

**Abstracts of Sustained Cases
July 2016**

Log# 1037527

Notification Date: June 26, 2010
Location: 16th District
Complaint Type: Excessive Force
Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 39, On-Duty, In-Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1998
Officer B: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 48, On-Duty, In-Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1994
Officer C: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 33, Off-Duty, Civilian Dress, Year of Appointment – 2006
Subject 1: Male/White, 30
Subject 2: Female/White, 23
Summary: In an incident involving Officer C, it was alleged that Officer C physically attacked the Subjects. Officer A responded to the 911 call for help. It was further alleged that Officer A allowed Officer C to leave the scene of the incident after Officer C identified himself as a CPD officer. In addition, the Subjects allege that Officer B conspired with Officer A to cover-up the incident.
Finding(s): Based on department rules, general orders, and special orders; the US Constitution; statements to IPRA from the accused officers, subjects, and witnesses, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation 1: Violated the Subjects' 14th Amendment rights by failing to provide them with police service**
 - A finding of Unfounded
 - **Allegation 2: Conspired to cover up the criminal attack against the Subjects**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
 - **Allegation 3: Failed to document his encounter with Officer C**
 - A finding of Sustained
 - **Allegation 4: Gave a false statement regarding his contact with Officer C**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Officer B:

- **Allegation 1: Violated the Subjects' 14th Amendment rights by failing to provide them with police service**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 2: Conspired to cover up the criminal attack against the Subjects**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 3: Failed to properly document his encounter with Officer C**
 - A finding of Unfounded

Officer C:

- **Allegation 1: Displayed and pointed his handgun at the Subjects without justification**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Pushed Subject 2**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Choked Subject 2**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 4: Placed the barrel of his handgun inside Subject 2's mouth**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 5: Struck Subject 1 about his head, face, and body with his handgun**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Violated the Subjects' 4th Amendment rights**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Conspired with Officer A to cover up his attack on the Subjects**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
- **Allegation 8: Directed profanities towards the Subjects**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 9: Failed to document his encounter with the Subjects**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Log# 1060844

Notification Date: March 19, 2013
Location: Outside City of Chicago

Complaint Type: Firearm Discharge

Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 40, Civilian Dress, Year of Appointment – 1997

Subject 1: Male/Black, 31

Summary: In an incident involving Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A disobeyed Chicago Police Department’s use of deadly force policy by discharging his weapon and striking Subject 1, and firing into a moving vehicle.

Finding(s): Based on a department general order; statements to IPRA from the accused officer and witness officers; ballistics evidence; medical examiner’s report; photographs of the subject’s vehicle; and department reports, IPRA recommends the following:

Officer A:

- **Allegation 1: Disobeyed the Chicago Police Department’s use of deadly force policy by discharging his weapon and striking the subject without justification**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Disobeyed the Chicago Police Department’s use of deadly force policy in that he fired into a moving vehicle without justification**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Log# 1063129

Notification Date: June 16, 2013

Location: 25th District

Complaint Type: Domestic Incident

Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 33, Off-Duty, Not In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2002

Subject 1: Female/White, 31

Subject 2: Female/White

Summary: In an incident involving Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A made harassing phone calls to Subject 1’s place of employment; made harassing phone calls to the dance studio where Officer A and Subject 1’s child in common was a student, as well as threatened to remove the child from the dance studio and her day care program;

used profanity to refer Subject 2; and sent harassing text messages to Subject 1. handcuffed Subject 1,

Finding(s): Based on department rules, screenshots of text messages and emails between Subject 1 and Officer A, Department general orders, and special orders; and statements to IPRA from the accused officers and subjects, IPRA recommends the following:

- Officer A:**
- **Allegation 1: Engaged in unjustified verbal altercation with Subject 1 while on or off-duty when he repeatedly called Subject 1's place of employment**
 - A finding of Not Sustained
 - **Allegation 2: Brought discredit to the Department when he made phone calls identifying himself as a Chicago Police officer when conducting non-department business**
 - A finding of Sustained
 - **Allegation 3: Use profanity towards Subject 2**
 - A finding of Sustained
 - **Allegation 4: Engaged in unjustified verbal altercation with Subject 1 while on or off-duty when he sent harassing text messages to Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **A 5-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Log# 1063961

Notification Date: August 1, 2013
Location: 11th District
Complaint Type: Excessive Force
Detention Aide A: Chicago Police Department Detention Aide, Male/Black, 47, Off-Duty, In-Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1994
Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 47, On-Duty, In-Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1991
Subject 1: Male/Black, 53

Summary: In an incident involving Detention Aide A and Officer A, it was alleged that Detention Aide punched and kicked Subject 1; failed to complete any and all documents regarding his contact with Subject 1; failed to render medical assistance to Subject 1; and allowed Subject 1 to bond out without making proper notifications. It was alleged that Officer A observed misconduct and failed to make proper notifications; failed to complete any and all documents relating to the incident involving Subject 1; failed to render medical assistance to Subject 1; and allowed Subject 1 to bond out without making proper notifications.

