

#### ABSTRACTS OF SUSTAINED CASES

### January 2017

Notification Date: Location: Complaint Type:	27 April 2012 2 <sup>nd</sup> District Excessive Force	
Officer A:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 53, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1990	
Subject 1:	Black/Male, 17	
Summary:	In an incident involving Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A repeatedly directed profanities at Subject 1, chest bumped him, grabbed him, choked him, and pushed him for refusing to give up his cell phone in school.	
Finding(s):	Based on department rules, video recordings, witness statements, and the victim statement, IPRA recommends the following:	
Officer A:	<ul> <li>Allegation #1: Stood in Subject 1's face and began to yell and point his finger in Subject 1's face, thereby antagonizing him, in violation of Rule 2, and Rule 9.</li> <li>A finding of Sustained.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Allegation #2: Repeatedly directed profanities at him by stating words to the effect of, "Ass, Fuck and Little Bitch," in violation of Rule 2, and Rule 9.</li> <li>A finding of Sustained.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Allegation #3: Chest bumped Subject 1, in violation of Rule 2, and Rule 9.</li> <li>A finding of Sustained.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Allegation #4: Choked him, in violation of Rule 2, and Rule 9.</li> <li>A finding of Unfounded.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Allegation #5: Pushed Subject 1, in violation of Rule 2, and Rule 9.</li> <li>A finding of Sustained.</li> </ul>	



- Allegation #6: Reached for his Taser and made a verbal threat to tase Subject 1 in violation of Rule 2, and Rule 9.
   A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #7: Provided a false statement to a CPS Investigator regarding the above incident, in violation of Rule 2, and Rule 14.
   A finding of Unfounded.
- Other Violation: Violation of Rule 2. • A finding of Sustained.

A penalty of a **120-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.



Notification Date: 26 April 2013 Location: 3<sup>rd</sup> District Complaint Type: Excessive Force, Detained without Justification

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 54, On-Duty, [In Uniform], Year of Appointment – 1986 **Officer B:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 40, On-Duty, [In Uniform], Year of Appointment - 1996 **Officer C:** Chicago Police Officer, Female/Black, 52, On-Duty, [In Uniform], Year of Appointment – 1991 Subject 1: Female/Black, 32 Subject 2: Male/Black, 38 **Juvenile 1:** Male/Black, 14 Summary: Subjects 1 and 2, and Juvenile 1, allege that Officers A, B, and C detained them without justification, used excessive force against departmental rules, and failed to complete TRR reports documenting the use of force. Finding(s): Based on department rules, video and audio footage, and victim statements, IPRA recommends the following: • Allegation #1: Threw Subject 2 against a glass window, in Officer A: violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 9: Engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person, while on or off duty o A finding of Not Sustained. • Allegation #2: Kneed Subject 2 in the groin, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 9: Engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person, while on or off duty. • A finding of Not Sustained. • Allegation #3: Threw Juvenile 1 onto a car, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 9: Engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with



any person, while on or off duty.

- o A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #4: Failed to obtain medical attention for Subject 2, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 6: Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral and Rule 10: Inattention to duty.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #5: Failed to obtain medical attention for Juvenile 1, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 6: Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral and Rule 10: Inattention to duty.
   A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #6: Detained Subject 1 without justification, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 1: Violation of any law or ordinance.
   A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #7: Detained Juvenile 1 without justification, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 1: Violation of any law or ordinance.
   A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #8: Detained Subject 2 without justification, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 1: Violation of any law or ordinance.
   A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #9: Failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR) documenting his Use of Force against Juvenile 1, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 6: Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral and Rule 10: Inattention to duty.
   A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #10: Failed to complete a (TRR) documenting his Use of Force against Subject 2, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 6: Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral and Rule 10: Inattention to duty.
  - A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #11: Seized Subject 1 without justification, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 1: Violation of any law or ordinance.
   A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #12: Seized Juvenile 1 without justification, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 1: Violation of any law or ordinance.
   A finding of Sustained.



 Allegation #13: Seized/arrested Subject 2 without justification, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 1: Violation of any law or ordinance.
 A finding of Sustained.

A penalty of **30-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the sustained allegations.

- Officer B:
   Allegation #1: Struck Subject 2 on his right ear with a Taser, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 9: Engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person, while on or off duty.
   A finding of Not Sustained.
  - Allegation #2: Failed to complete a TRR documenting his Use of Force against Subject 2, in violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department, Rule 6: Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral and Rule 10: Inattention to duty.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #1: Threatened to send Subject 1 to the Police District located on 51st Street which is "real cold and dirty" where Subject 1 would be kept for a long time in order to convince Subject 1 to sign the Disorderly Conduct citation Subject 1 was given, in violation of Rule 2.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.



