

Abstracts of Sustained Cases  
June 2016

**Log# 1045896**

**Notification Date:** June 5, 2011  
**Location:** 12<sup>th</sup> District  
**Complaint Type:** Excessive Force

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Female/Hispanic, 38, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1999

**Officer B:** Chicago Police Officer, Female/White, 31, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2006

**Subject 1:** Male/Black, 38

**Summary:** In an incident involving Officer A and Officer B, it was alleged that Officer A and Officer B were in violation of Department Directives regarding the use of deadly force after Officer A and Officer B were engaged in a pursuit of Subject 1 and both officers discharged weapons at Subject 1. During the subsequent investigation, it was discovered and alleged that Officer A failed to properly secure her firearm and discharged a weapon not registered to her during the police involved shooting.

**Finding(s):** Based on department special orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officers and involved officers; and department reports IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation 1: Violated Department Directives in relation to the use of deadly force when she discharged a weapon at subject**
  - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 2: Failure to properly secure her weapon and subsequently obtaining a weapon which was not registered to Officer A**
  - During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "Sustained"
- **Allegation 3: Discharging a weapon which was not registered to Officer A during a police involved shooting**
  - During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "Sustained"

During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's penalty of a **10 DAY SUSPENSION** for the Sustained allegations.

**Officer B:**

- **Allegation 1: Violated Department Directives in relation to the use of deadly force when she discharged a weapon at subject**
  - A finding of Unfounded

***Log# 1068324***

**Notification Date:** April 1, 2014

**Location:** 8<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint Type:** Sexual Orientation

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Female/White, 37, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 1999

**Officer B:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 59, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 1995

**Officer C:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 49, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 1998

**Officer D:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/ Hispanic, 41, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2005

**Officer E:** Unknown

**Subject 1:** Male/Black, 25

**Summary:** In an incident involving Officers it was alleged that the Officers' actions brought discredit upon the Department, failed to perform any duty, and disrespected Subject 1 by calling him a derogatory name.

**Finding(s):** Based on department rules and orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officers, subject, and witnesses; and security camera footage, IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation 1: Stating to Subject 1 words which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department**
  - A finding of Unfounded

**Officer B:**

- **Allegation 1: Referring to Subject 1 that brings discredit upon the Department and disrespects Subject 1**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Engaging in conduct unbecoming of a Chicago**

**Police Officer**

- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Failing to report misconduct committed by Officer C**
- A finding of Not Sustained

A penalty of a **2 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

**Officer C:**

- **Allegation 1: Engaging in conduct unbecoming of a Chicago Police Officer**
- A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **5 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegation.

**Officer D:**

- **Allegation 1: Engaging in conduct unbecoming of a Chicago Police Officer**
- A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **1 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegation.

**Officer E:**

- **Allegation 1: Dragging Subject 1 out of the seat and pushed him out of the hospital's front entrance**
- A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 2: Failing to provide Subject 1 with medical assistance**
- A finding of Unfounded

***Log# 1059216***

**Notification Date:** December 28, 2012  
**Location:** 12<sup>th</sup> District  
**Complaint Type:** Domestic Altercation – Physical Abuse  
**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 39, Off-Duty, Not in uniform, Year of Appointment – 2004  
**Officer B:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 42, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 1991  
**Subject 1:** Female/Hispanic, 32

**Summary:**

In an incident involving Officer A it was alleged that Officer A engaged in a verbal and physical altercation with Subject 1. As well, it was alleged that Officer B failed to initiate a complaint register number and follow Department procedures.

**Finding(s):**

Based on department rules and general and special orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officers, subject, and witnesses; audio recordings; 911 calls, IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation 1: Engaging in an unjustified verbal altercation with Subject 1**
  - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 2: Verbally abusing Subject 1**
  - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 3: Engaging in an unjustified physical altercation with Subject 1**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Pushing Subject 1 against a door**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Striking Subject 1 on her chest**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Roughly grabbing Subject 1 by the wrist/arm**
  - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 7: Slamming Subject 1's phone from her hand when trying to call 911 for assistance**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 8: Stopping Subject 1 from locking the property gate and preventing Subject 1 from entering her property**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 9: Attempting to enter Subject 1's house without permission**
  - A finding of Not Sustained

**Officer B:**

- **Allegation 1: Violating a General and Special Orders by failing to initiate a complaint register number and following procedures outlined in the Department Directive when a Department member was involved in a domestic incident and a crime/misconduct by the Department member was alleged**
  - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **3 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegation.

