. When, in the summer of 2009, IPRA saw an increase in the number of new investigations it opened and a decrease in the number of investigations closed, IPRA began working with the City to identify ways to increase the IPRA’s investigative resources within IPRA’s appropriated resources. As a result, IPRA converted vacant administrative positions to investigator positions. IPRA is now in the hiring process to fill those two positions, as well as two vacant investigator positions that arose from individuals leaving IPRA. These resources are critical to IPRA’s mission of thorough and timely investigations, but it will take time before IPRA sees the benefits of any hiring.

In the meantime, IPRA remains committed to completing thorough investigations. During this quarter IPRA was still able to complete 60% of its investigations within 6 months.

Pursuant to the ordinance, during this quarter IPRA referred 1551 investigations to the Internal Affairs Division of the Chicago Police Department for resolution.

During this quarter, IPRA hosted a community meeting at the Uptown Library. IPRA also continued in this quarter to meet with elected officials, community residents, community based organizations, and faith based institutions to explain IPRA’s process and mandate, as well as to open lines of communication. All of IPRA’s public reports are available at www.iprachicago.org.
## IPRA Cumulative Figures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>INTAKE (all allegations/notifications)</th>
<th>IPRA Investigations Opened</th>
<th>IPRA Investigations Closed</th>
<th>IPRA Caseload</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 2007</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>1290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Q 2007</td>
<td>2273</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>1535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Q 2008</td>
<td>2366</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>1571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Q 2008</td>
<td>2436</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>1541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Q 2008</td>
<td>2634</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>1555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Q 2008</td>
<td>2337</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>1562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Q 2009</td>
<td>2384</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>1532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Q 2009</td>
<td>2648</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>1635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Q 2009</td>
<td>2807</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Q 2009</td>
<td>2235</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Q 2010</td>
<td>2191</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>2028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>25057</strong></td>
<td><strong>6920</strong></td>
<td><strong>6252</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2-57-110(1): The number of investigations initiated since the last report

Between January 1, 2010 and March 31, 2010, IPRA issued 2191 Log Numbers. Of these Log Numbers, IPRA retained 640 for resolution. IPRA forwarded the remaining 1551 Log Numbers to the Internal Affairs Division of the Chicago Police Department for appropriate resolution.

---

1 Pursuant to the IPRA Ordinance, certain events trigger an IPRA investigation even in the absence of an allegation of misconduct. The term “notification” refers to those events that IPRA investigates where there is no alleged misconduct.

2 This number does not include investigations “Re-opened” because of the settlement of litigation, new evidence, or the results of the Command Channel Review process.

3 This number may include some investigations “Re-closed” after being Re-opened.

4 The caseload number for periods prior to 3Q 2009 are the numbers that IPRA previously reported in quarterly reports. As discussed above, due to a calculation error, over time these numbers became inaccurate. The caseload number for 3Q 2009 reflects the results of IPRA’s complete audit of pending investigations.
Of the 640 Log Numbers retained by IPRA, IPRA classified 193 as Complaint Register Numbers. In addition, IPRA began Pre-affidavit Investigations for 289 of the Log Numbers retained by IPRA. The remainder of the retained Log Numbers consisted of 12 Log Numbers for shootings where an individual was hit by a bullet and a “U Number” was issued, 14 for shootings where no one was hit by a bullet, 29 for shots fired at animals, 74 for reported uses of tasers, 15 for reported uses of pepper spray, two for miscellaneous notifications, and 13 for Extraordinary Occurrences.

2-57-110(2): The number of investigations concluded since the last report

Since January 1, 2010, IPRA closed 561 Log Numbers. A Log Number is considered closed when IPRA completes its work on the matter, regardless of whether the Police Department is still processing the results.

2-57-110(3): The number of investigations pending as of the report date

As of March 31, 2010, there were 2028 investigations pending completion by IPRA. These include both allegations that have received Complaint Register Numbers, and those being followed under a Log Number, as well as officer-involved shootings, and Extraordinary Occurrences.

