

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1066622

Notification Date: December 16, 2013

Location: 6th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and a Subject, it was alleged that the Officer threw the Subject off a Chicago Transit Authority (CTA) bus into the snow, directed profanities at him and punched/struck him about the face.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **3-day Suspension** for the allegation that he punched/struck the Subject about the face; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that he threw the Subject off the CTA bus into the snow and directed profanities at the Subject.

Log/C.R. No. 1045029

Notification Date: April 28, 2011

Location: 2nd District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and a Complainant; it was alleged that the Officer engaged in an unjustified verbal and physical altercation with the Complainant. During the altercation, it was alleged that that the Officer slapped the Complainant about the face and had the Complainant falsely arrested for Domestic Battery. The involved Sergeant is alleged to have received allegations of misconduct against the Officer and failed to initiate an investigation into these allegations.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused; photographs, and court documents IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that the Officer engaged in an unjustified verbal and physical altercation with the Complainant, slapped the Complainant about the face, and had the Complainant falsely arrested for Domestic Battery.

Sergeant: During mediation, the Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **Violation Noted** for the

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

allegation that he failed to initiate an investigation into the allegations of misconduct against the Officer.

Log/C.R. No. 1055541

Notification Date: July 15, 2012

Location: 16th District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and a minor/Victim, the Officer was alleged to have struck the minor/Victim with a piece of wood, punched the minor/Victim about the body, kicked the minor/Victim repeatedly about the body, grabbed the minor/Victim by the minor/Victim's hair and slammed the minor/Victim's head against the floor. It is further alleged that the Officer verbally abused the minor/Victim in that the Officer directed profanities at the minor/Victim, stated words to the effect of "You are not human and do not deserve food and water," handcuffed the minor/Victim to a door, left the premises after handcuffing the minor/Victim to a door, and photographed the minor/Victim while the minor/Victim was handcuffed to a door. Finally, it is alleged that on another occasion, the Officer verbally abused and threatened the minor/Victim.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **5-day Suspension** for the allegations that the Officer handcuffed the minor/Victim to a door and left the premises after restraining the minor/Victim by handcuffing the minor/Victim to a door; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that the Officer struck the minor/Victim with a piece of wood, punched the minor/Victim about the body, kicked the minor/Victim repeatedly about the body, grabbed the minor/Victim by the minor/Victim's hair and slammed the minor/Victim's head against the floor, directed profanities at the minor/Victim, stated words to the effect of, "You are not human and do not deserve food and water," photographed the minor/Victim while the minor/Victim was handcuffed to a door, verbally abused and threatened the minor/Victim.

Log/C.R. No. 1042275

Notification Date: December 25, 2010

Location: 15th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officer A and Field Training Officer (FTO) B), a Complainant/Victim, and another Victim (Victim), it was alleged that Officer A repeatedly struck the Victim about the body, struck the Complainant/Victim in the eye causing the Complainant/Victim's head to hit the glass of a restaurant window, placed the Complainant/Victim and the Victim in his police vehicle and drove them around without justification, threatened to drop the Complainant/Victim and the Victim off in a different neighborhood other than where they lived, and failed to complete and submit a field contact card. Also, it is alleged that FTO B failed to complete and submit a field contact card.

Finding:

Officer A: During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **Violation Noted** for the allegation that he failed to complete and submit a field contact card; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that the Officer A repeatedly struck the Victim about the body, struck the Complainant/Victim in the eye causing the Complainant/Victim's head to hit the glass of the restaurant window, drove the Complainant/Victim and the Victim around in his police vehicle without justification and threatened to drop the Complainant/Victim and the Victim off in a different neighborhood other than where they lived.

FTO B: Based on statements from the accused Officers, the Complainant/Victim and the Victim; and department records, IPRA recommended a finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension** for the allegation that FTO B failed to complete and submit a field contact card.

