May 2016

Log/C.R. No. 1069863

Notification Date: June 17, 2014

Location: 11th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving one on-duty CPD Officer (Officer A) and a Complainant, it was alleged that Officer A directed profanity toward the Complainant, and punched the Complainant on his chest, knocking him to the ground. It was also alleged that Officer A failed to document his encounter with the Complainant, and that Officer A made a false report related to this incident.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused; medical records and department reports/records; IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation of directing profanity toward the Complainant; a finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation of punching the Complainant and knocking him to the ground; a finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation of failing to document his encounter with the Complainant; and a finding of "SUSTAINED" for making a false report related to this incident; and a finding of "SUSTAINED" for making a false statement to IPRA related to this incident. IPRA recommended a penalty of separation.

Log/C.R. No. 1061399

Notification Date: April 12, 2013

Location: 9th District

Complaint: Accidental Discharge of Weapon

Summary: In an incident involving one off-duty CPD Officer (Officer A) it was alleged that Officer A caused a disturbance while intoxicated; operated his personal vehicle while intoxicated; was in possession of his firearm when there was a likelihood of consuming alcohol; displayed his firearm without justification; discharged his forearm without justification; failed to secure his firearm after discharging it; directed profanities at the complainants and witnesses; brought discredit upon the department; and made false reports and statements.

May 2016

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused and department reports/records; IPRA recommended the following: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation of causing a disturbance while intoxicated on or off duty. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation of operating a vehicle while intoxicated. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation of possession of a firearm when there was a likelihood of consuming alcohol. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation of the unlawful use or display of a weapon. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for discharging his firearm without justification. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation of inattention to duty for failing to secure his weapon after discharge. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for directing profanities at complainants and witnesses. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation of bringing discredit upon the department by making the complainant and witnesses believe he had been struck by his firearm. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for making a false report, stating that he accidentally discharged his weapon while trying to reholster it. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for making a false report when he stated he did not operate a vehicle while intoxicated. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for making a false report by stating he did not discharge his firearm without justification. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for making a false report when he stated he did not direct profanities at complainants and witnesses. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for making a false report when he stated he did not mislead complainants and witnesses into believing he shot himself. A finding of "SUSTAINED" for making a false report when he stated to a Sergeant that he accidentally fired his weapon while trying to reholster it. IPRA recommended a penalty of separation.

Log/C.R. No. 1077812

Notification Date: October 28, 2015

Location: 12th District

Complaint: Use of Racial Slur

Summary: While approximately ten to twelve officers were present at the 12th District Tactical Unit Office, on-duty Officer A replied to a question by referring to the President of the United States with a racial slur.

May 2016

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused officer and witness officers; and department rules and policies, IPRA recommended the following:

<u>Officer A</u>: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation and a penalty of Separation.

Log/C.R. No. 1051991

Notification Date: February 19, 2012

Location: 2nd District

Complaint: Excessive Force/On-Duty

Summary: In an incident involving Officer A, Officer B, and the Complainant/Victim, it was alleged that Officer A detained the Complainant/Victim without justification, transported the Complainant/Victim to a hospital against the Complainant's/Victim's will, slammed the Complainant/Victim against a wall, directed profanity at the Complainant/Victim, and released the Complainant/Victim from custody without approval from the watch commander. It was further alleged that Officer B released the Complainant/Victim from custody without approval from the watch commander.

Finding: Based on video surveillance; statements to IPRA from the accused officers and the complainant/victim; medical records; department reports; department special orders and rules; and the 4th Amendment of the United States Constitution, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A:

<u>Allegation #1</u>: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation and a penalty of a **7-day Suspension**.

<u>Allegation #2</u>: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation and a penalty of a **7-day Suspension**.

Allegation #3: A finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegation.

Allegation #4: A finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegation.

May 2016

<u>Allegation #5</u>: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation and a penalty of a **7-day Suspension**.

<u>Officer B</u>: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation and a penalty of a **5-day Suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1050142

Notification Date: November 20, 2011

Location: 5th District

Complaint: Improper Care in Lockup

Summary: In an incident involving an Arrestee, an Officer, and a Detention Aide, it was alleged in the 5th District Men's Lockup that the Officer and Detention Aide failed to notify a sergeant of the Arrestee who was under their control and was at emotional risk; failed to notify a watch commander of the Arrestee who was under their control and was at emotional risk; failed to send the Arrestee who was under their control and was at emotional risk to the nearest hospital or mental intake facility; and failed to monitor and supervise the intoxicated Arrestee for changing or deteriorating conditions by keeping him within sight and sound.