**Log# 1067362**

**Notification Date:** February 6, 2014

**Location:** 10<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint Type:** Excessive Force

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 38, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2006

**Officer B:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 37, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2001

**Officer C:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 35, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2004

**Officer D:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/ White, 37, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2004

**Officer E:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/ White, 32, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2005

**Officer F:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/ White, 33, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2004

**Subject 1:** Female/Black, 55

**Subject 2:** Male/Black, 24

**Subject 3:** Female/Black

**Summary:** In an incident involving Officers it was alleged that the Officers illegally entered and searched Subject 1's residence. In addition, it was alleged that Officers A, C, and D grabbed and handcuffed Subject 1, as well as, unreasonably seized items belonging to Subjects 2 and 3. Furthermore, it was alleged that Officer B coerced Subject 1 by threatening her, and failed to supervise the entire search.

**Finding(s):** Based on the US Constitution; department rules and orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officers and subject; department reports; and consent to search sheets, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation 1: Entered and searched Subject 1's residence without a search warrant or an exception to the search warrant requirements**
    - A finding of Sustained

- **Allegation 2: Grabbing and slamming Subject 1 against the wall**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Handcuffing Subject 1 in a rough manner and too tightly**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Unreasonably seizing items belonging to Subjects 2 and 3 during an illegal search**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Making a false report, written or oral**
  - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

**Officer B:**

- **Allegation 1: Entered and searched Subject 1's residence without a search warrant or an exception to the search warrant requirements**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Coercing Subject 1 by threatening to arrest/prosecute her if she did not cooperate with the illegal search by signing a Consent to Search form**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Failing to have a participating member in the search attired in the prescribed seasonal field uniform**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Failing to ensure the Consent to Search form specifically indicated the scope of the search**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Failing to ensure that Subject 1 had authority to give consent to search Subject 2's bedroom**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Failing to supervise the entire consent to search incident**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Approving an Original Case Incident Report documenting information that he knew was false**
  - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

**Officer C:**

- **Allegation 1: Entered and searched Subject 1's residence without a search warrant or an exception to the search warrant requirements**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Grabbing and slamming Subject 1 against the wall**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Handcuffing Subject 1 in a rough manner and too tightly**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Unreasonably seizing items belonging to Subjects 2 and 3 during an illegal search**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Making a false report, written or oral**
  - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

**Officer D:**

- **Allegation 1: Entered and searched Subject 1's residence without a search warrant or an exception to the search warrant requirements**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Grabbing and slamming Subject 1 against the wall**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Handcuffing Subject 1 in a rough manner and too tightly**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Unreasonably seizing items belonging to Subjects 2 and 3 during an illegal search**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Making a false report, written or oral**
  - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

**Officer E:**

- **Allegation 1: Entered and searched Subject 1's residence without a search warrant or an exception to the search warrant requirements**
  - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 2: Grabbing and slamming Subject 1 against the wall**
  - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 3: Handcuffing Subject 1 in a rough manner and too tightly**

- A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 4: Unreasonably seizing items belonging to Subjects 2 and 3 during an illegal search**
- A finding of Unfounded

**Officer F:**

- **Allegation 1: Entered and searched Subject 1's residence without a search warrant or an exception to the search warrant requirements**
- A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 2: Grabbing and slamming Subject 1 against the wall**
- A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 3: Handcuffing Subject 1 in a rough manner and too tightly**
- A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 4: Unreasonably seizing items belonging to Subjects 2 and 3 during an illegal search**
- A finding of Unfounded

***Log# 1061264***

**Notification Date:** April 9, 2013

**Location:** 4<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint Type:** Excessive Force

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 44, Off-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2000

**Subject 1:** Male/Black, 19

**Subject 2:** Male/Black, 19

**Summary:** In an incident involving Officer A it was alleged that Officer A engaged in a verbal altercation with the Subjects that led to Officer A using excessive force.

