



## ABSTRACTS OF SUSTAINED CASES

March 2017

*Log# 1033714*

**Notification Date:** 8 February 2010  
**Location:** 014  
**Complaint Type:** Excessive Force, Failure to Report

**Unknown Officer:** N/A

**Sergeant A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 46, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1990

**Officer C:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 32, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2004

**Officer B:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Asian Pacific Islander, 31, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2002

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 41, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2004

**Complainant:** White/Male, 44

**Summary:** Complainant and Civilian 1 were involved in a physical altercation outside of Restaurant A. A woman claimed that the assailants were Chicago police officers. Officers A, B, and C responded to the scene. They did not search for witnesses, did not properly document the encounter, and did not render aid.

**Finding(s):** Based on departmental policies, departmental documents, officer statements, witness statements, and victim statements, IPRA recommends the following:

**Unknown Officer:**

- **Allegation #1: Punched him about the head and body, in violation of Rule 8 and Rule 9.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.



- **Allegation #2: Kicked him about the head and body, in violation of Rule 8 and Rule 9.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- **Allegation #3: Punched him about the head and body, in violation of Rule 8 and Rule 9.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- **Allegation #4: Kicked him about the head and body, in violation of Rule 8 and Rule 9.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.

**Sergeant A:**

- **Allegation #1: Was inattentive to duty, in that he failed to properly document the encounter, in violation of Rule 5.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- **Allegation #2: Failed to take appropriate police action by registering a complaint, in violation of Rule 5.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.

**Officer C:**

- **Allegation #1: Failed to conduct a thorough and accurate investigation into the battery of Complainant and Civilian 1, in violation of Rule 2, Rule 5, and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #2: Failed to convey a sense of concern and general interest to Complainant and Civilian 1, in violation of Rule 2, Rule 5, and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #3: Failed to document the altercation between Complainant, Civilian 1, and their assailants, in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #4: Failed to render aid to Complainant and Civilian 1, in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #5: Allowed the alleged assailants to leave the scene of a battery without obtaining their identities in violation of Rule 2, and Rule 5.**
  - A finding of Sustained.



- **Allegation #6: Failed to arrest the assailants of Complainant and Civilian 1 in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6 [State the allegation]**
  - A finding of Sustained.
  
- **Allegation #7: Allowed visibly intoxicated assailants/witnesses to operate a motor vehicle to leave the scene of a battery in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
  
- **Allegation #8: Failed to locate, identify, and interview the complainants/witnesses in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
  
- **Allegation #9: Failed to report misconduct by alleged members of the Chicago Police Department by informing a supervisor of the alleged misconduct in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
  
- **Allegation #10: Physically maltreated Complainant by kneeing or kicking him, in violation of Rule 8 and Rule 9.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.

A penalty of **40-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegations.

**Officer B:**

- **Allegation #1: Failed to conduct a thorough and accurate investigation into the battery of Complainant and Civilian 1, in violation of Rule 2, Rule 5, and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
  
- **Allegation #2: Failed to convey a sense of concern and general interest to Complainant and Civilian 1, in violation of Rule 2, Rule 5, and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
  
- **Allegation #3: Failed to document the altercation between Complainant, Civilian 1, and their assailants, in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.



- **Allegation #4: Failed to render aid to Complainant and Civilian 1, in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #5: Allowed the alleged assailants to leave the scene of a battery without obtaining their identities in violation of Rule 2, and Rule 5.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #6: Failed to arrest the assailants of Complainant and Civilian 1 in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #7: Allowed visibly intoxicated assailants/witnesses to operate a motor vehicle to leave the scene of a battery in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #8: Failed to locate, identify, and interview the complainants/witnesses in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #9: Failed to report misconduct by alleged members of the Chicago Police Department by informing a supervisor of the alleged misconduct in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.

A penalty of **40-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegations.

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation #1: Failed to conduct a thorough and accurate investigation into the battery of Complainant and Civilian 1, in violation of Rule 2, Rule 5, and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #2: Failed to convey a sense of concern and general interest to Complainant and Civilian 1, in violation of Rule 2, Rule 5, and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #3: Failed to document the altercation between Complainant, Civilian 1, and their assailants, in violation of**



**Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**

- A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #4: Failed to render aid to Complainant and Civilian 1, in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #5: Allowed the alleged assailants to leave the scene of a battery without obtaining their identities in violation of Rule 2, and Rule 5.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #6: Failed to arrest the assailants of Complainant and Civilian 1 in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #7: Allowed visibly intoxicated assailants/witnesses to operate a motor vehicle to leave the scene of a battery in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #8: Failed to locate, identify, and interview the complainants/witnesses in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #9: Failed to report misconduct by alleged members of the Chicago Police Department by informing a supervisor of the alleged misconduct in violation of Rule, 2, Rule 5 and Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.

