

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

NOVEMBER 2010

Log/C.R. No. 1016110

On April 28, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident occurring in the 25th District, involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department officer. It was alleged that the accused on or about April 24 through 26 of 2008, harassed complainant in that he sent her several electronic communications after she informed him that she did not want him to contact her unless it was regarding their divorce proceedings. In addition, it was alleged that the accused on or about the months of May through September of 2008, disobeyed a Direct Order by contacting the complainant via e-mail and correspondence sent via USPS. It was alleged that the accused failed to properly secure his firearm during his marriage with the complainant. Based on statements from the accused officer, complainant, and electronic communications, IPRA recommend a finding of **"SUSTAIN"** for the allegation that the accused harassed complainant in that he sent her several electronic communications after she informed him that she did not want him to contact her unless it was regarding their divorce proceedings. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAIN"** for the allegation that the accused disobeyed a Direct Order by contacting the complainant via e-mail and correspondence sent via USPS. IPRA recommend a finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that the accused failed to properly secure his firearm during his marriage with the complainant. IPRA recommended a **seven (7) day suspension for the accused officer.**

Log/C.R. No. 1004532

On March 30, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA f/k/a The Office of Professional Standards), regarding a physical altercation that occurred in the 18th District, on March 2, 2007, allegedly involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department officer (Officer A), four on-duty Chicago Police Department officers (Officers B, C, D and E), an on-duty Chicago Police Department sergeant (Sergeant F), and an on-duty Chicago Police Department captain (Captain G). It was alleged that off-duty Officer A battered the complainants, and Officers B, C, D, E and Sergeant F were inattentive to duty in that they received information that the offenders who battered the complainants were possibly Department members and subsequently failed to included that

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

NOVEMBER 2010

information in the General Offense Case Report; failed to notify Captain G of allegations of misconduct against a Department member; and failed to submit a To/From Subject Report notifying the Department of the misconduct of which they had been made aware of. In addition, it was alleged that Captain G was inattentive to duty in that he received information that the offenders who battered the complainants were possibly Department members and subsequently failed to include that information in the General Offense Case Report; failed to submit a To/From Subject Report notifying the Department of the misconduct of which he had been made aware of; failed to ensure a Complaint Register Number was initiated after being informed that a Department member was possibly the offender in a battery; failed to ensure the related General Offense Case Report was properly submitted to the appropriate District Review Office in a timely matter; and brought discredit upon the Department when he was made aware of possible misconduct by a Department member, failed to report the incident, and failed to properly direct his subordinates. Based on statements from accused members and witnesses, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A battered the complainants. Based on statements from the accused members and witnesses, IPRA recommend a finding of **"SUSTAIN"** for the allegations that the accused Officers B, C and Sergeant F were inattentive to duty in that they received information that the offenders who battered the complainants were possibly Department members and subsequently failed to include that information in the General Offense Case Report. Based on statements from the accused members and witnesses, IPRA recommend a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegations that the accused Officers D and E were inattentive to duty in that they received information that the offenders who battered the complainants were possibly Department members and subsequently failed to include that information in the General Offense Case Report. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that the accused Officers B, C, D, E and Sergeant F failed to notify Captain G of allegations of misconduct against a Department member. Based on statements from the accused members, IPRA recommend a finding of **"SUSTAIN"** for the allegation that the accused Officers B, C and Sergeant F failed to submit a To/From Subject Report notifying the Department of the misconduct of which they had been made aware of. Based on statements from the accused members, IPRA

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

NOVEMBER 2010

recommend a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that the accused Officers D and E failed to submit a To/From Subject Report notifying the Department of the misconduct of which they had been made aware of. Based on statements from the accused, report, and witnesses, IPRA recommend a finding of **"SUSTAIN"** for the allegation that Captain G was inattentive to duty in that he received information that the offenders who battered the complainants were possibly Department members and subsequently failed to include that information in the General Offense Case Report. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAIN"** for the allegations that Captain G failed to submit a To/From Subject Report notifying the Department of the misconduct of which he had been made aware of and failed to ensure the related General Offense Case Report was properly submitted to the appropriate District Review Office in a timely matter. Based on statements from the accused, report, and witnesses, IPRA recommend a finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that Captain G failed to ensure the related General Offense Case Report was properly submitted to the appropriate District Review Office in a timely matter. Based on statements from the accused, report, and witnesses, IPRA recommend a finding of **"SUSTAIN"** for the allegation that Captain G brought discredit upon the Department when he was made aware of possible misconduct by a Department member, failed to report the incident, and failed to properly direct his subordinates. IPRA recommended a **ten (10) day suspension for the accused captain**, recommended a **ten (10) day suspension for the accused sergeant**, and recommended a **violation be noted for the accused Officers A and B**.

Log/C.R. No. 1007014

On June 27, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA f/k/a The Office of Professional Standards), regarding incidents occurring in the 4th District, on May 2, 2007 and June 27, 2007, involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officer. It was alleged that in the former marital home of the accused and estranged wife (complainant), the officer, on May 2, 2007, pushed his estranged wife's father and pushed his estranged wife. In addition, it was alleged that in a public place and during a domestic altercation on June 27, 2007, the officer yelled various profanities towards his estranged wife's father; grabbed and pushed him; yanked complainant's vehicle key and remote out of

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

NOVEMBER 2010

his (complainant) hand; threw complainant's vehicle key and remote onto the grass when he (complainant) wanted them returned; and violated a court order by verbally forcing his minor son to go with him (accused). It is also alleged that on that date the officer yelled profanities at his estranged wife via telephone. Finally, it was alleged that at on or about July 20, 2007, the accused officer was served with an Emergency Order of Protection and the accused failed to notify the Chicago Police Department by immediately preparing the required To-From-Subject Report. Based on statements from the accused officer, and witnesses, IPRA recommend a finding of **"SUSTAIN"** for the June 27, 2007 allegations that the accused yelled various profanities towards his estranged wife's father; grabbed and pushed complainant; yanked complainant's vehicle key and remote out of his (complainant) hand; threw complainant's vehicle key and remote onto the grass when he (complainant) wanted them returned; and violated a court order by verbally forcing his minor son to go with him (accused). Due to insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations, IPRA recommended a finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that the accused pushed his estranged wife's father and pushed his estranged wife on May 2, 2007, and yelled profanities to his estranged wife via telephone on June 27, 2007. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAIN"** for the allegation that the accused was served with an Emergency Order of Protection and the accused failed to notify the Department by immediately preparing the require To-From-Subject Report. IPRA recommended a twenty **(20) day suspension for the accused member.**

Log/C.R. No. 1014471

On February 26, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident occurring in the 4th District, on February 18, 2008, involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department officer. It was alleged that the accused forcefully pulled the screen/storm door open causing damage to it; pushed his minor daughter inside the house; struck a table with overnight bags and broke decorative items that were on the table; verbally abused his estranged wife; and violated a Court Order during a domestic altercation at the former marital residence. In addition, on October 29, 2008, it was alleged that the accused made a false report to IPRA. Based on statements from the accused officer, photographs, and

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

NOVEMBER 2010

witnesses, IPRA recommend a finding of **“SUSTAINED”** for the allegations that the accused forcefully pulled the screen/storm door open causing damage to it; pushed his minor daughter inside the house; verbally abused his estranged wife; violated a Court Order; and made a false report to IPRA. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of **“NOT SUSTAINED”** for the allegation that the accused struck a table with overnight bags and broke decorative items that were on the table. IPRA recommended a **thirty (30) day suspension for the accused member.**