

**Abstracts of Sustained Cases
October 2016**

Log# 1063523

Notification Date: Multiple occasions

Location: City of Chicago, Multiple locations

Complaint Type: Domestic

Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 43, Off-Duty, Year of Appointment – 1995

Subject 1: Female/White, 61

Subject 2: Female/White

Summary: In an incident involving Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A yelled at and directed profanities toward Subject 1, as well as pulled his arm back as if he was going to strike Subject 1 and threatened to take Subject 1 to court to prevent her from seeing his daughter, Subject 1's granddaughter. It was further alleged that tampered with Subject 1's vehicle after he was observed kneeling down and reaching underneath it. It was further alleged that on a separate occasion, Officer A yelled at Subject 2, grabbed her by the neck and attempted to pull her into his residence. Finally, it was alleged that Officer A failed to report that he was under investigation by DCFS.

Finding(s): Based on department rules, general orders, and special orders; and statements to IPRA from Officer A and Subjects 1 and 2, IPRA recommends the following:

- **Allegation 1: Violated Rule 9 when Officer A yelled and directed profanities at Subject 1**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Violated Rule 8 when he pulled his arm back as if he was going to strike Subject 1**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Violated Rule 9 when he threatened to take Subject 1 to court in order to bar her from seeing her grandchild**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Violated Rule 8 when he grabbed Subject 2 by the neck with his entire arm**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Violated Rule 8 when he choked Subject 2 in the**

doorway of his residence

- A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Violated Rule 8 when he attempted to drag Subject 2 into his residence**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Violated SO 08-01-02 (IV)(A) when he failed to notify the Chicago Police Department that he was under investigation by the Department of Children and Family Services**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **A 1 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegation.

Log# 1075425

Notification Date: May 30, 2015
Location: 20th District
Complaint Type: Accidental Taser Discharge
Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Female/Hispanic, 42, On-Duty, In-Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1999
Summary: In an incident involving Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A accidentally pulled the trigger of a Taser causing the probes to deploy while she handling Tasers in the radio room.
Finding(s): Based on department rules; CPD Initiation Report and Tactical Response Report; and a statement to IPRA from the accused officer, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation 1: Inattentive to duty, in that she accidentally discharged a Taser in violation of Rule 10**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **VIOLATION NOTED** was recommended for the Sustained allegation.

Log# 1076014

Notification Date: July 6, 2015
Location: 1st District
Complaint Type: Improper Search, Racial/Ethnic, Unnecessary Physical Contact
Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 50, On-Duty, Year of Appointment – 1992
Officer B: Chicago Police Officer, Female/White, 47, On-Duty, Year of Appointment – 1994
Officer C: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 33, On-Duty, Year of Appointment – 2005
Officer D: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 43, On-Duty, Year of Appointment – 1997
Officer E: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 45, On-Duty, Year of Appointment – 1993
Subject 1: Female/White, 31
Summary: In an incident involving the Officers and Subject, it was alleged that the Subject was at the CTA platform when four Officers grabbed her, attempted to take her property, held her by her arms, and punched her several times. Then, Officer B handcuffed her too tightly, choked her, and touched her all over her body. Further, Officers removed her veil, cap, and face scarf, and searched her property. After being escorted to a police van, Officer B inappropriately touched her. In addition, two of the Officers disrespected the Subject by asking her racial-based questions.
Finding(s): Based on department rules, general orders, and special orders; CTA surveillance video; the 4th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution; and statements to IPRA from the accused officers and subject, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation 1: Failed to properly supervise officers under his command during the Subject’s detention, search, and arrest in violation of Rule 3**
 - A finding of Sustained
 - **Allegation 2: Approached the Subject from behind without warning, grabbed her arms, and attempted to take her book bag, in violation of Rules 2 and 8**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **A 10 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Officer B:

