

## **ALLEGATIONS**

Sergeant A, alleged that on 17 May 2017, at 2107 hours, at the location of XXXX XX XXXXXXXX XXXX, Officer A was inattentive to duty in that he failed to properly handle a Taser causing it to discharge, in violation of Rule 10.

## **SUMMARY**

### **Investigation:**

Sergeant A related that Officer A responded to an assist to a domestic call, he removed his Taser from his holster to assist in affecting an arrest, he tripped and accidentally discharging Taser (prong) to the ground area. No injuries were reported. Officer A related that he responded to a domestic call to assist Beat XXXX which was on a domestic disturbance call attempting to affect an arrest of an individual who became an active resistor. Officer A related that due to the fluid situation, movement, darkness, and unlevelled lot with grooves and holes, he tripped in one of said holes and his finger slipped into the trigger causing the Taser to accidentally deploy into the ground causing no injury to anyone. Officer A completed his Taser requalification on 05 April 2017. Officer A related that that he felt that he was not inattentive to duty in handling Taser properly and in a safe manner.

### **Conclusion:**

The Reporting Investigator recommends a finding of Sustained for the allegation that Officer A was inattentive to duty in that he failed to properly handle a Taser causing it to discharge. Officer A was solely responsible for the proper handling of the Taser.

## **FINDINGS – RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **Finding:**

Allegation #1: Sustained-Violation of Rule 10, "Inattention to duty," in that on 17 May 2017, at 2107 hours, at the location of XXXX XX XXXXXXXX XXXX, Officer A was inattentive to duty in that he did not properly handle a Taser causing it to discharge.