Log/C.R. No. 1033544

Notification Date: February 1, 2010

Location: 25th District

Complaint: Physical Altercation

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officer A and Complainant/Officer B), it was alleged that while working a beat, Officer A engaged in an unjustified verbal and physical altercation with the Complainant/Officer B. During the altercation, it was alleged that Officer A directed profanities and language involving sexual orientation

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

at the Complainant/Officer B, shoved the Complainant/Officer B in the chest, and chest bumped the Complainant/Officer B. Complainant/Officer B is alleged to have engaged in an unjustified verbal and physical altercation when the Complainant/Officer B chest bumped and directed multiple profanities towards the Officer A. Finally, it was alleged that both the Officer A and the Complainant/Officer B brought discredit upon the department by engaging in an unjustified verbal and physical altercation in the presence of other department members.

Finding:

Officer A: During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension** for the allegations that Officer A engaged in unjustified physical and verbal altercation where Officer A directed profanities and language involving sexual orientation at the Complainant/Officer B, shoved the Complainant/Officer B in the chest, chest bumped the Complainant/Officer B, and brought discredit upon the department by engaging in an unjustified verbal and physical altercation in the presence of other department members.

Complainant/Officer B: Based on statements from the accused Officers, the witnesses; and department reports/records, IPRA recommended a finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **2-day Suspension** for the allegations that the Complainant/Officer B directed multiple profanities towards Officer A and brought discredit upon the department by engaging in an unjustified verbal and physical altercation in the presence of other Department members; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that the Complainant/Officer B engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with Officer A.

Log/C.R. No. 1045628

Notification Date: May 24, 2011

Location: 6th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving three on-duty CPD members (Officer A, B and C) and a Complainant; it was alleged that, while responding to a domestic call, Officer A and B failed to secure Complainant's safety in that, while the Complainant was intoxicated, they transported the Complainant to an unsecured location, failed to

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

complete a contact card for their contact with the Complainant, and observed police misconduct and failed to report it to the Department. Also, it is alleged that Officer C struck the Complainant on the body with a baton, failed to complete a field contact card or tactical response report for Officer C's contact with the Complainant and failed to secure the Complainant's safety in that, while the Complainant was intoxicated, Officer C transported the Complainant to an unsecured location. It is further alleged that Officer C provided the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) with a false statement regarding the Complainant's conduct, Officer C's overall actions during the incident and Officer C's account that he did not strike the Complainant with a baton.

Findings:

Officer A: During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension** for the allegations that Officer A failed to secure the Complainant's safety in that, while the Complainant was intoxicated, Officer A transported the Complainant to an unsecured location, failed to complete a contact card for her contact with the Complainant, and observed police misconduct and failed to report it to the Department.

Officer B: During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **3-day Suspension** for the allegations that Officer B failed to secure the Complainant's safety in that, while the Complainant was intoxicated, Officer B transported the Complainant to an unsecured location, failed to complete a contact card for her contact with the Complainant, and observed police misconduct and failed to report it to the Department.

Officer C: During mediation, Officer C agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **15-day Suspension** for the allegations that Officer C struck the Complainant on the body with a baton, failed to complete a field contact card or tactical response report for Officer C's contact with the Complainant, provided IPRA with a false statement, and failed to secure the Complainant's safety in that, while the Complainant was intoxicated, Officer C transported the Complainant to an unsecured location.

Log/C.R. No. 1067564

Notification Date: February 17, 2014

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

Location: 1st District

Complaint: Firearm Discharge

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty Sergeant, the Sergeant was alleged to have failed to secure his weapon and discharged his weapon inside the station.

Finding: During mediation, the Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1052620

Notification Date: March 16, 2012

Location: 20th District

Complaint: Physical Altercation

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and a Sergeant, it was alleged that the Sergeant pushed the Officer, which resulted in the Officer falling backwards and striking the Officer's back on the edge of the desk. Also, it is alleged that the Sergeant directed profanities towards the Officer.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Sergeant, the Officer, the witnesses; and medical records, IPRA recommended the following:

Sergeant: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **3-day Suspension** for the allegations that the Sergeant pushed and directed profanities at the Officer.