- **Allegation 1: Approached the Subject from behind without warning, grabbed her arms, and attempted to take her book bag, in violation of Rules 2 and 8**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Choked the Subject, in violation of Rules 2 and 8**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Conducted an improper search of the Subject at the CTA station, in violation of Rules 2 and 6, G06-01-03, and the 4th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Took the Subject's head scarf and face scarf off and threw them on the ground, in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Exonerated
- **Allegation 5: Walked the Subject to a police van with her clothes in disarray and her body exposed to the public, in violation of Rule 8 and G06-01-03**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 6: Conducted an improper search of the Subject in the back of a police van, in violation of Rules 2 and 6, G06-01-03, and G04-03**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Told the Subject, "You are ISIS. Why did you come here to kill Americans?," in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 8: Conducted an improper search of the Subject in the women's restroom at the police station in violation of Rules 2 and 6 and G06-01-03**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 9: Failed to inventory or return the Subject's silver/stone ring, diamond necklace, head scarf, veil, ankle weights, and food, in violation of Rule 40, S07-01, and U04-01**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 10: Used her personal cellphone to record images of the Subject during her arrest, detention, and search in violation of Rule 6 and U04-01**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **A 120 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Officer C:

- **Allegation 1: Approached the Subject from behind without warning, grabbed her arm, and attempted to take her book bag, in violation of Rules 2 and 8**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Grabbed the Subject's cap, eyeglasses, head scarf, and face scarf off her head and threw them on the ground, in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 3: Unwrapped the Subject's hair and ran their fingers through her hair and scalp, in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 4: Struck the Subject on the side of the body three times, in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Opened the Subject's jogging jacket and pulled her pants down below her hips, in violation of Rule 8 and G06-01-03**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Asked the Subject if she was Shia or Sunni while twisting her arm, in violation Rule 8**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Failed to inventory or return her cap, eyeglasses, head, face scarf, ankle weights, and food, in violation of Rule 40, S07-01, and U04-01**
 - A finding of Unfounded

A penalty of **A 5 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegation.

Officer D:

- **Allegation 1: Approached the Subject from behind without warning, grabbed her arm, and attempted to take her book bag, in violation of Rules 2 and 8**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Grabbed the Subject's cap, eyeglasses, head scarf, and face scarf off her head and threw them on the ground, in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 3: Unwrapped the Subject's hair and ran their fingers through her hair and scalp, in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 4: Struck the Subject on the side of the body three times, in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Not Sustained

- **Allegation 5: Opened the Subject's jogging jacket and pulled her pants down below her hips, in violation of Rule 8 and G06-01-03**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Asked the Subject if she was Shia or Sunni while twisting her arm, in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Failed to inventory or return the Subject's cap, eyeglasses, head scarf, face scarf, ankle weights, and food, in violation of Rule 40, S07-01, and U04-01**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 8: Used his personal cell phone to record imaged of the Subject during her arrest, detention, and search, in violation of Rule 6 and U04-01**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **A 5 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Officer E:

- **Allegation 1: Approached the Subject from behind without warning, grabbed her arm, and attempted to take her book bag, in violation of Rules 2 and 8**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 2: Grabbed the Subject's cap, eyeglasses, head, and face scarf off her head and threw them on the ground, in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 3: Unwrapped her hair and ran their fingers through her hair and scalp, in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 4: Struck the Subject on the side of the body three times, in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Opened the Subject's jogging jacket and pulled her pants down below her hips, in violation of Rule 8 and G06-01-03**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Asked the Subject if she was Shia or Sunni while twisting her arm, in violation Rule 8**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Failed to inventory or return her cap, eyeglasses, head, face scarf, ankle weights, and food, in violation of Rule 40, S07-01, and U04-01**

- A finding of Unfounded

Log# 1074825

Notification Date: April 23, 2015
Location: 2nd District
Complaint Type: Domestic Altercation – Physical Abuse
Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 27, On-Duty, In-Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2013
Sergeant A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 23, On-Duty, In-Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1992
Sergeant B: Chicago Police Officer, Female/White, 46, On-Duty, In-Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1999
Subject 1: Female/Black, 29
Subject 2: Female/Black, 13

Summary: In an incident involving Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A got into a domestic altercation with Subject 1 while being intoxicated. It was further alleged that Officer A used profanity and physical force. In addition, it was alleged that the responding Sergeants failed to obtain a Complaint Register Number when the Sergeants became aware of Officer A’s misconduct.

