#### DECEMBER 2011

## Log/C.R. No. 1002449

On January 4, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA f/k/a the Office of Professional Standards), regarding incidents that occurred between January 2006 and December 2006 in the 13th, 20th and 24th districts involving an offduty CPD Officer. It was alleged that the accused officer sent complainant numerous threatening and harassing text messages; directed profanity towards the complainant; violated a court order by sending text messages to complainant for reasons other than child related matters, work related messages or personal mail; and brought discredit upon the department by her overall actions. Based upon statements of the accused and complainant, records, reports, text messages and court documents, IPRA recommended a finding of "UNFOUNDED" for the allegation that the accused sent complainant numerous threatening and harassing text messages. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegation that the accused directed profanity towards the complainant. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that the accused violated a court order by sending text messages to complainant for reasons other than child related matters, work related messages or personal mail and brought discredit upon the department by her overall actions. IPRA recommended a three (3) day suspension for the accused officer.

# Log/C.R. No. 1008366

On August 13, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA f/k/a the Office of Professional Standards), regarding incidents starting from August 2007 in the 2<sup>nd</sup> District involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer. It was alleged that the accused officer made harassing and threatening calls to complainant; violated an Emergency Order of Protection when she sent complainant two emails; violated an Emergency Order of Protection when she made phone calls to complainant; was insubordinate towards a superior; was verbally abusive towards a superior; attempted to physically confront a superior; was arrested on two counts of Violation of an Order of Protection; gave a false statement to IPRA during its investigation; and that the officer's overall actions were contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. Based upon statements by the accused officer, complainant and witnesses, phone records, court records and reports, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that the accused officer made harassing and threatening calls to complainant; was insubordinate towards a

#### DECEMBER 2011

superior; was verbally abusive towards a superior; attempted to physically confront a superior; was arrested on two counts of Violation of an Order of Protection; gave a false statement to IPRA during its investigation; and that the officer's overall actions were contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. Further, based upon statements by the accused officer, complainant and witnesses, electronic correspondences, court records and reports, IPRA recommended a finding of "UNFOUNDED" for the allegation that the accused officer violated an Emergency Order of Protection when she sent complainant two emails. Based upon statements by the accused officer, complainant and witnesses, phone records, court records and reports, IPRA recommended a finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegation that the accused officer violated an Emergency Order of Protection when she made phone calls to complainant. IPRA recommended separation from the department for Officer A.

## Log/C.R. No. 1016622

On May 17, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred in Niles, IL on May 17, 2008. It was alleged that an Chicago Police Department (CPD) officer was intoxicated while off-duty; was in possession of a firearm while consuming alcohol; physically maltreated the complainants; threatened to kill a complainant; pointed a firearm at the same complainant; threatened to beat a Niles Police Officer; directed profanities at a Niles Police Officer; was later found guilty of two counts of Battery; and that the officer's overall actions were contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. Based upon statements by the accused officer, complainants and witnesses, medical and court records, reports and photographs, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that the accused officer was intoxicated while off-duty; was in possession of a firearm while consuming alcohol; physically maltreated the complainants; threatened to beat a Niles Police Officer; directed profanities at a Niles Police Officer; was later found guilty of two counts of Battery; and that the officer's overall actions were contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. IPRA recommended a finding of "NOT **SUSTAINED**" for allegations that the accused officer threatened to kill a complainant and pointed a firearm at the same complainant. IPRA recommended separation from the department for the accused officer.

#### DECEMBER 2011

## Log/C.R. No. 1017305

On June 12, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on June 12, 2008 in the 19<sup>th</sup> District involving one off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Sergeant (Sergeant A) and two on-duty CPD Officers (Officers B and C) and two Complainants (Complainants A and B). It was alleged that Sergeant A engaged in an unjustified physical and verbal altercation with Complainants A and B; punched Complainant A; punched Complainant B; directed profanities at Complainants A and B; failed to complete a Tactical Response Report regarding the incident; gave a false statement to IPRA during its investigation; and that his overall actions were contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. It was further alleged that Officer B failed to protect his arrestees (Complainants A and B); failed to follow general orders regarding an injured arrestee; failed to report an officer's misconduct; and provided a false report to IPRA. It was alleged that Officer C failed to protect her arrestees (Complainants A and B); failed to follow general orders regarding an injured arrestee; and failed to report and officer's misconduct. Based upon statements by the accused members, complainants and eyewitnesses, photographs, records, reports, and a video recording, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that Sergeant A engaged in an unjustified physical and verbal altercation with Complainants A and B; punched Complainant A; directed profanities at Complainants A and B; failed to complete a Tactical Response Report regarding the incident; gave a false statement to IPRA during its investigation; and that his overall actions were contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. IPRA recommended a finding of "NOT **SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that Sergeant A punched Complainant B. Based upon statements by the accused members, complainants and eyewitnesses, photographs, records, reports, and a video recording, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that Officers B and C failed to protect their arrestees (Complainants A and B) and failed to orders regarding injured an arrestee. recommended a finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegation that Officer B and C failed to report an officer's misconduct. Further, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegation that Officer B provided a false report to IPRA. IPRA recommended separation from the department for Sergeant A, a thirty (30) day suspension for Officer B, and a fifteen (15) day suspension for Officer C.

