Abstracts of Sustained Cases September 2016

## Log# 1054335

Notification Date:	May 25, 2012
Location:	008
Complaint Type:	Domestic
Officer A:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 42, Off-Duty, Not In-Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1995
Subject 1:	Female/Black, 46
Summary:	In an incident involving Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A got into a domestic altercation with Subject 1 for which he was arrested, and that he violated an Order of Protection when he contacted Subject 1 multiple times via telephone.
Finding(s):	Based on department rules, general orders, and special orders; photographs; court documents; statements to IPRA from the subject; statements to IPRA from the accused officer, IPRA recommends the following:
Officer A:	<ul> <li>Allegation 1: Violated Rule 9 when he engaged in an unjustified verbal and physical altercation with Subject 1 <ul> <li>A finding of Sustained</li> </ul> </li> <li>Allegation 2: Violated the law when he was arrested for Domestic Battery against Subject 1 <ul> <li>A finding of Sustained</li> </ul> </li> <li>Allegation 3: Violated the law when he violated an Order of Protection by calling Subject 1 multiple times after its entry <ul> <li>A finding of Sustained</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	A penalty of A 20 DAY SUSPENSION was recommended for the

Sustained allegations.

## Log# 1057779

Notification Date: Location: Complaint Type: Officer A: Subject 1:	October 14, 2012 Outside City of Chicago Domestic Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 50, On-Duty, Year of Appointment – 1994 Female/White, 48
Summary:	In an incident involving Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A tampered with Subject 1's vehicle after he was observed kneeling down and reaching underneath it. It was further alleged that Officer A had been stalking, surveilling, and sending unwanted emails and text messages to Subject 1 since the end of their relationship. It was also alleged that Officer A drove his assigned police vehicle beyond the limits of the City of Chicago without justification.
Finding(s):	Based on department rules, general orders, and special orders; GPS; and a statement to IPRA from Officer A IPRA recommends the following:
Officer A:	<ul> <li>Allegation 1: Violated Rule 2 when he was seen exiting his police vehicle and kneeling down and reaching beneath Subject 1's vehicle <ul> <li>A finding of Not Sustained</li> </ul> </li> <li>Allegation 2: Violated Rule 2 when he stalked and surveilled Subject 1 <ul> <li>A finding of Not Sustained</li> </ul> </li> <li>Allegation 3: Violated Rule 2 when he harassed Subject 1 by sending her unwanted emails and text messages <ul> <li>A finding of Not Sustained</li> </ul> </li> <li>Allegation : Violated Rule 6 and Rule 30 when he left his duty assignment and drive his assigned police vehicle beyond the limits of the City of Chicago without justification <ul> <li>A finding of Sustained</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

A penalty of **A 28 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegation.

## Log# 1069929

Notification Date: Location: Complaint Type:	June 21, 2014 10 <sup>th</sup> District Excessive Force
Detention Aide A:	Detention Aide, Male/Black, 51, On-Duty, Full Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1994
Detention Aide B:	Detention Aide, Male/Hispanic, 36, On-Duty, Full Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2012
Officer A:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 37, On-Duty, Full Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2009
Officer B:	Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 34, On-Duty, Full Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2006
Subject 1:	Male/Hispanic, 25
Summary:	In an incident involving Detention Aide A, it was alleged that Detention Aide A slapped and pushed Subject 1. Detention Aide B, Officer A, and Officer B were witnesses to this incident.
Finding(s):	Based on the surveillance video; statements to IPRA from the accused detention aides, accused officers, and subject; initiation report; and department rules, IPRA recommends the following:
<u>Detention Aide A:</u>	<ul> <li>Allegation 1: Slapped Subject 1 about the head, in violation of Rule 8         <ul> <li>A finding of Sustained</li> </ul> </li> <li>Allegation 2: Pushed Subject 1 to the floor, in violation of Rule 8         <ul> <li>A finding of Sustained</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	A penalty of <b>A 90-DAY SUSPENSION</b> was recommended for the Sustained allegations.
Detention Aide B:	<ul> <li>Allegation 1: Failed to intervene and/or ensure Subject 1's safety during a confrontation between Detention Aide A and Subject 1, in violation of Rule 5</li> <li>A finding of Sustained</li> </ul>

A penalty of A 5-DAY SUSPENSION was recommended for<br/>the Sustained allegation.Officer A:• Allegation 1: Failed to intervene and/or ensure Subject<br/>1's safety during a confrontation between Detention<br/>Aide A and Subject 1, in violation of Rule 5<br/>• A finding of UnfoundedOfficer B:• Allegation 1: Failed to intervene and/or ensure Subject<br/>1's safety during a confrontation between Detention<br/>Aide A and Subject 1, in violation of Rule 5<br/>• A finding of Unfounded