Notification Date: 2 December 2013 Location: 4<sup>th</sup> District Complaint Type: Excessive Force, False Arrest, False Reports

Officer A:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 39, On-Duty, Not In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2002.
Officer B:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 44, On-Duty, Not In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2003.
Officer C:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 37, On-Duty, Not In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2000.
Officer D:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 37, On-Duty, Not In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2000.
Officer E:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 44, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2001.
Officer F:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 32, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2004.
Sergeant A:	Chicago Police Officer, Female/Black, 42, On-Duty, Year of Appointment – 2000.
Complainant	Male/White Hispanic, 37
Summary:	Complainant alleges that during the course of his arrest, the responding Chicago Police
Finding(s):	Officers used excessive force and falsely arrested him for Battery. Complainant also alleges that the following officers falsified sworn testimonies regarding the facts surrounding the arrest.
	Based on department rules, video recordings, witness statements, and the victim statement, IPRA recommends the following:



Officer A:

- Allegation #1: Officer A used excessive force on Complainant in that he struck and/or poked Complainant about his body with a baton, in violation of Rules 6 and 8 and General Order G03-02.
  - o A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #2: Officer A used excessive force on Complainant in that he kicked Complainant on his head and/or face, in violation of Rules 6 and 8 and General Order G03-02.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #3: Officer A used excessive force in that he grabbed Complainant by the neck and/or choked him, in violation of Rules 6 and 8 and General Order G03-02.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #4: Officer A used excessive force in that he pushed Complainant against a car, in violation of Rules 6 and 8 and General Order G03-02.
   A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #5: Officer Robert A used excessive force in that he took Complainant to the ground, in violation of Rules 6 and 8 and General Order G03-02.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #6: Officer A used excessive force in that he struck Complainant with his weapon, in violation of Rules 6 and 8 and General Order G03-02.
   A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #7: Officer A threatened to Taser Complainant without justification, in violation of Rule 9.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #8: Officer A failed to intervene to protect Complainant from the excessive force used by Officer B and/or other officers on the scene, in violation of Rules 3, 6, and 8, and General Order G06-01-01.
   A finding of Sustained.



- Allegation #9: Officer A falsely arrested Complainant for Resisting and Domestic Battery, in violation of Rule 6 and General Order G04-01.
   A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #10: Officer A coerced Civilian to sign a criminal complaint against Complainant, in violation of Rule 8.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #11: Officer A falsely reported on the Arrest Report that he observed Complainant on top of Civilian at the time entry was made into the garage, in violation of Rule 14.
  - o A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #12: Officer A falsely reported on the Arrest Report that Complainant forcibly pushed Civilian causing her to fall and strike the left side of her head on the garage floor, in violation of Rule 14.
   A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #13: Officer A falsely reported on the Arrest Report that Civilian had injuries including scratches on both arms and/or pain to left side of her face, in violation of Rule 14.
  - A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #14: Officer A falsely reported on the Arrest Report that Complainant swung his arms in an attempt to defeat his arrest, in violation of Rule 14.
   A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #15: Officer A falsely reported on the Arrest Report that Complainant swung his arms at Officer B, in violation of Rule 14.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #16: A falsely reported on the Tactical Response Report that Complainant pulled away and/or swung his arms, in violation of Rule 14.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.



- Allegation #17: Officer A falsely reported on the Tactical Response Report the type of force that he used to take Complainant into custody by not including all of the force that he used, in violation of Rule 14.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #18: Officer A falsely testified that he did not observe Complainant opening the garage door, in violation of Rule 14.
  - A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #19: Officer A falsely testified that he observed Complainant on top of Civilian at the time entry was made to the garage, in violation of Rule 14.
   A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #20: Officer A falsely testified that Complainant swung a closed fist at Officer B, in violation of Rule 14.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #21: Officer A falsely testified that Civilian had injuries, including scratch marks and redness to the side of her head and/or face, in violation of Rule 14.
  - A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #22: Officer A falsely testified that he made a request over the radio for an Evidence Technician, in violation of Rule 14.
   A finding of Sustained.

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for Allegations #11, 13, 18, 19, 21, 22; a penalty of **29-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for Allegation #8.



