



ABSTRACTS OF SUSTAINED CASES

February 2017

Log# 1042532

Notification Date: 7 January 2011
Location: 7th District
Complaint Type: False Statements, Traffic Stop without Justification

Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Asian Pacific Islander, 30, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2006

Subject: Black/Male, 33

Summary: Officer A and his partner stopped Subject and initiated a traffic stop because Subject's car resembled a car that had been involved in a shooting the previous day. Officer A placed his patrol car in front of Subject's car so that Subject would not flee. Subject then put his car in reverse, crashed into a light pole, and proceeded to drive forward in the direction of Officer A. Officer A fired multiple rounds of shots, and Subject was pronounced dead at the scene. It is alleged that Officer A provided false statements to IPRA and false testimony statements regarding the incident.

Finding(s): Based on department records, witness statements, officer statements, and dash-cam video recordings, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation #1:** Officer A provided a false statement to the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) by stating: The all call message that was heard pertained to a vehicle that was an "Oldsmobile Aurora by make and model and dark color that was wanted for shots fired."
 - A finding of Sustained
 - **Allegation #2:** Officer A provided false deposition testimony by stating: "I remember that the message that I heard said something about the vehicle fleeing from the 4th district and that it may have something to do with shots fired."
 - A finding of Sustained



- **Allegation #3:** Officer A provided false deposition testimony by stating: "It said a dark Aurora, four-door, with temporary plates and rims."
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation #4:** Officer A provided false deposition testimony by stating: "I knew that there was a gun in the car because dispatch had earlier notified everyone that this particular car that matched the description could be armed."
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation #5:** Officer A provided false trial testimony by stating: "Earlier that day I heard a message that came over our radio, and the message was something about a dark vehicle with rims and a yellow, obviously yellow temporary plate that had fled the 4th district officer, and that it could possibly be armed, and it had something to do with a shooting."
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation #6:** Officer A initiated a traffic stop of Subject without legal justification, in that Officer A pulled over Subject based on a Zone 6 dispatch which did not contain specific and articulable facts to form a basis for the seizure, which violated fourth amendment principles.
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation #7:** Officer A initiated a traffic stop of Subject without legal justification, in that you pulled over Subject based on a Zone 6 dispatch which did not contain specific and articulable facts to form a basis for the seizure, which brought discredit upon the Department.
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation #8:** Officer A violated Department policy when Officer A fired his weapon at or in the direction of Subject.
 - A finding of Not Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the sustained allegations.



Log# 1070638

Notification Date: 04 August 2014
Location: 5th District
Complaint Type: Excessive Force

Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 30, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2010

Officer B: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 42, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2011

Complainant: Black/Male, 25

Summary: The Complainant was stopped during a traffic stop and admitting to having “a few bags of weed.” Officers A and B told Complainant that he would receive an ANOV citation. Complainant refused to sign the citation and was subsequently arrested. Complainant alleges that Officers A and B falsely arrested him and used excessive force during the arrest.

Finding(s): Based on the victim statement, police officer statements, the arrest report, and departmental procedures, IPRA recommends the following:

Officer A:

- **Allegation #1: Falsely arrested Complainant in violation of Rule 2.**
 - A finding of Not Sustained.
- **Allegation #2: Failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR) for his contact with Complainant in violation of Rule 6.**
 - A finding of Sustained.

A penalty of **REPRIMAND** was recommended for the sustained allegation.

Officer B:

- **Allegation #1: Falsely arrested Complainant in violation of Rule 2.**
 - A finding of Not Sustained.
- **Allegation #2: Pulled Complainant out of his car and**



slammed him against it in violation of Rule 8.

- A finding of Not Sustained.

- **Allegation #3: Choked Complainant in violation of Rule 8.**
 - A finding of Not Sustained.

- **Allegation #4: Punched Complainant on the face in violation of Rule 8.**
 - A finding of Not Sustained.

- **Allegation #5: Attempted to slam the squad car doors on Complainant's legs in violation of Rule 8.**
 - A finding of Not Sustained.

- **Allegation #6: Failed to complete a TRR for his contact with Complainant in violation of Rule 6.**
 - A finding of Not Sustained.



Log# 1079021

Notification Date: 1 February 2016
Location: 1st District
Complaint Type: Unjustified Verbal Altercation

Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 55, On-Duty, In Uniform,
Year of Appointment – 1985

Complainant: Black/Female, 25

Summary: Complainant alleged that while she was in the car with her mother attempting to drive over the sidewalk, Officer A told them that they could not drive on the sidewalk and told them, “Why don’t you just go back to the fucking ghetto.”

Finding(s): Based on Victim Statements, Officer Statements, and a Witness Statement, IPRA recommends the following:

Officer A:

- **Allegation #1:** Stated to Complainant and Civilian 1, “Why don’t you just go back to the fucking ghetto,” in violation of Rules 2 and 9.
 - A finding of Sustained.
- **Allegation #2:** Refused to provide his name to Complainant upon request, in violation of Rule 2.
 - A finding of Unfounded.
- **Allegation #3:** Failed to document his contact with Complainant and Civilian 1, in violation of Rule 6 and S04-13-09(III) (C).
 - A finding of Unfounded.

A penalty of **12-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegation.



Log# 1081121

Notification Date: 24 June 2016
Location: 12th District
Complaint Type: Racially-biased language

Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 66, On-Duty, Year of Appointment – 2000

Sergeant A: Hispanic/Male, 47

Summary: Training Officer A was accused of using racially-biased language in connection with medical symptoms while teaching a class of recruits.

Finding(s): Based on department policies, witness reports and the accused officer's statement, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation #1: Accused Training Officer A used racially-biased language while teaching a class of recruits, in violation of Rule 8.**
 - A finding of Sustained.
 - **Allegation #2: Accused Training Officer A brought discredit on the Department, in violation of Rule 2.**
 - A finding of Sustained.

A penalty of a **10-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegations.