This report is filed pursuant to Municipal Code Section 2-57-110, which requires
the filing of quarterly reports. This quarterly report provides information for the period July
1, 2009 through September 30, 2009. The information contained in this report is accurate
as of September 30, 2009.

Quarterly Overview

In the past quarter IPRA closed 586 pending investigations. This reflects a 13% decrease
in the number of investigations closed per quarter compared to the average number
closed in the four preceding quarters.

IPRA retained 812 matters for investigation. This represented a marked increase – 16% –
over the average of the four preceding quarters, which themselves had been reflecting a
trend of rising investigations opened by IPRA. This increase could be due to a number of
factors. IPRA this summer began an analysis of its data including the characteristics of
complainants and accused officers and the geographical distribution of allegations of
misconduct. The analysis performed to date provides a foundation for further analysis
IPRA hopes to commence to identify any possible explanations for the increase, as well
as to help IPRA target its investigative and community outreach resources most
effectively.

This is the second quarter in a row that the marked increase in the number of new
investigations negatively impacted the number of investigations IPRA closed. At the
beginning of an investigation, significant resources must be devoted to immediately
gathering evidence so that it is not destroyed. In response to the increase in new
allegations of misconduct, IPRA resources were diverted to these beginning steps. As a
result, fewer resources were available to devote to completing later investigative steps in
older investigations to prepare them for closing. This led to a decrease in the number of
investigations closed. Unfortunately, the net result of the increase in investigations
initiated by IPRA and the decrease in investigations closed by IPRA was a significant
increase in IPRA’s caseload.

In addition, this quarter IPRA transitioned to a fully automated database to track
investigative caseload for individual investigators, teams, and the office as a whole. To
complete that transition, IPRA performed a manual reconciliation of the database’s list of
pending investigations with IPRA’s paper records. During that reconciliation, IPRA
discovered that the caseload numbers IPRA previously reported in these quarterly reports
were inaccurate – the total discrepancy as of September 30, 2009 would have resulted in
an undercount of 120 investigations.

It is important to note that all cases were accounted for and assigned to an investigator for
investigation. The error was at the managerial level in the calculation IPRA used to
determine its caseload. At least a portion of the undercounting error is attributed to how
“Re-opened” cases were treated. Specifically, IPRA included in its reported numbers of
retained investigations, only new investigations and did not count investigations that were
Re-opened, for instance because of settlement of litigation or new evidence. However,
the number of investigations closed included some Re-Opened cases that had been Re-
Closed. The transition to a single, automated database should avoid these errors in the future. Each month individual investigators will reconcile the automated records against their individual records, and team supervisors will reconcile the information against their records for their team. Therefore, on a monthly basis, accurate information will be available. Going forward IPRA will also perform an annual office-wide reconciliation.

Finally, despite the growing number of new investigations triggered by allegations of misconduct, IPRA remains committed to completing thorough investigations. During this quarter IPRA completed 62% of its investigations within 6 months. A slight decrease from previous quarters, but higher than the completion rates when IPRA was first created.

**IPRA Cumulative Figures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>INTAKE (all allegations/notifications)</th>
<th>IPRA Investigations Opened</th>
<th>IPRA Investigations Closed</th>
<th>IPRA Caseload</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 2007</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>1290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Q 2007</td>
<td>2273</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>1535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Q 2008</td>
<td>2366</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>1571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Q 2008</td>
<td>2436</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>1541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Q 2008</td>
<td>2634</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>1555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Q 2008</td>
<td>2337</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>1562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Q 2009</td>
<td>2384</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>1532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Q 2009</td>
<td>2648</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>1635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Q 2009</td>
<td>2807</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>20631</td>
<td>5662</td>
<td>5037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Pursuant to the IPRA Ordinance, certain events trigger an IPRA investigation even in the absence of an allegation of misconduct. The term “notification” refers to those events that IPRA investigates where there is no alleged misconduct.

2 This number does not include investigations “Re-opened” because of the settlement of litigation, new evidence, or the results of the Command Channel Review process.

3 This number may include some investigations “Re-closed” after being Re-opened.

4 The caseload number for periods prior to 3Q 2009 are the numbers that IPRA previously reported in quarterly reports. As discussed above, due to a calculation error, over time these numbers became inaccurate. The caseload number for 3Q 2009 reflects the results of IPRA’s complete audit of pending investigations.
Pursuant to the ordinance, during this quarter IPRA referred 1995 investigations to the Internal Affairs Division of the Chicago Police Department for resolution.

During this quarter, IPRA investigative staff continued receiving training. IPRA continued in this quarter to meet with elected officials, community residents, community based organizations, and faith based institutions to explain IPRA’s process and mandate, as well as to open lines of communication.