2-57-110(4): The number of complaints not sustained since the last report

Between January 1, 2010 and March 31, 2010, IPRA recommended that 125 investigations be closed as “not sustained.”

In addition, 229 cases were closed after a Pre-affidavit Investigation because the complainants refused to sign an affidavit. IPRA recommended that 44 investigations be closed as “unfounded,” and 3 be closed as “exonerated.”

2-57-110(5): The number of complaints sustained since the last report

Between January 1, 2010 and March 31, 2010, IPRA recommended that 5 cases be closed as sustained.

---

5 This includes a Log Number classified as both a Taser notification and an Extraordinary Occurrence. This Log Number is counted only once in the total number of Log Numbers retained by IPRA, but included in the breakouts of all applicable sub-categories: Taser notifications and Extraordinary Occurrences.

6 As of December 31, 2007, IPRA issued a Log Number for notifications of uses of taser, pepper spray, or for shootings where no one is injured only if it received a telephonic notification of the incident or there was an allegation of misconduct. As of January 1, 2008, IPRA implemented procedures to issue Log Numbers for all uses of taser and shootings, regardless of the method of notification. In addition CPD issued a reminder to CPD personnel to provide notification to IPRA.

7 The term “not sustained” is a term of art in police misconduct investigations. It is defined in CPD G.O. 93-3 as “when there is insufficient evidence either to prove or disprove allegation.” In addition, cases may be “unfounded,” which means “the allegation is false or not factual.”
2-57-110(6): The number of complaints filed in each district since the last report.

Between January 1, 2010 and March 31, 2010, IPRA received complaints of alleged misconduct based on incidents in the following districts, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Complaints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outside City Limits = 26  Unknown location = 35

2-57-110(7): The number of complaints filed against each officer in each district since the last report.

(See Attachment)

2-57-110(8): The number of complaints referred to other agencies and the identity of such other agencies.

Between January 1, 2010 and March 31, 2010, IPRA referred 1584 cases to other agencies as follows:

- Chicago Police Department – Internal Affairs Division = 1551
- Cook County State’s Attorney = 33

---

8 “Complaints” is defined as all reports of alleged misconduct, whether from the community or from a source internal to the Police Department, whether a Complaint Register number has been issued or not. This does not include, absent an allegation of misconduct, reports of uses of Tasers, pepper spray, discharges of weapons whether hitting an individual or not, or Extraordinary Occurrences. Districts are identified based on the district where the alleged misconduct occurred. Some complaints occurred in more than one District, they are counted in each district where they occurred. This list does include confidential complaints.

9 This uses the same definition of “complaints” as the preceding section. Except as otherwise noted, if a member was assigned to one unit but detailed to another at the time of the complaint, the member is listed under the detailed unit.
ATTACHMENT: COMPLAINTS AGAINST CPD MEMBERS BY UNIT