A penalty of **40-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegations.



***Log# 1055807***

**Notification Date:** 31 July 2012  
**Location:** 005, 012  
**Complaint Type:** Excessive Force, Falsified Reports

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 48, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1997

**Sergeant A:** White/Male, 45

**Summary:** It is alleged that Officer A engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with subject and failed to accurately report the details of that altercation in a TRR.

**Finding(s):** Based on departmental procedures, video evidence, departmental documents, and officer statements, IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation #1: initiated an unjustified physical altercation with Subject.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #2: physically maltreated Subject in that he repeatedly grabbed him.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #3: physically maltreated Subject in that he threw him into multiple cells.**
  - A finding of Unfounded.
- **Allegation #4: physically maltreated Subject in that he choked/ grabbed him by the throat.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #5: physically maltreated Subject in that he slammed Subject's face into a bench.**
  - A finding of Unfounded.
- **Allegation #6: physically maltreated Subject in that he excessively twisted Subject's wrist.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- **Allegation #7: physically maltreated Subject in that he punched/ struck Subject about the body.**



- A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #8: physically maltreated Subject in that he handcuffed him too tightly.**
  - A finding of Unfounded.
- **Allegation #9: physically maltreated Subject in that he pulled him by the handcuffs.**
  - A finding of Unfounded.
- **Allegation #10: physically maltreated Subject in that he slammed Subject into a wall.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained/
- **Allegation #11: physically maltreated Subject in that he left him handcuffed to the cell for several hours.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #12: was inattentive to duty in that he failed to accurately document the circumstances of the incident on the Tactical Response Report (TRR).**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #13: failed to submit Subject's fingerprints for clearance in a timely manner.**
  - A finding of Unfounded.
- **Allegation #14: failed to notify the Station Supervisor of an unusual occurrence in the lockup.**
  - A finding of Unfounded.
- **Allegation #15: failed to immediately notify the Station Supervisor that Subject was perceived to be chemically impaired.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #16: engaged in conduct unbecoming and brought discredit upon the Department by his overall actions.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #17: intentionally falsified a Department Report, in particular a Tactical Response Report (TRR), in that he failed to accurately document his physical contact with Subject.**



- A finding of Not Sustained/
- **Allegation #18: provided a false statement to IPRA in that he asserted the TRR he completed was a true and accurate account of his physical contact with Subject.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.

A penalty of **29-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegations.





***Log# 1063654***

**Notification Date:** 22 July 2013  
**Location:** 004  
**Complaint Type:** Domestic Violence

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male, Hispanic, 37, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2005

**Complainant:** White Hispanic, Female

**Summary:** Complainant received a call from her son stating that his father, Officer A, had left him and his brother home alone while Officer A went to work. Complainant also alleged that Officer A used departmental resources to gather information about her license plate and home address.

**Finding(s):** Based on departmental policies, officer statements, witness statements, and the complainant's statement, IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation #1: On 05 July 2013, between 2300 and 0700 hours, endangered the well-being of his sons, Juvenile 1 and Juvenile 2, by leaving them home unattended while he went to work.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- **Allegation #2: Used department equipment and databases to conduct a search of Complainant's license plate number without justification.**
  - A finding of Sustained.

A penalty of **5-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegations.



## *Log# 1079817*

**Notification Date:** 29 March 2016  
**Location:** 004  
**Complaint Type:** Domestic Violence

**Officer C:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 39, Off-Duty, Not In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2013

**Sergeant A:** White/Male, 53

**Summary:** While off-duty, Officer C was heavily intoxicated. He threatened Officer A with assault and was brought to the station, where he refused a direct order to comply with a breathalyzer test.

**Finding(s):** Based on departmental policies, officer statements, and other departmental documents, IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer C:**

- **Allegation #1: Was involved in a domestic altercation with Civilian 1.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #2: Removed Sergeant A's copy of the Watch Line-up from Sergeant A's car without permission.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #3: Threatened on-duty Officer A by stating, "Do you want me to stop out of the car and kick your fucking ass?"**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #4: Was intoxicated.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #5: Refused a direct order from BIA Sergeant B to submit to the breathalyzer.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #6: Refused to cooperate with an Administrative Investigation.**
  - A finding of Sustained.



- **Allegation #7: Was arrested for Simple Assault of on-duty Officer A.**
  - A finding of Sustained.

A penalty of **60-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegations.