Finding(s): Based on department rules; statements to IPRA from the accused officers, witness officers; and Department General Orders and special orders, IPRA recommends the following:

Detention Aide

- **Allegation 1: Disrespected and mistreated Subject 1 while on duty and participated in action which brought discredit upon the Department when he punched Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Disrespected and mistreated Subject 1 while on duty and participated in action which brought discredit upon the Department when he kicked Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Was inattentive to his duty when he failed to complete department reports related to his contact with Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Was inattentive to his duty when he failed to render medical assistance to Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Was inattentive to his duty when he allowed Subject 1 to bond out without making proper notifications**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **A 120 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations

Officer A:

- **Allegation 1: Was inattentive to his duty when he observed misconduct and failed to report it**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Was inattentive to his duty when he failed to complete department reports related to his contact with Subject 1**

- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Was inattentive to his duty when he failed to render medical assistance to Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Was inattentive to his duty when he allowed Subject 1 to bond out without making proper notifications**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **A 15-DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations

Log# 1067362

Notification Date: February 6, 2014

Location: 10th District

Complaint Type: Excessive Force

Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 38, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2006

Officer B: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 37, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2001

Officer C: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 35, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2004

Officer D: Chicago Police Officer, Male/ White, 37, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2004

Officer E: Chicago Police Officer, Male/ White, 32, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2005

Officer F: Chicago Police Officer, Male/ White, 33, On-Duty, In uniform, Year of Appointment – 2004

Subject 1: Female/Black, 55

Subject 2: Male/Black, 24

Subject 3: Female/Black

Summary: In an incident involving Officers it was alleged that the Officers illegally entered and searched Subject 1’s residence. In addition, it was alleged that Officers A, C, and D grabbed and handcuffed Subject 1, as well as, unreasonably seized items belonging to Subjects 2 and 3. Furthermore, it was alleged that Officer B coerced Subject 1 by threatening her, and failed to supervise the entire

search.

Finding(s):

Based on the US Constitution; department rules and orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officers and subject; department reports; and consent to search sheets, IPRA recommends the following:

Officer A:

- **Allegation 1: Entered and searched Subject 1's residence without a search warrant or an exception to the search warrant requirements**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Grabbing and slamming Subject 1 against the wall**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Handcuffing Subject 1 in a rough manner and too tightly**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Unreasonably seizing items belonging to Subjects 2 and 3 during an illegal search**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Making a false report, written or oral**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Officer B:

- **Allegation 1: Entered and searched Subject 1's residence without a search warrant or an exception to the search warrant requirements**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Coercing Subject 1 by threatening to arrest/prosecute her if she did not cooperate with the illegal search by signing a Consent to Search form**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Failing to have a participating member in the search attired in the prescribed seasonal field uniform**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Failing to ensure the Consent to Search form specifically indicated the scope of the search**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Failing to ensure that Subject 1 had authority to give consent to search Subject 2's bedroom**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Failing to supervise the entire consent to search incident**

- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Approving an Original Case Incident Report documenting information that he knew was false**
- A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Officer C:

- **Allegation 1: Entered and searched Subject 1's residence without a search warrant or an exception to the search warrant requirements**
- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Grabbing and slamming Subject 1 against the wall**
- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Handcuffing Subject 1 in a rough manner and too tightly**
- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Unreasonably seizing items belonging to Subjects 2 and 3 during an illegal search**
- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Making a false report, written or oral**
- A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Officer D:

- **Allegation 1: Entered and searched Subject 1's residence without a search warrant or an exception to the search warrant requirements**
- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Grabbing and slamming Subject 1 against the wall**
- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Handcuffing Subject 1 in a rough manner and too tightly**
- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Unreasonably seizing items belonging to Subjects 2 and 3 during an illegal search**
- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Making a false report, written or oral**
- A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Officer E:

- **Allegation 1: Entered and searched Subject 1's residence without a search warrant or an exception to the search warrant requirements**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 2: Grabbing and slamming Subject 1 against the wall**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 3: Handcuffing Subject 1 in a rough manner and too tightly**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 4: Unreasonably seizing items belonging to Subjects 2 and 3 during an illegal search**
 - A finding of Unfounded

Officer F:

- **Allegation 1: Entered and searched Subject 1's residence without a search warrant or an exception to the search warrant requirements**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 2: Grabbing and slamming Subject 1 against the wall**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 3: Handcuffing Subject 1 in a rough manner and too tightly**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 4: Unreasonably seizing items belonging to Subjects 2 and 3 during an illegal search**
 - A finding of Unfounded

Log# 1068265

Notification Date: March 28, 2014

Location: 16th District

Complaint Type: Domestic Incident

Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 35, Off-Duty, Civilian Dress, Year of Appointment – 2002

Subject 1: Female/White, 33

Summary: In an incident involving Officer A, it was alleged that Officer A was in contempt of a court ruling after finding that Officer A harassed Subject 1 by sending her magazine subscriptions that she had not requested, as well as, showing up near Subject 1's residence without first contacting her.