2-57-110(1): The number of investigations initiated since the last report

Between July 1, 2009 and September 30, 2009, IPRA issued 2807 Log Numbers. Of these Log Numbers, IPRA retained 812 for resolution. IPRA forwarded the remaining 1995 Log Numbers to the Internal Affairs Division of the Chicago Police Department for appropriate resolution.

Of the 812 Log Numbers retained by IPRA, IPRA classified 199 as Complaint Register Numbers. In addition, IPRA began Pre-affidavit Investigations for 458 of the Log Numbers retained by IPRA. The remainder of the retained Log Numbers consisted of 18 Log Numbers for shootings where an individual was hit by a bullet and a “U Number” was issued, 16 for shootings where no one was hit by a bullet, 18 for shots fired at animals, 63 for reported uses of tasers, 22 for reported uses of pepper spray, and 21 for Extraordinary Occurrences.

2-57-110(2): The number of investigations concluded since the last report

Since July 1, 2009, IPRA closed 586 Log Numbers. A Log Number is considered closed when IPRA completes its work on the matter, regardless of whether the Police Department is still processing the results.

2-57-110(3): The number of investigations pending as of the report date

As of September 30, 2009, there were 1981 investigations pending completion by IPRA. These include both allegations that have received Complaint Register Numbers, and those being followed under a Log Number, as well as shootings, and Extraordinary Occurrences.

---

5 This includes one Log Number classified as both a Complaint Register and an Extraordinary Occurrence, and two Log Numbers classified as both a Complaint Register and a shooting with an individual hit by a bullet. These three Log Numbers are counted only once in the total number of Log Numbers retained by IPRA, but included in the breakouts of all applicable sub-categories: Complaint Register, Extraordinary Occurrence, and shooting with an individual hit.

6 As of December 31, 2007, IPRA issued a Log Number for notifications of uses of taser, pepper spray, or for shootings where no one is injured only if it received a telephonic notification of the incident or there was an allegation of misconduct. As of January 1, 2008, IPRA implemented procedures to issue Log Numbers for all uses of taser and shootings, regardless of the method of notification. In addition CPD issued a reminder to CPD personnel to provide notification to IPRA.
2-57-110(4): The number of complaints not sustained since the last report

Between July 1, 2009 and September 30, 2009, IPRA recommended that 129 investigations be closed as “not sustained.”

In addition, 244 cases were closed after a Pre-affidavit Investigation because the complainants refused to sign an affidavit. IPRA recommended that 44 investigations be closed as “unfounded,” and 0 be closed as “exonerated.”

2-57-110(5): The number of complaints sustained since the last report

Between July 1, 2009 and September 30, 2009, IPRA recommended that 10 cases be closed as sustained.

2-57-110(6): The number of complaints filed in each district since the last report

Between July 1, 2009 and September 30, 2009, IPRA received complaints of alleged misconduct based on incidents in the following districts, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Complaints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside City Limits</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown location</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2-57-110(7): The number of complaints filed against each officer in each district since the last report

---

7 The term “not sustained” is a term of art in police misconduct investigations. It is defined in CPD G.O. 93-3 as “when there is insufficient evidence either to prove or disprove allegation.” In addition, cases may be “unfounded,” which means “the allegation is false or not factual.”

8 “Complaints” is defined as all reports of alleged misconduct, whether from the community or from a source internal to the Police Department, whether a Complaint Register number has been issued or not. This does not include, absent an allegation of misconduct, reports of uses of Tasers, pepper spray, discharges of weapons whether hitting an individual or not, or Extraordinary Occurrences. Districts are identified based on the district where the alleged misconduct occurred. Some complaints occurred in more than one District, they are counted in each district where they occurred. This list does include confidential complaints.
2-57-110(8): The number of complaints referred to other agencies and the identity of such other agencies

Between April 1, 2009 and June 30, 2009, IPRA referred 2013 cases to other agencies as follows:

Chicago Police Department – Internal Affairs Division = 1995
Cook County State’s Attorney = 18

9 This uses the same definition of “complaints” as the preceding section. Except as otherwise noted, if a member was assigned to one unit but detailed to another at the time of the complaint, the member is listed under the detailed unit.
# ATTACHMENT: COMPLAINTS AGAINST CPD MEMBERS BY UNIT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Members 1-18:</th>
<th>Members 19-21:</th>
<th>Member 22:</th>
<th>Member 23:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 001</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td>3 complaints</td>
<td>5 complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 002</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td>3 complaints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 003</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 004</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 005</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 006</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 007</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 008</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 009</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td>3 complaints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Members 1-18:</th>
<th>Members 19-20:</th>
<th>Member 21:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 010</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td>3 complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 011</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td>3 complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 012</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td>2 complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 013</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 014</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 015</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 016</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 017</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 018</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 019</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
<td>2 complaints each</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Members 1-17:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>District 019</strong></td>
<td>1 complaint each</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**District 020**
Members 1-8: 1 complaint each