**District 001**
Members 1-23: 1 complaint each
Member 24: 5 complaints

**District 002**
Members 1-20: 1 complaint each
Members 21-23: 2 complaints each
Members 24-25: 3 complaints each

**District 003**
Members 1-27: 1 complaint each
Members 28-30: 2 complaints each

**District 004**
Members 1-25: 1 complaint each
Members 26-27: 2 complaints each

**District 005**
Members 1-24: 1 complaint each
Member 25: 2 complaints

**District 006**
Members 1-26: 1 complaint each
Members 27-28: 2 complaints each

**District 007**
Members 1-33: 1 complaint each
Member 34: 2 complaints
Member 35: 3 complaints

**District 008**
Members 1-37: 1 complaint each
Member 38: 2 complaints

**District 009**
Members 1-39: 1 complaint each
Members 40-45: 2 complaints each
Members 46-48: 3 complaints each

**District 010**
Members 1-14: 1 complaint each
Member 15: 2 complaints

**District 011**
Members 1-32: 1 complaint each
Members 33-34: 2 complaints each
Member 35: 3 complaints

**District 012**
Members 1-18: 1 complaint each

**District 013**
Members 1-12: 1 complaint each
Member 13: 2 complaints

**District 014**
Members 1-7: 1 complaint each
Member 8: 2 complaints

**District 015**
Members 1-20: 1 complaint each
Member 21: 2 complaints

**District 016**
Members 1-11: 1 complaint each

**District 017**
Members 1-8: 1 complaint each
Member 9: 2 complaints

**District 018**
Members 1-29: 1 complaint each
Members 30-32: 2 complaints each
Member 33: 3 complaints

**District 019**
Members 1-15: 1 complaint each

**District 020**
Members 1-7: 1 complaint each
**District 021**
Members 1-10: 1 complaint each
Member 11: 2 complaints

**District 022**
Members 1-24: 1 complaint each

**District 023**
Members 1-17: 1 complaint each
Members 18-20: 3 complaints each

**District 024**
Members 1-16: 1 complaint each

**District 025**
Members 1-29: 1 complaint each

**Marine Unit (059)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**Office of Legal Affairs (114)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**CAPS Project Office (115)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**Education & Training Division (124)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**Information Services Division (125)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Special Functions Group (141)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Traffic Enforcement Unit (151)**
Members 1-5: 1 complaint each
Member 6: 2 complaints

**Loop Traffic Unit (152)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**Mobile Strike Force (153)**
Members 1-8: 1 complaint each
Member 9: 2 complaints

**Records Inquiry Section (163)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Field Services Section (166)**
Members 1-4: 1 complaint each
Member 5: 2 complaints
Member 6: 4 complaints

**Evidence & Recovered Property Unit (167)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Central Detention Section (171)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Organized Crime Division – Admin (188)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**Narcotics Section (189)**
Members 1-37: 1 complaint each
Members 38-40: 2 complaints each
Members 41-43: 3 complaints each
Members 44-47: 4 complaints each

**Vice Control Section (192)**
Members 1-3: 1 complaint each
Member 4: 2 complaints

**Gang Investigation Section (193)**
Members 1-15: 1 complaint each

**Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 1 (211)**
Members 1-16: 1 complaint each

**Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 2 (212)**
Members 1-4: 1 complaint each
Member 5: 2 complaints

**Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 3 (213)**
Member 1: 1 complaint
Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 4 (214)
Members 1-16: 1 complaint each
Members 17-20: 2 complaints each
Members 21-23: 3 complaints each

Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 5 (215)
Members 1-11: 1 complaint each
Members 12-13: 2 complaints each

Office of the Deputy Chief, Central Control Group (216)
Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

Medical Services Section (231)
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Targeted Response Unit (253)
Members 1-19: 1 complaint each
Members 20-21: 2 complaints each

Gang Team Area 1 (311)
Members 1-29: 1 complaint each
Members 30-31: 2 complaints each

Gang Team Area 2 (312)
Members 1-13: 1 complaint each
Members 14-16: 2 complaints each

Gang Team Area 3 (313)
Member 1: 1 complaint

Gang Team Area 4 (314)
Members 1-15: 1 complaint each

Gang Team Area 5 (315)
Members 1-9: 1 complaint each

Alternate Response Section (376)
Members 1-7: 1 complaint each
Member 8: 2 complaints

Evidence Technician Team – North (377)
Member 1: 1 complaint

Gang Enforcement Section (393)
Member 1: 1 complaint
Members 2-5: 2 complaints each
Member 6: 3 complaints
Member 7: 4 complaints

Evidence Technician Team – South (477)
Members 1-21: 1 complaint each
Member 3: 2 complaints

Detached Services – Misc Detail (543)
Member 1: 1 complaint
Member 2: 2 complaints

Central Investigations Section (606)
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Detective Division, Area 1 (610)
Members 1-14: 1 complaint each

Detective Division, Area 2 (620)
Members 1-6: 1 complaint each
Member 7: 3 complaints

Detective Division, Area 3 (630)
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Detective Division, Area 4 (640)
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Detective Division, Area 5 (650)
Members 1-13: 1 complaint each

Public Transportation Section (701)
Members 1-7: 1 complaint each
Members 8-9: 2 complaints

Transit Security (704)
Member 1: 1 complaint