Finding(s): Based on department rules; statements to IPRA from the accused officer and subject; a court order, and an agreed order of protection, IPRA recommends the following:

Officer A:

- **Allegation 1: Violated Department rules of impeding Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department, and disrespect or maltreatment of any person while on or off duty**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Violated a court order in violation of a Department rule**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 3: Violated Department rule of impeding Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of a **15 DAY SUSPENSION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Log# 1069136

Notification Date: May 13, 2014

Location: 9th District

Complaint Type: Illegal/False Arrest

Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 46, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1999

Officer B: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Black, 30, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 2009

Subject 1: Male/Black, 34

Summary: In an incident involving Officers A and B, it was alleged that Officer A and B handcuffed Subject 1, placed him into the back of their unmarked vehicle, and drove Subject 1 four miles away. Then, the Officers removed Subject 1 from the back of the vehicle and drove away.

Finding(s): Based on department rules, general orders, and special orders; the 4th Amendment of the US Constitution; GPS Records; and statements to IPRA from the accused officers and subject, IPRA recommends the following:

Officer A:

- **Allegation 1: Seized/arrested Subject 1 without justification**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Handcuffed Subject 1 too tightly**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 3: Use profanity towards Subject 1 when asked to loosen the handcuffs**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Failed to immediately transport Subject 1 to the district of arrest**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Transported Subject 1 via Department vehicle from one location to another without justification**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Transported Subject 1 via Department vehicle from one location to another against his will**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Slapped Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 8: Punched Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 9: Abandoned/left Subject 1 at an undesired location**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 10: Failed to complete a Contact Card documenting the officer's contact with Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 11: Failed to notify OEMC of an on-view incident the officer responded to involving Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 12: Failed to notify OEMC of the officer's change in availability status when he transported Subject 1 via Department vehicle**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 13: Falsely reported officer's duty/work status to OEMC during his contact with Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 14: Falsely reported officer's location to OEMC during his contact with Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 15: Failed to notify the officer's immediate supervisor of the reason Subject 1 should be released without charged**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 16: Failed to notify the officer's unit commander of**

the reason Subject 1 should be released without charged

- A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 17: Failed to complete the necessary reports in related to Subject 1 being released without charging**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 18: Failed to report misconduct committed by co-accused officer in relation to his contact with Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Officer B:

- **Allegation 1: Seized/arrested Subject 1 without justification**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 2: Handcuffed Subject 1 too tightly**
 - A finding of Unfounded
- **Allegation 3: Failed to immediately transport Subject 1 to the district of arrest**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 4: Transported Subject 1 via Department vehicle from one location to another without justification**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 5: Transported Subject 1 via Department vehicle from one location to another against his will**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 6: Left Subject 1 at an undesired location**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 7: Failed to complete a Contact Card documenting the officer's contact with Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 8: Failed to notify OEMC of an on-view incident the officer responded to involving Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 9: Failed to notify OEMC of the officer's change in availability status when he transported Subject 1 via Department vehicle**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 10: Falsely reported officer's duty/work status to OEMC during his contact with Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 11: Falsely reported officer's location to OEMC during his contact with Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained

- **Allegation 12: Failed to notify the officer’s immediate supervisor of the reason Subject 1 should be released without charged**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 13: Failed to notify the officer’s unit commander of the reason Subject 1 should be released without charged**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 14: Failed to complete the necessary reports in related to Subject 1 being released without charging**
 - A finding of Sustained
- **Allegation 15: Failed to report misconduct committed by co-accused officer in relation to his contact with Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.

Log# 1073693

Notification Date: February 7, 2016
Location: 5th District
Complaint Type: Officer Involved Shooting

Officer A: Chicago Police Officer, Male/Hispanic, 46, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1999
Officer B: Chicago Police Officer, Male/White, 44, On-Duty, In Uniform, Year of Appointment – 1995
Subject 1: Male/Black, 35

Summary: In an incident involving Officer A and officer B, it was alleged that Officers A and B fired their weapons at Subject 1 without justification while Subject 1 attempted to flee and posed no imminent threat

Finding(s): Based on department rules; Department general orders and special orders; statements to IPRA from the accused officers and subjects; medical records; and Department reports, IPRA recommends the following:

Officer A:

- **Allegation 1: Used excessive force when he fired his weapon at Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained

allegations.

Officer B:

- **Allegation 1: Used excessive force when he fired his weapon at Subject 1**
 - A finding of Sustained

A penalty of **SEPARATION** was recommended for the Sustained allegations.