Abstracts of Sustained Cases

September 2011

Log/C.R. No. 1001242

On November 12, 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA f/k/a The Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident occurring in the 16th District, on November 12, 2006. It was alleged that an off-duty Chicago Police Department officer directed profanity towards the victim; engaged in an unjustified verbal altercation with her; spat at her; grabbed her by the neck and/or hair; failed to identify himself as a police officer; pushed a second complainant without justification; gave IPRA false statements on two occasions; and that the officer's overall actions regarding this incident and the subsequent investigation were contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. Based on statements from the accused officer, complainants, witnesses, and reports, IPRA recommend to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that the accused engaged in an unjustified verbal altercation with the victim; spat at her; grabbed her by the neck and/or hair; failed to identify himself as a police officer; gave IPRA false statement on two occasions; and that the officer's overall actions regarding this incident and the subsequent investigation were contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. Further, IPRA recommended to "NOT **SUSTAIN**" the allegation that the accused officer pushed a second complainant without justification. IPRA recommended separation for the accused member.

Log/C.R. No. 1008346

On August 12, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA f/k/a The Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident occurring in the 22nd District, on August 12, 2007 involving two on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officers (Officer A and B). It was alleged that Officers A and B received information that the offenders who battered complainants were possibly Department members and failed to generate a General Offense Case Report; failed to notify a supervisor of allegations of misconduct against Department members; failed to submit a To/From Subject Report notifying the Department of the misconduct they had been made aware of; provided false statements to IPRA on two occasions; and that their overall actions regarding this incident and the subsequent investigation had been contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. Based on statements from the accused officers, complainants and witnesses, and on reports and photographs, IPRA recommend to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that the accused officers received information that the

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

September 2011

offenders who battered complainants were possibly Department members and failed to generate a General Offense Case Report; failed to notify a supervisor of allegations of misconduct against Department members; failed to submit a To/From Subject Report notifying the Department of the misconduct they had been made aware of; provided false statements to IPRA on two occasions; and that their overall actions regarding this incident and the subsequent investigation had been contrary to the stated policy, goals, rules, regulations, orders and directives of the Department. IPRA recommended **separation for Officers A and B.**

Log/C.R. No. 1016398

On May 9, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident occurring in the 2nd District, on May 9, 2008 involving a involving an on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officer and sergeant (Officer A and Sergeant B). It was alleged that an on-duty Chicago Police Department officer pushed complainant against the fence; verbally abused him with profanities; and forcefully entered his property without consent. It was also alleged that an on-duty Chicago Police Department sergeant failed to initiate a complaint register investigation after being made aware of allegations against a department member. Based on statements from the accused officer, complainant and witnesses, and on reports, IPRA recommend a finding of "NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegations that the accused officer pushed complainant against the fence and forcefully entered his property without consent. Further, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegation that Officer A verbally abused him with profanities. Based on statements from the accused members and witnesses, and an audio recording and reports, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegation that Sergeant B failed to initiate a complaint register investigation after being made aware of allegations against a department member. IPRA recommended a two (2) day suspension for Officer A and a one (1) day suspension for Sergeant B.