Log/C.R. No. 1054393

Notification Date: May 30, 2012

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officers A and B), and a Complainant, it was alleged that Officer A struck the Complainant on the right side of the face without justification, unlawfully searched the Complainant, and failed to document his contact with the Complainant. Officer B is alleged to have directed

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

profanities at the Complainant, unlawfully searched the Complainant, failed to document his contact with the Complainant, witnessed police misconduct and failed to report it to the Department.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officers, the Complainant; department records/reports, court documents, and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **15-day Suspension** for the allegations that Officer A struck the Complainant on the right side of the face without justification, unlawfully searched the Complainant, and failed to document his contact with the Complainant.

Officer B: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **10-day Suspension** for the allegations that Officer B witnessed police misconduct and failed to report it to the department and failed to document his contact with the Complainant; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that Officer B directed profanities at the Complainant; **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that Officer B unlawfully searched the Complainant.

Log/C.R. No. 1040324

Notification Date: October 2, 2010

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and a Complainant, it was alleged the Officer punched the Complainant in the face three times, pushed the Complainant, threatened the Complainant, accessed the Complainant's criminal history record information for non-department related purposes, and was arrested for two active criminal warrants for domestic battery.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **7-day Suspension** for the allegations that the Officer accessed the Complainant's criminal history record information for non-department related purposes, and was arrested for two active criminal warrants for domestic battery; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that the Officer punched the Complainant about the face three times, pushed the Complainant and

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

threatened the Complainant.

Log/C.R. No. 1044541

Notification Date: April 6, 2011

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officers A and B), an Unknown Officer, and a Subject; it was alleged that Officer A struck the Subject on the head with a flashlight, dragged the Subject out of the police vehicle, and kicked and/or stomped the Subject about the body. Officer B was alleged to have choked the Subject, struck the Subject about the face, dragged the Subject out of the police vehicle and kicked and/or stomped the Subject about the body. Finally, it is alleged that an Unknown Officer kicked the Subject.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officers, the Subject, and the witnesses; department records/reports, photographs, and medical records, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **7-day Suspension** for the allegations that Officer A struck the Subject on the head with a flashlight and kicked and/or stomped the Subject about the body; "**EXONERATED**" for the allegation that Officer A dragged the Subject out of the police vehicle.

Officer B: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **5-day Suspension** for the allegations that Officer B choked the Subject and struck the Subject about the face; "**EXONERATED**" for the allegation that Officer B dragged the Subject out of the police vehicle; "**UNFOUNDED**" for the allegation that Officer B kicked and/or stomped the Subject about the body.

Unknown Officer: A finding of "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that the Unknown Officer kicked the Subject.

Log/C.R. No. 1060361

Notification Date: February 25, 2013

Location: 25th District

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and a minor/Victim, it was alleged that the Officer physically abused the minor/Victim when the Officer struck the minor/Victim about the thighs with a belt.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officer and the witness; medical records, photographs and a report, IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation and a penalty of a **5-day Suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1040966

Notification Date: October 25, 2010

Location: Hillside, Illinois

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and a Complainant, it was alleged that the Officer verbally abused the Complainant by directing profanities at the Complainant, punched the Complainant on the face and struck the Complainant about the head and body. Also, it is alleged that the Officer was arrested by the Hillside Police Department for aggravated battery to a security guard and aggravated battery in a public place. It is further alleged that the Officer was found guilty of a misdemeanor battery. Finally, it is alleged that the Officer's overall actions brought discredit upon the Chicago Police Department.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all the allegations and a penalty of a **30-day Suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1042847

Notification Date: January 20, 2011

Location: 11th District

Complaint: Neglect of Duty

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Lieutenant, it was alleged that the Lieutenant failed to collect, preserve, and submit evidence in a manner consistent with a Department General Order. It

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

is also alleged that the Lieutenant failed to wear a fresh pair of rubber gloves before touching evidence in violation of a Department General Order. Also, it is alleged that the Lieutenant violated a General Order when the Lieutenant failed to direct a department member who recovered the evidence to inventory the evidence and document the recovery in a supplementary report. Finally, it is alleged that the Lieutenant failed to implement all policies, goals, rules, regulations, orders, and directives of the Department by his overall actions in that the Lieutenant failed to properly supervise an unidentified officer who the Lieutenant believed recovered evidence from the subject.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused, the witnesses; department orders, and photographs, IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **10-day Suspension** for all the allegations.

