

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

December 2010

Log/C.R. No. 1012821

On December 21, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident occurring in the 12th District, on December 21, 2007. It was alleged that an on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officer was inattentive to duty in that his weapon discharged as he holstered it. Based on statements from the accused officer, reports, photographs, and witnesses, IPRA recommend to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the accused was inattentive to duty in that his weapon discharged as he holstered it. IPRA recommended a **reprimand for the accused member**.

Log/C.R. No. 1030203

On September 17, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident occurring in the 22nd District, on September 13, 2009. It was alleged that an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officer engaged in an unjustified altercation with a relative of the complainant's. In addition, it was alleged that the accused physical maltreated the complainant's relative in that he slapped him. Based on statements from the complainant, accused officer, reports, and witnesses, IPRA recommend to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the accused engaged in an unjustified altercation with the complainant's relative; and physically maltreated the complainant's relative in that he slapped him. IPRA recommended a **ten (10) day suspension for the accused member**.

Log/C.R. No. 1001300

On November 14, 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA f/k/a The Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident occurring in the 5th District, November 14, 2006, involving two on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officers (Officers A and B). It is alleged that Officer A discharged his weapon in violation of CPD General Orders; struck the subject on the face/head with his weapon; and made false reports regarding the details of the incident. It was also alleged that Officer B struck the subject about the head with a baton. Based on statements from the accused officers, reports, photographs, and witnesses, IPRA recommend to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A discharged his weapon in violation of CPD General Orders; and made a false report regarding the details of the incident. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that Officer A struck the subject on the face/head with his weapon. Based

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

December 2010

on statements from the accused officers, reports, photographs, and witnesses, IPRA recommend a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that Officer B struck the subject about the head with a baton. IPRA recommended that **Officer A be suspended for thirty (30) days.**

Log/C.R. No. 1009960

On October 9, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident occurring in the 3rd District, on October 9, 2007. It was alleged that an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officer violated a court Order of Protection; grabbed the complainant by her hair; struck the complainant; struck the complainant on the nose with a closed fist; referred to the complainant as a "bitch"; disobeyed a Direct Order; and failed to provide the Department with a current address. In addition, it was alleged that the accused made false statements to IPRA regarding the incident and where he resided. Based on statements from the complainant, accused officer, and witnesses, reports, audio recordings and photographs, IPRA recommend to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the accused violated a court Order of Protection; grabbed the complainant by her hair; struck the complainant; struck the complainant on the nose with a closed fist; referred to the complainant as a "bitch"; disobeyed a Direct Order; failed to provide the Department with a current address; and made false statements to IPRA. IPRA recommended **separation for the accused member from the Department.**

Log/C.R. No. 1000214

On October 4, 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA f/k/a The Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident occurring in the 8th District, on October 3, 2006, involving five on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officers (Officers A, B, C, D, and E) and one on-duty CPD commander (Commander F). It was alleged that Officer A, who was off-duty, directed profanity at the complainant and his son; pointed a weapon at the complainant and his son without justification; and twisted the complainant's finger. It was also alleged that on August 13, 2009, Officer A provided an inaccurate statement to IPRA. In addition, it was alleged that Officers B, C, D, and E detained the complainant and his son without justification; failed to perform a duty in that they left the complainant's son, a minor, unattended when they took the complainant into custody; and improperly impounded the complainant's vehicle. It was also alleged that Commander F detained

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

December 2010

the complainant without justification and improperly impounded the complainant's vehicle. The complainant also filed a civil lawsuit in which he alleged that Officer A punched, slapped, kicked and kneed him and his son; detained him and his son without justification; and was intoxicated while off-duty. In the same lawsuit, the complainant also alleged that Officers B, C, D, and E detained him and his son without justification; failed to perform a duty in that they left the complainant's son, a minor, unattended when they took the complainant into custody; and properly impounded the complainant's vehicle. In the same lawsuit, the complainant also alleged that Commander F detained him without justification and improperly impounded his vehicle. Based on statements from the accused officers, complainants, reports, audio-recordings and witnesses, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A directed profanity at the complainant and his son, and that he provided an inaccurate statement to IPRA. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officer A pointed a weapon at the complainant and his son; punched, slapped, kicked and kneed the complainant and his son; detained the complainant and his son without justification; properly impounded the complainant's car; and twisted the complainant's finger. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that Officer A was intoxicated while off-duty. Based on statements from the accused officers, reports, and witnesses, IPRA recommended a finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officers B, C, D, and E detained the complainant and his son without justification and improperly impounded the complainant's vehicle. Further, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officers B, C, D and E failed to perform a duty in that they left the complainant's son, a minor, unattended when they took complainant into custody. Based on statements from the accused officers, reports, and witnesses, IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that Commander F detained the complainant without justification and improperly impounded his car. IPRA recommended that **Officer A be suspended for sixty (60) days and that Officers B, C, D, and E each be suspended for three (3) days.**

Log/C.R. No. 1024548

On March 9, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident occurring in the 20th District, on March 9, 2009. It was alleged that an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officer was inattentive to duty, in that he accidentally discharged his weapon; and he failed to notify the

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

December 2010

Office of Emergency Management & Communications (OEMC) of a firearm discharge incident. On April 15, 2009, it was further alleged that the accused provided a false statement to IPRA relative to the incident. Based on statements from the accused officer, reports, photographs, and witnesses, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the accused was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his weapon. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that the accused failed to perform a duty in that he did not notify OEMC of a firearm discharge incident. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that the accused provided a false statement to IPRA relative to the incident. IPRA recommended a **one (1) day suspension for the accused member.**

Log/C.R. No. 1024212

On February 26, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident occurring in the 21st District, on February 25, 2009, involving two Chicago Police Department (CPD) officers (Officers A and B), both of whom were on duty. It was alleged that Officer A detained the complainants (Victims 1 and 2) without legal justification; engaged in an unnecessary physical altercation with Victim 1 by grabbing her hands/arms, placing them behind her back, and pushing her; and engaged in an unnecessary physical altercation with Victim 2 by unprofessionally/inappropriately touching/attempting to touch Victim 2's head scarf while trying to engage her in inappropriate/flirtatious conversations. It was further alleged that Officer A failed to document his contact with the complainants in a field contact card, and that he provided a false statement to IPRA on May 12, 2009. In addition, it was alleged that Officer B brought discredit upon the CPD in that he witnessed another CPD member acting in an unprofessional manner towards the complainants, but failed to take any action. Based on statements from the accused officers, video recordings, reports, and witnesses, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A detained the complainants without legal justification; engaged in an unnecessary physical contact with Victim 1 by grabbing her hands/arms, placing them behind her back, and pushing her; engaged in unnecessary physical contact with Victim 2 by unprofessionally/inappropriately touching/attempting to touch Victim 2's head scarf while trying to engage her in inappropriate/flirtatious conversations; failed to document his contact with the complainants in a field contact card; and provided a false statement to IPRA. Based on statements from the accused officers, reports, and witnesses, IPRA

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

December 2010

recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer B brought discredit upon the CPD in that he witnessed another CPD member acting in an unprofessional manner towards the complainants, but failed to take any action. IPRA recommended that **Officer A be separated from the Department** and recommended that a **one (1) day suspension for Officer B.**