

INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY

Log #1076745

**INVESTIGATION**

**NUMBER:** Log #1076745

**INVOLVED**

**OFFICER #1:** “Officer A” (Chicago Police Officer); Male/White; 39 years old; Off-Duty; Not In-Uniform; Year of Appointment – 1995

**OFFICER #1’s**

**INJURIES:** None reported

**SUBJECT:** “Subject 1”; Female/Black; 43 years old

**SUBJECT’S**

**INJURIES:** None Reported

**LOCATION:** XXXX West Gunderson, Chicago, Illinois

**DATE:** 01 January 2011

## INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY

Log #1076745

### **INTRODUCTION:**

This incident involves allegations that for years Officer A engaged in stalking, poisoning and harassing Subject 1. Subject 1, described Officer A as her ex-landlord and ex-boyfriend. Subject 1 provided no evidence that Officer A was responsible for the alleged misconduct, or that the incidents she reported occurred.

### **ALLEGATIONS:**

On 17 August 2015, at 1515 hours, the complainant Subject 1, contacted the Independent Police Review Authority and registered this complaint with Investigator A. The complainant alleged that since 01 January 2011 and continuing until the time of the complaint, at various times and in different locations, her ex-boyfriend, Accused Officer A, #XXXX, Unit 012,

- 1) stalked her, in violation of Chicago Police Department Rule #8,
- 2) poisoned her, in violation of Chicago Police Department Rule #8, and
- 3) harassed her, in violation of Chicago Police Department Rule #8.

### **APPLICABLE RULES:**

Chicago Police Department Rule #8: Disrespect to or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty.

Special Order 08-01-01-II-C-12 - "Conduct of Complaint Investigations"

### **SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION:**

In a statement with the Independent Police Review Authority on 19 August 2015, the **Complainant Subject 1**, stated that she was involved in a dating relationship with the accused Officer A. Subject 1 accused Officer A of sneaking into her various apartments at various times and dates and moving or taking things, as well as one time injecting a poison into her fried chicken that caused kidney damage and incontinence. Subject 1 also stated that Officer A "shredded" some of her clothing, damaged ten vehicles she drove as a chauffeur, and poured urine "everywhere." Subject 1 stated that she did not witness Officer A inside or near her apartment, but she "knew" it was his doing. Subject 1 stated that she would hear from Officer A after each misfortune that befell her and that is how she knew it was his doing. Subject 1 blamed Officer A for putting holes in the pockets of her coat. Subject 1 stated that Officer A moved her mail to an unknown address but again could not provide specific times, dates or any further information for moving her mail. Subject 1 stated that when she lived in an apartment in Marina City, she blamed a woman named Civilian 1, who worked for her landlord, when she was getting sick from the food in her house. Subject 1 stated that when she lived at XXXX E. Goethe, Officer A showed up at her apartment and also broke down another resident's door. Subject 1

INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY

Log #1076745

called police and they provided her with a report for the disturbance at XXXX E. Goethe.<sup>1</sup> When asked about the poisoning of her food, Subject 1 stated that she has not been able to have required testing since 2012 because of personal difficulties. Subject 1 stated that she lives in a new apartment and has found cracks on her window that are similar to cracks in her former car windows. (Att. 7, 13)

**A Case Report for RD# HW136231**, dated 29 January 2013, for Non-Criminal Lost Property reported that Subject 1 found her wallet on the floor of her vehicle and her chauffeur's license, Social Security card, and her library card were missing from the wallet. (Att. 6)

**A Case Report for RD# HW404252**, dated 12 August 2013, for Deceptive Practice – Unlawful Use of a Computer reported that Subject 1 claimed that her ex-boyfriend, Officer A, changed her bank account address from XXXX S. Archer Avenue to XXXX S. Homan Avenue by using his home computer, which she had used previously. Subject 1 did not know Officer A's home address. The Report stated that in the course of completing the report, Subject 1 changed her statements when questioned. The Report also stated that, "When questioned as to how he got her personal bank information victim rambled on about almost having a stroke sometimes before." The Detective Supplemental Report completed for RD# HW404252 reported that Subject 1's telephone number had been disconnected and that the case should be suspended pending contact by Subject 1. (Att. 11)

**A Case Report for RD# HX480557**, dated 24 October 2014, for Criminal Damage to Vehicle reported that on 03 October 2014, Subject 1 parked the limo she was driving and left the vehicle. When she returned the door molding near the side mirror had been ripped off. Subject 1 reported that on 07 October 2014, her ex-employer Civilian 2 drove past her in a black Mercedes. Subject 1 then noticed two large holes in her driver's side door. Subject 1 stated that Civilian 2 may be tracking her through her Cricket phone because he knows all of her personal information and has been showing up at her stops during the day. Subject 1 stated that she and her ex-employer had issues over money prior to these incidents. A Detective Supplemental Report completed for RD# HX480557 reported that the case had been suspended pending contact with Bardou. (Att. 12)

---

<sup>1</sup> Subject 1 could not remember what year she lived at XXXX E. Goethe but stated that she met Officer A in either 2008 or 2009. A search of the CLEAR Data Warehouse for incidents with Subject 1 listed as a victim did not yield any results with an address of occurrence at XXXX E. Goethe.

INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY

Log #1076745

**CONCLUSION:**

**Accused Officer:     Officer A, #XXXXXX**

**ALLEGATIONS #1-3:     UNFOUNDED**

The Reporting Investigator recommends that **Allegations 1-3** against **Officer A #XXXXXX**, that he stalked, poisoned and harassed Subject 1 in violation of Rule 9, be **UNFOUNDED**. Although Subject 1 alleged that Officer A engaged in this misconduct, she admitted that she did not witness the accused officer commit any of these actions. Subject 1 did not provide any evidence in support of her allegations, nor identify any investigative leads which might connect Officer A to the misconduct she alleged. According to her statement, Subject 1 also blamed an employee of her former landlord for poisoning her food. Additionally, in Case Report for RD# HX480557, Subject 1 accused a former employer of damaging her vehicle and stalking her. Beyond Subject 1's suspicion that Officer A committed the alleged misconduct, there is no evidence to support her allegations. Accordingly, this investigation should be closed Unfounded without additional reports from the accused or witness officers pursuant to Special Order 08-01-01-II-C-12.