Finding: Based on department special orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officer, detention aide, and witness officers; the medical examiner report, and department rules, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer:

Allegation #1: A finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegation.

<u>Allegation #2</u>: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation and a penalty of a **20-day Suspension**.

<u>Allegation #3</u>: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation and a penalty of a **20-day Suspension**.

<u>Allegation #4</u>: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation and a penalty of a **20-day Suspension**.

May 2016

Detention Aide:

Allegation #1: A finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegation.

<u>Allegation #2</u>: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation and a penalty of a **20-day Suspension**.

<u>Allegation #3</u>: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation and a penalty of a **20-day Suspension**.

<u>Allegation #4</u>: A finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation and a penalty of a 20-day Suspension.

Log# 300039

Notification Date: August 17, 2004
Location: 17th District
Complaint Type: Excessive Force

Officer A: Chicago Police Sergeant, Male/Hispanic, 44, On-Duty, In Uniform,

Year of Appointment – 2000

Officer B: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 42, On-Duty, In Uniform,

Year of Appointment – 2000

Subject 1: Hispanic/Female, 17

Summary: In an incident involving Officer A, Officer B, it was alleged that

Officer A and Officer B were involved in an unauthorized high-speed pursuit of a van. During their pursuit, the van they were following struck the Subject's vehicle, and the Subject, was ejected from her vehicle. After the traffic accident, it was alleged that Officer A and Officer B failed to remain at the scene of the accident and engaged in a foot pursuit of the drive of the van. It was also alleged that in several written and oral reports made after the incident, Officer A and Officer B made false statements by stating that the accident did not occur while they were in pursuit of the van, but that Officers A and B were nearby and heard the noise from a traffic accident and then approached the scene. Officers A and B made several other inconsistent reports regarding the incident in depositions and testimony in the civil suit filed as a result of this

incident.

May 2016

Finding(s):

Based on department special orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officers and witness officers, and statements from the accused officers and witness officers in depositions taken in a civil suit against the accused officers, IPRA recommends the following:

Officer A:

- Allegation #1: Disobeyed of an order or directive, whether written or oral when he became involved in an unauthorized vehicle pursuit
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #2: Left his duty assignment without being properly relieved or without proper authorization
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #3: Failed to perform his duty when he failed to immediately notify OEMC of a traffic accident
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #4: Failed to perform his duty when he failed to immediately request medical attention for Subject 1 who was injured in the traffic accident
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #5: Made a false oral report regarding his involvement in the vehicle pursuit and resulting traffic accident
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #6: Made a false written report regarding his involvement in the vehicle pursuit and resulting traffic accident
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #7: Gave false oral testimony in a sworn deposition regarding his involvement in the vehicle pursuit and resulting traffic accident
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #8: Gave false oral testimony in a sworn deposition regarding his involvement in the vehicle pursuit and resulting traffic accident
 - A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #9: Made a false oral report of his actions regarding his involvement in the vehicle pursuit and resulting traffic accident
 - A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #10: Made a false written report of his actions regarding his involvement in the vehicle pursuit and resulting traffic accident
 - o A finding of Sustained

May 2016

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for these allegations.

Officer B:

- Allegation #1: Disobeyed of an order or directive, whether written or oral when he became involved in an unauthorized vehicle pursuit
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #2: Left his duty assignment without being properly relieved or without proper authorization
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #3: Failed to perform his duty when he failed to immediately notify OEMC of a traffic accident
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #4: Failed to perform his duty when he failed to immediately request medical attention for Subject 1 who was injured in the traffic accident
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #5: Made a false oral report regarding his involvement in the vehicle pursuit and resulting traffic accident
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #6: Made a false written report regarding his involvement in the vehicle pursuit and resulting traffic accident
 - A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #7: Gave false oral testimony in a sworn deposition regarding his involvement in the vehicle pursuit and resulting traffic accident
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #8: Gave false oral testimony in a sworn deposition regarding his involvement in the vehicle pursuit and resulting traffic accident
 - o A finding of Sustained
- Allegation #9: Made a false oral report of his actions regarding his involvement in the vehicle pursuit and resulting traffic accident
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for these allegations.