#### DECEMBER 2011

## Log/C.R. No. 1017379

On June 14, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred in the 18<sup>th</sup> District on June 14, 2008. It was alleged that an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officer engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with the complainant; falsely arrested the complainant; was working secondary employment while on a medical leave of absence; provided a false statement to IPRA during its investigation; and that his overall actions were contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. Based upon statements by the accused officer, witnesses, photographs, records, and other reports, IPRA recommended a finding of "UNFOUNDED" for allegations that the officer engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with the complainant and falsely arrested the complainant. Further, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" allegations that the officer was working secondary employment while on a medical leave of absence; provided a false statement to IPRA during its investigation; and that his overall actions were contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. IPRA recommended separation from the department for the accused officer.

# Log/C.R. No. 1019476

On August 27, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred in the 4<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) detective displayed her weapon without threatened to shoot the iustification: complainants; directed profanities at the complainants; threatened to physically harm the complainants; threatened to burn down the complainants' property; was intoxicated while off-duty; and was in possession of unregistered weapons. Based upon the statements of the accused detective, eyewitnesses, and reports, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that the accused detective displayed her weapon without justification; was intoxicated while off-duty; and was in possession of unregistered weapons. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of "NOT **SUSTAINED**" for allegations that the accused detective threatened to shoot the complainants; directed profanities at the complainants; threatened to physically harm the complainants; and threatened to burn down the complainants' property. IPRA recommended a thirty (30) day suspension for the accused detective.

#### DECEMBER 2011

## Log/C.R. No. 1023617

On February 4, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred in the 2<sup>nd</sup> District on February 4, 2009 involving one on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Sergeant (Sergeant A) and seven on-duty CPD Officers (Officers B through H). It was alleged that Sergeant A conducted an improper search; used improper force against Victim A; used improper force against Victim B; used improper force against Victim C; used improper force against Victim D; used improper force against Victim E; directed profanities at Victim A; directed profanities at Victim D; unnecessarily displayed a weapon; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A; submitted a false report; made a false statement to IPRA; disobeyed two Department Special Orders regarding searching premises; and that his overall actions were contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. It was alleged that Officer B conducted an improper search; used improper force against Victim A; used improper force against Victim B; used improper force against Victim C; used improper force against Victim D; used improper force against Victim E; directed profanities at Victim A; directed profanities at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim A; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim B; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim C; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim E; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A; submitted a false report; made a false statement to IPRA; and disobeyed two Department Special Orders regarding searching premises. It was alleged that Officer C and G conducted an improper search; used improper force against Victim A; used improper force against Victim B; used improper force against Victim C; used improper force against Victim D; used improper force against Victim E; directed profanities at Victim A; directed profanities at Victim D; unnecessarily displayed a weapon; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A; submitted a false report; and made a false statement to IPRA. It was alleged that Officer D and Officer F conducted an improper search; used improper force against Victim A; used improper force against Victim B; used improper force against Victim C; used improper force against Victim D; used improper force against Victim E; directed profanities at Victim A; directed profanities at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim A; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim B; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim C; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim D; unnecessarily

#### **DECEMBER 2011**

pointed a weapon at Victim E; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A; and made a false statement to IPRA. It was alleged that Officer E conducted an improper search; used improper force against Victim A; used improper force against Victim B; used improper force against Victim C; used improper force against Victim D; used improper force against Victim E; directed profanities at Victim A; directed profanities at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim A; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim B; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim C; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim E; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A; submitted a false report; and made a false statement to IPRA. It was alleged that Officer H conducted an improper search; used improper force against Victim A; used improper force against Victim B; used improper force against Victim C; used improper force against Victim D; used improper force against Victim E; directed profanities at Victim A; directed profanities at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim A; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim B; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim C; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim E; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; and engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A. IPRA's investigation consisted of statements by the accused members, complainants and witnesses, court records, audio transmissions, GPS records, police reports and records. IPRA recommended a finding of "UNFOUNDED" for the allegations that Sergeant A conducted an improper search; used improper force against Victim A; used improper force against Victim B; used improper force against Victim C; used improper force against Victim D; used improper force against Victim E; directed profanities at Victim A; directed profanities at Victim D; unnecessarily displayed a weapon; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; and engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that Sergeant A submitted a false report; made a false statement to IPRA; disobeyed two Department Special Orders regarding searching premises; and that his overall actions were contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, orders and directives of the Department. recommended a finding of "UNFOUNDED" for the allegations that Officer B used improper force against Victim A and used improper force against Victim C. IPRA recommended a finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegation that Officer B used improper force against Victim E. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" allegations that Officer B conducted an improper search; used improper force against

