

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

October 2014

*Log/C.R. No. 1064569*

**Notification Date:** August 29, 2013

**Location:** 11<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Excessive Force

**Summary:** In an incident involving three on-duty CPD Officers (A and B and an Unknown Officer), and a Subject, it was alleged that, after being stopped during a routine patrol, Officers A and B used intimidation tactics to persuade the Subject to plead guilty for an upcoming case, failed to thoroughly complete a field contact card, and failed to make proper notifications regarding their Subject. Additional allegations against Officer B included that he pulled the Subject's hair, punched him in the face, and threatened to shoot the Subject. It was further alleged that the Unknown Officer also threatened to shoot the Subject.

**Findings:** Based on statements from the two accused Officers, the Subject, two witness officers; department reports/records, GPS data, cell-phone video, and POD video, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer A:** **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that the Officer failed to thoroughly complete a field contact card and make proper notifications regarding contact with the Subject, and a penalty of a **Reprimand**; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the alleged use of intimidation tactics to persuade the Subject to plead guilty for an upcoming case.

**Officer B:** **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that the Officer failed to thoroughly complete a field contact card and make proper notifications regarding contact with the Subject, and a penalty of a **Reprimand**; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he used intimidation tactics to persuade the Subject to plead guilty for an upcoming case, pulled the Subject's hair, punched him in the face, and threatened to shoot the Subject.

**Unknown:** A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation against the Unknown Officer.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

October 2014

### *Log/C.R. No. 1052142*

**Notification Date:** February 27, 2012

**Location:** 4<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Accidental Firearm Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have accidentally discharged his firearm which resulted in a self-inflicted injury.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **1-day suspension**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1058745*

**Notification Date:** November 15, 2012

**Location:** 11<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Excessive Force

**Summary:** In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (A and B) and a Subject, Officer A was alleged to have hit the Subject in the face, damaged the Subject's cell phone, used money recovered from the Subject to purchase lottery tickets, and failed to transport Subject immediately to the district/area of arrest. Officer B is alleged to have used money recovered from the Subject to purchase lottery tickets, failing to transport Subject immediately to the district/area of arrest, and kicking a door onto the Subject causing him to fall to the floor and sustain bruises to his body.

**Finding:** During mediation, both Officers agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation of failing to transport the Subject immediately to the district/area of arrest and a penalty of a **Reprimand**. All other allegations were "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

October 2014

*Log/C.R. No. 1062333*

**Notification Date:** May 20, 2013

**Location:** 6<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Unnecessary Physical Contact

**Summary:** In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (A and B) and a Victim of a crime (Victim), the Officers were alleged to have failed to make a police report documenting a theft and battery against the Victim, failed to ensure medical attention for the Victim, and failed to submit/complete a field contact card regarding their contact with the Victim. Additionally, Officer B was alleged to have handcuffed the Victim too tightly.

### **Finding:**

**Officer A:** During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension** for failing to make a police report documenting a theft and battery against the Victim and failing to submit/complete a field contact card regarding their contact with Victim. The allegation for failing to ensure medical attention was "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

**Officer B:** During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **Reprimand** for failing to make a police report documenting a theft and battery against the Victim and for failing to complete and submit a field contact card regarding their contact with the Victim. The allegations for failing to ensure medical attention and handcuffing the Victim too tightly were "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

October 2014

### *Log/C.R. No. 1063700*

**Notification Date:** July 21, 2013

**Location:** 8<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Excessive Force

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and a Subject, the Officer was alleged to have struck the Subject on the face and then failing to submit a Tactical Response Report regarding the use of force.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **1-day suspension**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1065021*

**Notification Date:** September 19, 2013

**Location:** 2<sup>nd</sup> District

**Complaint:** Accidental Firearm Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have accidentally discharged his firearm, which resulted in the firearm discharging through the windshield of a squadrol.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **1-day**.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

October 2014

### *Log/C.R. No. 1065826*

**Notification Date:** November 1, 2013

**Location:** 4<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Accidental Taser Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have been inattentive to duty when he accidentally discharged his Taser.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1070254*

**Notification Date:** July 10, 2014

**Location:** 18<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Accidental Taser Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Sergeant, the Sergeant was alleged to have been inattentive to duty when he accidentally discharged his Taser.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

October 2014

*Log/C.R. No. 1037367*

**Notification Date:** May 29 - June 19, 2010

**Location:** 4<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident

**Summary:** In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer, (who is a primary caregiver for the Victim, who has Parkinson's Disease) a victim and two relatives (Relative A and B), it was alleged the Officer struck the victim with a camcorder, engaged in a verbal altercation with Relative A, directed profanities towards Relative B, would not allow her to come into the victim's home to provide assistance to the victim with Parkinson's Disease, and caused the victim to fall by moving a trash can from his reach. Additional allegations include the Officer's refusal to assist or get assistance for the victim after he fell to the floor, making a false claim that victim threatened the Officer with a gun, thereby placing her in fear for her life knowing that the victim suffered from Parkinson's disease and could not hold a gun, and filed an order of protection against the victim based on false allegations in retaliation for the victim and his healthcare giver filing a elder abuse complaint of neglect against the Officer. Lastly, an elder abuse investigation "verified" that the Officer emotionally abused the victim and "indicated" that the Officer caused deprivation of care to the victim.

**Finding:** Based on statements from the accused, the victim, the two relatives, and 3 witnesses, court documents, department reports/records, OEMC transmissions, 911 records, and social service documents IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer:** A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **10-day Suspension** for the allegations that the Officer refused to assist or get assistance for the victim after he fell and the elder abuse investigation findings. "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that the Officer struck the victim with a camcorder, engaged in a verbal altercation with Relative A, directed profanities towards Relative B and did not allow her to come into the victim's home, thereby causing the victim to fall by moving a trash can from his reach, made false claims that victim threatened the Officer with a gun placing her in fear for her life, and filed an order of protection against the victim based on false allegations.