**Finding(s):** Based on department rules and orders; and statements to IPRA from the accused officer, subjects, and witnesses, IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation 1: Engaging in a verbal altercation with Subject 1 that included calling Subject 1 profanities**
- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Threatening Subject 1**
- A finding of Not Sustained



- **Allegation 3: Engaging in a verbal altercation with Subject 1 that included calling Subject 1 profanities**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Slapping Subject 1's hand**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Slapping Subject 1's face**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Putting a taser on Subject 2's back without justification**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Directed profanity at Subject 2**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 8: Wore prescribed CPD attire while working secondary employment**
  - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **3 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

***Log# 1068611***

**Notification Date:** April 15, 2014

**Location:** 14<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint Type:** Excessive Force

**Officer A:** Crossing Guard, Female/Hispanic, 48, Off-Duty, Not in uniform, Year of Appointment – 2000

**Subject 1:** Female/White, 20

**Subject 2:** Female/White 46

**Summary:** In an incident involving Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A stuck Subject 2 with a hammer during an altercation. Officer A placed under arrest and subsequently convicted of battery.

**Finding(s):** Based on department special orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officer, involved officers, and subjects; and medical records, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation #1: Disrespect or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty when she struck Subject 2 with a hammer**
    - A finding of Sustained
  - **Allegation #2: Was in violation of any law or ordinance and**

**undertook an action which brought discredit upon the Department by undertaking her actions related to this incident**

- A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **15 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

***Log# 1053273***

**Notification Date:** April 12, 2012  
**Location:** 22<sup>nd</sup> District  
**Complaint Type:** Unnecessary Display of Weapon  
**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 44, Off-Duty, Not in uniform, Year of Appointment – 2002  
**Subject 1:** Male/Black, 48  
**Subject 2:** Female/Black  
**Summary:** In an incident involving Officer A it was alleged that Officer A pointed his weapon, used profanity and a racial slur, and threatened Subject 1, as well as, engaged in a verbal and physical altercation with Subject 2.  
**Finding(s):** Based on department rules; state law; statements to IPRA from the accused officer, subjects, and witnesses; and OEMC transmissions, IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation 1: Pointing his weapon, disrespect or maltreatment, and the unlawful or unnecessary use or display of his weapon at Subject 1**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Failed to properly secure his weapon inside his vehicle**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Calling and directly profanity at Subject 1**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Threatening Subject 1**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Calling Subject 1 names that impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its police and goals or bring discredit upon the Department**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 6-7: Threatening Subject 1**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 8: Engaging in an unjustified physical altercation with Subject 2 while on or off duty**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 9: Verbally and physically abused Subject 2**
  - A finding of Not Sustained

A penalty of a **90 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

***Log# 1061779***

**Notification Date:** April 26, 2013

**Location:** 10<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint Type:** Unnecessary Display of Weapon

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 55, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 1986

**Officer B:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 50, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 1988

**Subject 1:** Male/Black, 31

**Summary:** In an incident involving Officer A it was alleged that Officer A yelled obscenities and held his taser against Subject 1's head. Also, it was alleged that Officer B arrived to the incident scene and failed to initiate a log number after Subject 1 reported misconduct on

Officer A's part.

**Finding(s):** Based on department rules and orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officers, subject, and witnesses; and in-car camera footage, IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation 1: Verbally abused Subject 1**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Holding a taser next to Subject 1's head and threatening to deploy the taser**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Issuing vehicle traffic citations to Subject 1 without justification**
  - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **30 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

**Officer B:**

- **Allegation 1: Failing to initiate a log number after Subject 1 reported misconduct on the part of Officer 1**
  - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **5 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

***Log# 1041278***

**Notification Date:** November 7, 2010

**Location:** 7<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint Type:** Excessive Force

**Officer A:** Detention Aide, Male/Black, 52, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2005

**Subject 1:** White/Male, 48

**Summary:** In an incident involving Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A punched Subject 1 in the face while searching Subject 1 before placing him in lockup.