#### **DECEMBER 2011**

Victim B; used improper force against Victim D; directed profanities at Victim A; directed profanities at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim A; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim B; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim C; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim E; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A; submitted a false report; made a false statement to IPRA; and disobeyed two Department Special Orders regarding searching premises. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" allegations that Officer C conducted an improper search and made a statement to IPRA. IPRA recommended а "UNFOUNDED" for the allegations that Officer C used improper force against Victim A; used improper force against Victim B; used improper force against Victim C; used improper force against Victim D; used improper force against Victim E; directed profanities at Victim A; directed profanities at Victim D; unnecessarily displayed a weapon; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A; and submitted a false report. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" allegations that Officer D conducted an improper search and made a false statement to IPRA. IPRA recommended a finding of "UNFOUNDED" for the allegations that Officer D used improper force against Victim A; used improper force against Victim B; used improper force against Victim C; used improper force against Victim D; directed profanities at Victim A; directed profanities at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim A; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim B; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim C; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim E; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; and engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A. IPRA recommended a finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegation that Officer D used improper force against Victim E. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" allegations that Officer E conducted an improper search; submitted a false report; and made a false statement to IPRA. IPRA recommended a finding of "UNFOUNDED" for the allegations that Officer E used improper force against Victim A; used improper force against Victim B; used improper force against Victim C; used improper force against Victim D; used improper force against Victim E; directed profanities at Victim A; directed profanities at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim A; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim B; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim C; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim E; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; and engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A. IPRA

#### **DECEMBER 2011**

recommended to "SUSTAIN" allegations that Officer F conducted an improper search and made a false statement to IPRA. IPRA recommended a finding of "UNFOUNDED" for the allegations that Officer F used improper force against Victim A; used improper force against Victim B; used improper force against Victim C; used improper force against Victim D; used improper force against Victim E; directed profanities at Victim A; directed profanities at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim A; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim B; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim C; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim D; unnecessarily pointed a weapon at Victim E; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; and engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" allegations that Officer G conducted an improper search; used improper force against Victim C; unnecessarily displayed a weapon; threatened to arrest Victim A without basis; engaged in improper verbal action against Victim A; submitted a false report; and made a false statement to IPRA. IPRA recommended a finding of "UNFOUNDED" for the allegations that Officer G used improper force against Victim A; used improper force against Victim B; used improper force against Victim D; used improper force against Victim E; directed profanities at Victim A; and directed profanities at Victim D. IPRA recommended a finding of "UNFOUNDED" for all allegations against Officer H. IPRA recommended separation from the department for Sergeant A and Officers B, C, D, E, F, and G.

## Log/C.R. No. 1031273

On October 25, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred in the 5<sup>th</sup> District on October 25, 2009. It was alleged that an on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officer was inattentive to duty and improperly handled a Taser, resulting in its discharge. Based upon a mediation, the accused officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "SUSTAINED" with the recommendation of "violation noted".

## Log/C.R. No. 1032243

On November 25, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred in Bridgeview, IL on November 25, 2009. It was alleged that an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officer threatened complainant; directed profanities at her; and failed to report to CPD that he was the respondent in an Order of Protection. Based upon statements by the officer, the complainant, and court reports, IPRA recommended a finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for allegations that the

#### **DECEMBER 2011**

officer threatened complainant and directed profanities at her. Further, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegation that officer failed to notify CPD that he was a respondent to a Protection Order. IPRA recommended a three (3) day suspension for the accused officer.