Finding(s): Based on department rules and general orders; Illinois law; a breathalyzer test; court case; and statements to IPRA from the accused officers , subject, and witnesses, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation 1: Involved in domestic altercation with Subject 1, in violation of Rule 9**
 - A finding of Sustained
 - **Allegation 2: Referred to Subject 1 as a “bitch,” in violation of Rule 9**
 - A finding of Sustained
 - **Allegation 3: Stated the words to the effect of “She ain’t shit and this bitch don’t do shit,” in violation of Rule 9**
 - A finding of Sustained
 - **Allegation 4: Under the influence of alcohol, in violation of Rule 15**

- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Drove his vehicle while above the legal BAC limit .08, in violation of Rule 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Failed to comply with directions given to him by Sergeant B to leave the residence and not return**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Insubordinate to Sergeant B both by actions and by stating words to the effect of “This is my house, you can’t tell me what to do,” in violation of Rule 7**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 8: Failed to comply with directions given to him by Sergeants when told to stay in the bedroom and away from Subject 1, in violation of Rule 7**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 9: Involved in a domestic altercation Subject 1 wherein he grabbed her, threw her to the floor, and placed his knee on her head, in violation of Rule 9**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 10: Damaged the cellular phone belonging to Subject 2, in violation of Rules 2 and 9**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 11: Caused a scratch on Subject 1’s face, in violation of Rule 8**
- **Allegation 12: Caused a knot of Subject 1’s head, in violation of Rule 8**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 13: Attempted to impede on an investigation when he text messaged words to the effect of “don’t sign” to Subject 1’s cellular phone after the incident and his subsequent arrest, in violation of Rule 2**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 14: Attempted to impede on an investigation when he requested that Subject 1 not cooperate with the IPRA investigation because he would lose his job, in violation of Rule 2**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 15: Pled guilty to a misdemeanor simple battery in a domestic incident, in violation of Rules 1 and 2**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 16: Found guilty of a misdemeanor simple battery in a domestic incident, in violation of Rules 1 and 2**
 - A finding of Sustained

- **Allegation 17: Failed to secure his weapon in accordance to the Department policy, in violation of Rule 6**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegation.

Sergeant A:

- **Allegation 1: Failed to register a log number when he became aware that it was alleged that Officer A was intoxicated/impaired, in violation of Rule 6**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Failed to register a log number when he became aware that Officer A was insubordinate to Sergeant B**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Failed to register a log number when he became aware that Officer A had been involved in a domestic incident, in violation of Rule 6**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Failed to register a log number when he became aware that Officer A failed to follow a direct order in that he returned to Subject 1's house after agreeing to leave**
 - A finding of Not Sustained

A penalty of **A 5 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Sergeant B:

- **Allegation 1: Failed to register a log number when she became aware that it was alleged that Officer A was intoxicated/impaired, in violation of Rule 6**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Failed to register a log number when she became aware that Officer A had been involved in a domestic incident, in violation of Rule 6**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Allowed an intoxicated officer to drive a vehicle, in violation of Rule 10**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Failed to register a log number when she became aware that it was alleged that Officer A was intoxicated/impaired, in violation of Rule 6**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Failed to register a log number when Officer A was insubordinate towards her when he stated "You ain't gonna tell**

me what to do in my house,” in violation Rule 6

- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Failed to register a log number when Officer A failed to follow a direct order in that he returned to Subject 1’s house after agreeing to leave**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Failed to register a log number when she became aware that Officer A had been involved in a domestic incident, in violation of Rule 6**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **A 15 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Log# 1077754

Notification Date: October 23, 2015
Location: 9th District
Complaint Type: Unnecessary Display of Weapon
Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Asian Pacific Islander, 43, Off-Duty, Year of Appointment – 2001
Subject 1: Male/White, 64
Summary: In an incident involving the Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A was involved in a “road rage” incident with Subject 1. It was further alleged that Officer A displayed his weapon and attempted to pull him out of his vehicle.
Finding(s): Based on department rules and orders; Illinois law; and statements to IPRA from the accused officer and subject, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation 1: Threw a cup of liquid at Subject 1, in violation of Rule 2**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
 - **Allegation 2: Held his firearm out of his vehicle’s window, in violation of Rule 38**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
 - **Allegation 3: Pointed his firearm at Subject 1 without justification, in violation of Rule 38**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
 - **Allegation 4: Forcefully attempted to pull him out of his vehicle,**

in violation of Rule 8

- A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Unnecessarily displayed his firearm during a “road rage” incident, in violation of Rule 38**
- A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **A 10 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.