**District 021**
Members 1-24: 1 complaint each
Members 25-28: 2 complaints each

**District 022**
Members 1-20: 1 complaint each
Members 21-25: 2 complaints each

**District 023**
Members 1-22: 1 complaint each
Members 23-27: 2 complaints each

**District 024**
Members 1-26: 1 complaint each
Members 27-29: 2 complaints each

**District 025**
Members 1-13: 1 complaint each
Members 14-15: 2 complaints each
Member 16: 3 complaints

**Recruit Training (044)**
Members 1-9: 1 complaint each

**District Reinstatement (045)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Airport Law Enforcement Unit – North (050)**
Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

**Airport Law Enforcement Unit – South (051)**
Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

**Mounted Unit (055)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**Traffic Section – Detail Unit (057)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**Marine Unit (059)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each
Member 3: 2 complaints

**Special Investigations Unit (079)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**Bureau of Administrative Services – Admin (120)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**Internal Affairs Division (121)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Human Resources Division (123)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**Education & Training Division (124)**
Members 1-8: 1 complaint each

**Information Services Division (125)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Neighborhood Relations Division (132)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Special Events and Liaison Section (136)**
Member 1: 2 complaints

**Special Functions Group (141)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**Bureau of Patrol – Admin (142)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Traffic Enforcement Unit (151)**
Members 1-7: 1 complaint each
Member 8: 2 complaints

**Loop Traffic Unit (152)**
Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

---

1 These numbers include CPD members who are detailed to a District as part of their training, but are officially still assigned to Recruit Training.
Mobile Strike Force (153)
Members 1-21: 1 complaint each
Member 22: 2 complaints
Member 23: 3 complaints

Records Inquiry Section (163)
Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

Field Services Section (166)
Members 1-4: 1 complaint each
Members 5-6: 2 complaints each

Evidence & Recovered Property Unit (167)
Members 1-4: 1 complaint each
Member 5: 2 complaints

Central Detention Section (171)
Members 1-6: 1 complaint each

Forensic Services Section (177)
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

Youth Investigation Section (184)
Member 1: 1 complaint

Organized Crime Division – Admin (188)
Member 1: 1 complaint

Narcotics Section (189)
Members 1-20: 1 complaint each
Members 21-26: 2 complaints each

Vice Control Section (192)
Members 1-4: 1 complaint each
Members 5-9: 2 complaints each
Member 10: 3 complaints

Gang Investigation Section (193)
Members 1-38: 1 complaint each
Member 39-46: 2 complaints each
Members 47-48: 3 complaints each

Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 1 (211)
Members 1-13: 1 complaint each

Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 2 (212)
Members 1-17: 1 complaint each
Members 18-19: 2 complaints each
Member 20: 3 complaints
Member 21: 4 complaints

Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 3 (213)
Members 1-3: 1 complaint each

Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 4 (214)
Members 1-5: 1 complaint each
Member 6: 3 complaints

Office of the Deputy Chief, Area 5 (215)
Members 1-5: 1 complaint each

Office of the Deputy Chief, Central Control Group (216)
Members 1-4: 1 complaint each
Member 5: 2 complaints

Medical Services Section (231)
Member 1: 1 complaint

Targeted Response Unit (253)
Members 1-20: 1 complaint each

Gang Team Area 1 (311)
Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

Gang Team Area 2 (312)
Members 1-10: 1 complaint each
Member 11: 2 complaints

Gang Team Area 3 (313)
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each
**Gang Team Area 4 (314)**
Members 1-7: 1 complaint each

**Gang Team Area 5 (315)**
Members 1-16: 1 complaint each
Members 17-18: 2 complaints each

**Alternate Response Section (376)**
Members 1-9: 1 complaint each

**Evidence Technician Team – North (377)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Juvenile Intervention Support Center (384)**
Member 1: 1 complaint

**Gang Enforcement Section (393)**
Members 1-4: 1 complaint each

**Detached Services – Misc Detail (543)**
Members 1-3: 1 complaint each
Member 4: 2 complaints

**Central Investigations Section (606)**
Members 1-2: 1 complaint each

**Detective Division, Area 1 (610)**
Members 1-19: 1 complaint each

**Detective Division, Area 2 (620)**
Members 1-9: 1 complaint each

**Detective Division, Area 3 (630)**
Members 1-7: 1 complaint each

**Detective Division, Area 4 (640)**
Members 1-19: 1 complaint each

**Detective Division, Area 5 (650)**
Members 1-8: 1 complaint each

**Public Transportation Section (701)**
Members 1-4: 1 complaint each
Member 5: 3 complaints