<b>Notification Date:</b>	21 March 2016
Location:	9 <sup>th</sup> District
<b>Complaint Type:</b>	Excessive Force
Officer A:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 43, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1997
Officer B:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/White 46, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1995
Officer C:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 50, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1994
Subject 1:	Black/Male
Summary:	In an incident involving Officers A, B, and C, it was alleged that Officer A, B, and C entered and searched Subject 1's home without permission, damaged property inside Subject 1's home, directed profanity ant Subject 1, and punched and kicked subject 1 about the body.
Finding(s):	Based on department rules, officer statements, witness statements, and the victim statement, IPRA recommends the following:
Officer A:	<ul> <li>Allegation #1: Entered Subject 1's residence without permission in violation of Rule 1.</li> <li>A finding of Sustained.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Allegation #2: Searched Subject 1's home without permission in violation of Rule 1.</li> <li>A finding of Not Sustained.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Allegation #3: Damaged property inside Subject 1's residence in violation of Rule 1.</li> <li>A finding of Not Sustained.</li> </ul>
	• Allegation #4: Directed profanities at Subject 1 in violation of Rule 9.



- o A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #5: Punched Subject 1 about the body in violation of Rule 8.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #6: Kicked Subject 1 about the body in violation of Rule 8.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.

A penalty of a **20-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

- Officer B:
   Allegation #1: Entered Subject 1's residence without permission in violation of Rule 1.
   A finding of Unfounded.
  - Allegation #2: Searched Subject 1's home without permission in violation of Rule 1.
    - A finding of Unfounded.
  - Allegation #3: Damaged property inside Subject 1's residence in violation of Rule 1.
    - A finding of Unfounded.
  - Allegation #4: Punched Subject 1 about the body in violation of Rule 8.
    - A finding of Unfounded.
  - Allegation #5: Kicked Subject 1 about the body in violation of Rule 8.
    - A finding of Unfounded.

No penalty was recommended for the Unfounded allegations.

**Officer C:** • Allegation #1: Entered Subject 1's residence without



permission in violation of Rule 1.

- A finding of Sustained.
- Allegation #2: Searched Subject 1's home without permission in violation of Rule 1.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #3: Damaged property inside Subject 1's residence in violation of Rule 1.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #4: Punched Subject 1 about the body in violation of Rule 8.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.
- Allegation #5: Kicked Subject 1 about the body in violation of Rule 8.
  - A finding of Not Sustained.

A penalty of a **20-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.



Notification Date: Location: Complaint Type: Officer A: Subject 1: Summary:	March 30, 2015 8 <sup>th</sup> District Domestic Altercation – Physical Abuse Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 35, Off-duty, Year of Appointment – 2008 Female/Hispanic, 28 In an incident where Officer A and Subject 1 engaged in a verbal altercation which escalated into a physical altercation, it was alleged that Officer A punched and directed profanities at Subject 1 and failed to secure his weapon. Also, Officer A was arrested for Domestic Battery.
Finding(s): Officer A:	<ul> <li>Based on general orders, department rules, and Illinois state law; photographs; and statements to IPRA from Officer A, a witness sergeant, and Subject 1, IPRA recommends the following:</li> <li>Allegation 1: Engaged in a verbal altercation with Subject 1, a violation of Rule 9.</li> <li>A finding of Not Sustained</li> <li>Allegation 2: Punched Subject 1 in the face, a violation of Rule 8.</li> <li>A finding of Sustained</li> <li>Allegation 3: Caused Subject 1 to strike her face and head against the door frame, a violation of Rule 8.</li> <li>A finding of Sustained</li> <li>Allegation 4: Called Subject 1 a "bitch," a violation of Rule 9.</li> <li>A finding of Not Sustained</li> <li>Allegation 5: Directed profanities at Subject 1, a violation of Rule 9.</li> <li>A finding of Not Sustained</li> <li>Allegation 6: Failed to properly secure his weapon, a violation of U04-02 and a violation of Rule 1 and 6.</li> <li>A finding of Sustained</li> <li>Allegation 7: Arrested for Domestic Battery, a violation of Rule 1.</li> <li>A finding of Sustained</li> </ul>