**Finding(s):** Based on department special orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officers, witness officers, and the subject; and video footage, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation 1: Use of excessive force by punching subject**
    - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of an **11 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegation.

### ***Log# 1070393***

**Notification Date:** July 17, 2014

**Location:** 16<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint Type:** Excessive Force

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 44, Off-Duty, Not in uniform, Year of Appointment – 1994

**Subject 1:** Female/White

**Subject 2:** Male/White, 22

**Summary:** In an incident involving Officer A it was alleged that Officer A hit Subject 1 on the face, used disparaging language to refer to Subject 1, searched Subject 2 without justification, threw Subject 2's belongings on the ground, struck Subject 2 in the face, and pointed his gun at Subject 1 and Subject 2.

**Finding(s):** Based on department special orders; department reports; statements to IPRA from the accused officer and the subjects; witness statements; evidence technician photos; and medical records, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation 1: Engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person on or off-duty when he struck Subject 1**
    - A finding of Sustained
  - **Allegation 2: Disrespect or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty when he verbally disparaged Subject 1**
    - A finding of Sustained
  - **Allegation 3: Violation of any law or ordinance when he searched Subject 2 without justification**
    - A finding of Sustained
  - **Allegation 4: Disrespect or maltreatment of any person, while**

**on or off duty when he threw Subject 2's belongings to the ground**

- A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person on or off-duty when he struck Subject 2**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Unlawful or unnecessary use or display of a weapon for pointing his weapon at Subjects 1 and 2**
  - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **31 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

### ***Log# 1066201***

**Notification Date:** November 20, 2013

**Location:** 6<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint Type:** Excessive Force

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 38, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2006

**Officer B:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Asian, 37, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2006

**Officer C:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 35, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2002

**Subject 1:** Female/Black, 26

**Summary:** In an incident involving Officer A, Officer B, and Officer C, it was alleged that the officers threw Subject 1 to the ground during her arrest. It was also alleged that Officer A choked Subject 1 during her arrest.

**Finding(s):** Based on department special orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officers and the subject; medical records; and surveillance video, IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation #1: Engaging in unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person while on or off duty**
  - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation #2: Disrespect or maltreatment of any person, while**

**on or off duty**

- A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **90 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegation.

**Officer B:**

- **Allegation #1: Engaging in unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person while on or off duty**
  - A finding of Unfounded

**Officer C:**

- **Allegation #1: Engaging in unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person while on or off duty**
  - A finding of Unfounded

***Log# 1069956***

**Notification Date:** June 23, 2014

**Location:** 7<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint Type:** Excessive Force

**Officer A:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 33, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2006

**Officer B:** Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 34, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2007

**Subject 1:** Male/Black

**Summary:** In an incident involving Officer A and Officer B, it was alleged that Officer A physically abused Subject 1 when he pushed Subject 1, punched Subject 1 in the face, kneed Subject 1, challenged Subject 1 to a fight. It was also alleged that Officer A failed to complete a contact card for his contact with Subject 1. It was alleged that Officer B physically abused Subject 1 by jumping on his back, pacing his knee on Subject 1's face. It was alleged that Officer B also failed to complete a contact card related to this incident.

**Finding(s):** Based on department special orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officers and the subject; witness statements; and surveillance video, IPRA recommends the following:

**Officer A:**

- **Allegation 1: Disrespect or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty for pushing Subject 1**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Disrespect or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty for punching Subject 1**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation3: Disrespect or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty for kneeling Subject 1**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Disrespect or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty for challenging Subject 1 to a fight**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral, for failing to complete a contact card in relation to this incident**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Additional Violation: Engaging in actions or conduct which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings disrespect upon the Department**
  - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **35 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

**Officer B:**

- **Allegation 1: Disrespect or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty for jumping on Subject 1's back**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Disrespect or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty for kneeling Subject 1**
  - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation3: Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral, for failing to complete a contact card in relation to this incident**
  - A finding of Sustained
- **Additional Violation: Engaging in actions or conduct which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings disrespect upon the Department**
  - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **15 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.