## **Abstracts of Sustained Cases**

### AUGUST 2013

# Log/C.R. No. 1036882

On June 4, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding domestic incidents involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer that occurred between June 3, 2010 and June 4, 2010 in the 5<sup>th</sup> District. It was alleged that the accused Officer slapped Victim A on the face and struck him on the head with her gun and verbally abused Victim B, her minor daughter, on June 3, 2010. It was further alleged that the Officer physically maltreated Victim A when she shot him with a gun; struck Victim A on the head with a gun; failed to secure weapons in her home; had an unauthorized and unregistered weapon in her home; and endangered the life her child by having an unsecured weapon in her home on June 4, 2010. IPRA recommended to "NOT SUSTAIN" the allegations that the accused Officer slapped Victim A on the face and struck him on the head with her gun on June 3, 2010; verbally abused Victim B on June 3, 2010; and struck Victim A on the head with a gun on June 4, 2010. IPRA recommended a finding of "EXONERATED" for the allegation that the accused Officer physically maltreated Victim A when she shot him with a gun on June 4, 2010. Based upon a mediation, the accused Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegations that she failed to secure weapons in her home; had an unauthorized and unregistered weapon in her home; and endangered the life her child by having an unsecured weapon in her home on June 4, 2010. The Officer agreed through mediation to accept a suspension of fifteen (15) days.

# Log/C.R. No. 1028126

On July 13, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding a domestic incident involving two off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officers (Complainant Officer A and Complainant Officer B) that occurred on July 13<sup>th</sup>, 2009 in the 4<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> Districts. It was alleged that Complainant Officer A engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with Complainant Officer B, in that he struck or punched her on the chest, neck, back and face; removed Complainant Officer B's duty weapon, money and car keys from the residence without authorization; left three minor children without care and adult supervision; and brought discredit upon the Department in that he was arrested for Theft and for Contributing to the Neglect of a Child. It was alleged that Complainant Officer B engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with Complainant Officer A in that she punched him on the head and struck him on the face; attempted to prevent Complainant Officer A from reporting the incident; and brought discredit upon the Department in that she was

## **Abstracts of Sustained Cases**

#### AUGUST 2013

arrested for Domestic Battery. Based on statements from the accused Officers, witnesses, photographs, Department reports, and Court, OEMC and medical records, IPRA recommended a finding of "UNFOUNDED" for the allegation that Complainant Officer A left three minor children without care and adult supervision. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that Complainant Officer A engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with Complainant Officer B in that he struck or punched her on the chest, neck, back and face; removed Complainant Officer B's duty weapon, money and car keys from the residence without authorization; and brought discredit upon the Department in that he was arrested for Theft and for Contributing to the Neglect of a Child. Further, IPRA recommended to "NOT SUSTAIN" the allegation that Complainant Officer B attempted to prevent Complainant Officer A from reporting the incident. IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegations that Complainant Officer B engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with Complainant Officer A in that she punched him on the head and struck him on the face; and brought discredit upon the Department in that she was arrested for Domestic Battery. IPRA recommended a ten (10) day suspension for Complainant Officer A and a five (5) day suspension for Complainant Officer B.