Notification Date:	June 16, 2015		
Location:	16 <sup>th</sup> District		
Complaint Type:	Domestic Incident		
Lieutenant A:	Chicago Police Lieutenant, Male/White, 45, Off-duty, Year of Appointment – 1994		
Sergeant A:	Chicago Police Sergeant, Male/White, 53, On-duty, Year of Appointment – 1994		
Sergeant B:	Chicago Police Sergeant, Male/White, 54, On-duty, Year of Appointment – 1982		
Subject 1:	Female/White, 45		
Subject 2:	Male/White, 48		
Summary:	In incidents involving Lieutenant A, Subject 1 made allegations of domestic violence, intoxication, threatening behavior, and stalking against Lieutenant A.		
Finding(s):	Based on special orders and department rules; event queries; and statements to IPRA from the accused members and subject, IPRA recommends the following:		
<u>Lieutenant A</u> :	Allegation 1: Intoxicated off-duty.		
	• A finding of Not Sustained		
	• Allegation 2: Backed Subject 1 into a corner and forcibly began to kiss her.		
	<ul> <li>A finding of Not Sustained</li> <li>Allegation 3: Rolled up your sleeve and grabbed a knife and stated</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>"I'm going to cut myself and you're going to jail for it."</li> <li>A finding of Not Sustained</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Allegation 4: Grabbed Subject 1 around her body and dragged her back inside the house causing scrapes to her wrists.</li> <li>A finding of Not Sustained</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Allegation 5: Punched your son about the body while yelling at him about his failing grades.</li> <li>A finding of Not Sustained</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Allegation 6: Intoxicated off-duty.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>A finding of Not Sustained</li> </ul>		
	• Allegation 7: Grabbed Subject 1 by her wrists and demanded that		
	she get up to discuss your marriage.		
	• A finding of Not Sustained		
	• Allegation 8: Repeatedly chest-bumped Subject 1.		
	<ul> <li>A finding of Not Sustained</li> </ul>		
	• Allegation 9: Pushed your shoulder onto Subject 1's body like a football tackle.		



- o A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 10: Wrestled with Subject 1 and caused a bruise to the left side of her eye.
  - o A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 11: Backed Subject 1 into a corner and told her to continue to punch him back.
  - o A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 12: Grabbed Subject 1 tightly around her body and prevented her from moving.
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 13: Threatened Subject 1 by stating "Are you sure you want this because you're going to jail tonight?"
  - o A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 14: Failed to initiate a complaint against Sergeant B when he failed to comply with you request to initiate a Domestic Case Report on your behalf.
  - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation 15: Attempted to control Subject 1 by shutting off the controls of her cell phone, taking her credit cards, car keys away since she requested a divorce.
  - o A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 16: Stalked Subject 1 by tracking her vehicle since she requested a divorce.
  - o A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 17: Punched Subject 1 and gave her a black eye prior to being married to her.
  - o A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 18: Slashed all four tires of a civilian's vehicle.
  - o A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 19: Informed Subject 1 that you slashed the tires in order to send a civilian a message to stay away from her.
- A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 20: Stalked a civilian by parking in front of his house.
   A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 21: Stalked a civilian by parking in front of his house in an unmarked CPD vehicle.
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 22: Stalked a civilian by parking your vehicle in front of his house.
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 23: Called Subject 1 and told her that you were in front of a civilian's residence with the children to confront him.
  - o A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 24: Stalked a civilian and Subject 1, and observed you



ducking between vehicles while a civilian escorted Subject 1 to her car.

- o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation 25: Phoned a civilian and threatened him to stay away from your wife.
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- Allegation 26: Failed to submit a To-From Subject report that you were under investigation by outside law enforcement.
  - o A finding of Sustained

During mediation, Lieutenant A agreed to accept IPRA's penalty of a **5 DAY SUSPENSION** for the Sustained allegations.

Sergeant A:
 Allegation 1: Failed to properly document a domestic incident involving Lieutenant A who was reportedly intoxicated, in violation of Rule 3 and 6.
 A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **20 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegation.

Sergeant B:
 Allegation 1: Failed to properly document a domestic incident involving Lieutenant A.
 A finding of Sustained

During mediation, Sergeant B agreed to accept IPRA's penalty of a **2 DAY SUSPENSION** for the Sustained allegations.



Notification Date:	30 July 2016
Complaint Type:	Officer Involved Shooting
Officer A:	Chicago Police Officer, Female/White, 30, Off-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2012
Summary:	In an incident involving Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A violated CPD's Uniform and Property Order U04-02 when she carried her firearm into a two different bars during non-duty hours, knowing there was the likelihood she would consume alcohol.
Finding(s):	Based on department rules, witness statements, and the officers own statement, IPRA recommends the following:
Officer A:	<ul> <li>Allegation #1: Violated CPD's Uniform and Property Order U04-02 when she carried her firearm during non-duty hours into a bar knowing that there was the likelihood she would consume alcohol.</li> <li>A finding of Sustained.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Allegation #2: Violated CPD's Uniform and Property Order U04-02 when she carried her firearm during non-duty hours into a second bar knowing that there was the likelihood she would consume alcohol</li> <li>A finding of Sustained.</li> </ul>

A penalty of a **10-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.