**Log# 1074755**

**Notification Date:** 21 April 2015  
**Location:** 016  
**Complaint Type:** Domestic Violence, Failure to Report

**Lieutenant A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 42, Off-Duty, Not In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1996

**Detective A:** Chicago Police Officer, Female/Asian Pacific Islander, 42, Off-Duty, Not In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1995

**Summary:** Detective A alleges that her ex-husband, Lieutenant A, struck Detective A with his vehicle and slammed a door, causing it to strike Detective A. Detective A and Lieutenant A both allege that the other failed to notify the Chicago Police Department that Lieutenant A was under investigation by the Department of Children and Family Services.

**Finding(s):** Based on departmental procedures, witness statements, officer statements, and departmental documents, IPRA recommends the following:

- Lieutenant A:**
- **Allegation #1: Struck her with his vehicle in violation of Rule 8.**
    - A finding of Not Sustained.
  - **Allegation #2: Slammed the exterior storm door at XXXX N. Legett Ave, causing the door to strike Detective A in violation of Rule 8.**
    - A finding of Not Sustained.
  - **Allegation #3: Violated the provisions of Special Order S08-01-02 in that he failed to notify the Chicago Police Department that he was under investigation by the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) in violation of Rule 6.**
    - A finding of Sustained.

A penalty of **2-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegation.

- Detective A:**
- **Allegation #1: Violated the provisions of Special Order S08-01-02 in that she failed to notify the Chicago Police**



**Department that he was under investigation by the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) in violation of Rule 6.**

- A finding of Sustained.

A penalty of **REPRIMAND** was recommended for the sustained allegation.



***Log# 1078086***

**Notification Date:** 20 November 2015  
**Location:** 001  
**Complaint Type:** Accidental Discharge

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 38, Off-Duty, Not In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2008

**Commander A:** White/Male, 52

**Summary:** Officer A was off-duty and putting his weapon away when his dog, a German Shephard mix, jumped into his lap, causing him to accidentally discharge his weapon. Officer A made calls to family members prior to notifying his Sergeant and the appropriate authorities.

**Finding(s):** Based on departmental policies, departmental documents, and the officer statement, IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation #1: Failed to secure his weapon resulting in an accidental discharge.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
  
- **Allegation #2: Failed to notify the Department in a timely manner after discharging his firearm.**
  - A finding of Sustained.

A penalty of **2-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegations.



## *Log# 1074846*

**Notification Date:** 6 May 2016  
**Location:** 016  
**Complaint Type:** Domestic Violence

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 44, Off-Duty, Not In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1991

**Juvenile 1:** White/Male, 11

**Summary:** Officer A is accused of dragging his son, Juvenile 1, across the carpeted floor and causing injury after his sons, Juvenile 1 and Juvenile 2, were fighting in Officer A's home.

**Finding(s):** Based on victim statements, officer statements, and medical records, IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation #1: Grabbed his son, Juvenile 1, and dragged him across the carpeted floor and caused injury to his back, in violation of Rule 8.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #1: Failed to submit a report to his commanding officer indicating that he was under investigation by a governmental or lawful investigatory entity, in violation of Rule 6.**
  - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #1: Failed to properly handle and secure his handguns and collection of weapons from his sons, in violation of Rule 2.**
  - A finding of Not Sustained.

A penalty of a **15-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegations.



**Log# 1082059**

**Notification Date:** 01 September 2016  
**Location:** 006  
**Complaint Type:** Excessive Force, Failure to Follow Directive

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 40, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2000

**Officer B:** Chicago Police Officer, Female/Hispanic, 47, On- Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2002

**Subject:** Black/Male, 23

**Summary:** Officer A was called to respond to a domestic disturbance, where he found Subject. He attempted to discharge his Taser at Subject and beat Subject with his baton. Subject was not combative or resistant. Officer B failed to turn on her BWC.

**Finding(s):** Based on departmental policies, video evidence, and officer statements, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation #1: discharged his Taser at Subject, striking him, while he was in handcuffs, without justification, in violation of Rules 8 & 9 and General Order G03-02-02 Force Options.**
    - A finding of Sustained.
  - **Allegation #1: struck Subject multiple times on his legs with his ASP baton while Subject was in handcuffs, without justification, in violation of Rules 8 & 9 and General Order G03-02-02 Force Options.**
    - A finding of Sustained.

A penalty of **45-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegations.

- Officer B:**
- **Allegation #1: failed to activate her body worn camera as required, in violation of Special Order S03-14 Body Worn Cameras.**
    - A finding of Sustained.

A penalty of **REPRIMAND** was recommended for the sustained allegation.