## Log/C.R. No. 310927

On January 30, 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA f/k/a the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred on January 26, 2006 in the 20<sup>th</sup> District involving four on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officers (A, B, C, and D) and one on-duty CPD Sergeant (Sergeant E). It was alleged that Officer A grabbed Complainant's arms and raised them behind his back with excessive force; handcuffed Complainant too tightly; stomped Complainant on the shoulder with his foot while handcuffed on the ground; verbally abused Complainant; took Complainant's wrist watch and failed to return it; failed to complete a Tactical Response Report; and gave false information in his statements to IPRA and at his deposition. It was alleged that Officer B grabbed Complainant's arms and raised them behind his back with excessive force; threatened Complainant with jail after he was released if he continued to complain about what had occurred; failed to complete a Tactical Response Report; gave false information in his statements to IPRA and at his deposition; and conducted an improper pat-down search. It was alleged that Officer C submitted a false case report and provided a false statement to IPRA. It was alleged that Officer D submitted a false case report and provided a false statement to IPRA. It was alleged that Sergeant E failed to register a complaint with OPS/IPRA when he received allegations of misconduct made by Complainant. IPRA's investigation consisted of statements by the accused members, complainant and witnesses, OEMC and PDT transmissions, official records, medical reports and ET photographs. IPRA recommended a finding of "NOT **SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officer A grabbed Complainant's arms and raised them behind his back with excessive force; handcuffed Complainant too tightly; stomped Complainant on the shoulder with his foot while handcuffed on the ground; and took Complainant's wrist watch and failed to return it. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that Officer A verbally abused Complainant; failed to complete a Tactical Response Report; and gave false information in his statements to IPRA and at his deposition. IPRA recommended a finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegations that Officer B grabbed Complainant's arms and raised them behind his back with excessive force and threatened Complainant with jail after he was

#### DECEMBER 2011

released if he continued to complain about what had occurred. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that Officer B failed to complete a Tactical Response Report; gave false information in his statements to IPRA and at his deposition; and conducted an improper pat-down search. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that Officer C submitted a false case report and provided a false statement to IPRA. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that Officer D submitted a false case report and provided a false statement to IPRA. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegation that Sergeant E failed to register a complaint with OPS/IPRA when he received allegations of misconduct made by Complainant. IPRA recommended separation from the department for Officers A, B, D, E, and Sergeant C.

### Log/C.R. No. 1025740

On April 23, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred in the 10<sup>th</sup> District on April 22, 2009, involving two on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officers (Officer A and B) and one CPD Sergeant (Sergeant C). It was alleged that Officer A pushed Complainant A; unlawfully seized Complainant B; struck Complainant B with an expandable baton; carried/used an expandable baton without having certification; and was inattentive to duty, in that he failed to properly document the use of an expandable baton and that he carried it without having certification. It was alleged that Officer B failed to a report a CPD member's misconduct by not reporting the information and the complaints to a supervisor. It was alleged that Sergeant C threatened Complainant C with arrest without basis; grabbed Complainant C's wrist without justification; failed to report misconduct and initiate an investigation of the alleged misconduct; and was inattentive to duty in that no complaint register Log number was initiated and he (Sergeant C) approved Officer A's Tactical Response Report without making sure that the information and all the boxes in the report were properly completed. Based on statements by the accused members, witnesses, reports, records, photographs, a cell phone video recording, 911 recordings and transcripts, IPRA recommended a finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for allegation that Officer A pushed Complainant A. IPRA recommend a finding of "EXONERATED" for allegation that Officer A unlawfully seized Complainant B. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" allegations that Officer A struck Complainant B with an expandable baton; carried/used an expandable baton without having certification; and was inattentive to duty, in that he failed to properly document the use of an

#### DECEMBER 2011

expandable baton and that he carried it without having certification. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" allegations that Officer B failed to a report a CPD member's misconduct by not reporting the information and the complaints to a supervisor. IPRA recommend a finding of "EXONERATED" allegation that Sergeant C for threatened Complainant C with arrest without basis. IPRA recommended a finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegation that Sergeant C grabbed Complainant C's wrist without justification. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" allegations that Sergeant C failed to report misconduct and initiate an investigation of the alleged misconduct and was inattentive to duty in that no complaint register Log number was initiated and he (Sergeant C) approved Officer A's Tactical Response Report without making sure that the information and all the boxes in the report were properly completed. IPRA recommended a **ninety** (90) day suspension for Officer A, a one (1) day suspension for Officer B, and a fifteen (15) day suspension for Sergeant C.

## Log/C.R. No. 1033974

On February 18, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority regarding incidents that occurred between August 18, 2009 and February 18, 2010 involving one offduty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer (Officer A) and on-duty Sergeant (Sergeant B). It was alleged that Officer A threatened the victim; pointed his weapon at her; struck her about the face; physically abused her; threw her against a couch; and was under the influence of alcohol while on duty. It was also alleged that Sergeant B failed to obtain a CR number and failed to ensure that a case report was created and that an evidence technician was ordered to photograph the victim's injuries. Based on the refusal of the complainant to provide a signed affidavit, as required, IPRA entered a finding of "CLOSED-NO AFFIDAVIT" for all allegations against Officer A. Based upon a statement by an accused officer, 911 recordings, reports, and witness statements, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that Sergeant B failed to obtain a CR number and failed to ensure that a case report was created and that an evidence technician was ordered to photograph the victim's injuries. IPRA recommended a reprimand for the Sergeant B.