Log/C.R. No. 1055533

Notification Date: July 14, 2012

Location: 1st District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and a Complainant; it was alleged that the Officer misused her official position by placing her uniform shirts in the windshield of her personal vehicle. It is also alleged that when the Complainant inquired about the shirts in the windshield, the Officer struck the Complainant's camera causing the camera to strike the Complainant in the face. Finally, it is alleged that the Officer failed to complete a field contact card after having contact with the Complainant.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **Violation Noted** for the allegations that the Officer misused her official position by placing her uniform shirts in the windshield of her personal vehicle and failed to complete a field contact card after having contact with the Complainant; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that the Officer struck the Complainant's camera causing the camera to strike the Complainant in the face.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1060344

Notification Date: February 25, 2013

Location: 8th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and two Complainants (Complainant 1 and Complainant 2), it was alleged that the Officer engaged in an unjustified physical altercation while off-duty. During that altercation, it was alleged that the Officer pushed Complainant 1 to the ground, kicked Complainant 1 in the stomach, tackled Complainant 2 to the ground, kicked Complainant 2 about the head and body, and engaged in an unjustified verbal altercation with Complainant 2. It is also alleged that the Officer was intoxicated in public, failed to cooperate with the investigation, failed to follow a direct order to return to the district to submit a breathalyzer, and failed to complete department reports relative to the Officer's physical contact with the Complainants. Finally, it is alleged that the Officer brought discredit to the Department by his overall conduct.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **15-day Suspension** for the allegations that the Officer was intoxicated in public, failed to cooperate in an investigation, failed to follow a direct order to return to the district to submit to a breathalyzer test, failed to complete department records relative to the Officer's physical contact with the Complainants, and that the Officer brought discredit to the Department by his overall conduct; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that the Officer engaged in an unjustified physical altercation while off-duty, pushed Complainant 1 to the ground, kicked Complainant 1 in the stomach, tackled Complainant 2 to the ground, kicked Complainant 2 about the head and body, and engaged in an unjustified verbal altercation with Complainant 2.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1063371

Notification Date: July 8, 2013

Location: 2nd District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and a Complainant, the Officer was alleged to have engaged in a verbal and physical altercation with the Complainant, struck the Complainant on the side of his faces, and directed profanities at the Complainant.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

Log/C.R. No. 1032641

Notification Date: December 19, 2009

Location: 6th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and a Complainant, the Officer was alleged to have thrown the Complainant to the ground, placed his knee on the Complainant's neck pinning him down, and failed to complete a tactical response report.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused and department records, IPRA recommended a finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **Reprimand** for the allegation that the Officer failed to complete a tactical response report; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that the Officer threw the Complainant to the ground and placed his knee on the Complainant's neck pinning him down.

Log/C.R. No. 1068354

Notification Date: April 2, 2014

Location: 3rd District

Complaint: Accidental Taser Discharge

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have accidentally discharged his Taser.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Violation Noted**.

Log/C.R. No. 1054693

Notification Date: June 12, 2012

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Neglect of Duty

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty Commander, it was alleged that the Commander violated general orders by failing to submit an Illinois Department of Corrections Report of Extraordinary or Unusual Occurrences (IDOC-REUO) to the State of Illinois' Office of Jail and Detention Standards within 72 hours of an incident or its discovery when a Subject sustained an injury while the Subject was in custody, failing to ensure immediate notification by telephone to the operations command and the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding the Subject having sustained an injury while the Subject was in custody, failing to ensure that the IDOC-REUO was completed before the Commander's end of tour regarding the Subject having sustained an injury while the Subject was in custody, failed to forward a copy of the completed IDOC-REUO to the records inquiry section prior to the end of the Commander's shift, failed to forward to the district commander or the commanding officer of central detention the completed IDOC-REUO, failed to review the completed IDOC-REUO and all accompanying reports for completeness, accuracy, and indicate approval by placing the Commander's signature in the space below the shift commander approval line. Finally, it is alleged that the Commander failed to forward the completed IDOC-REUO and all pertinent reports to the responsible deputy chief of patrol division area, and failed to retain a copy of the IDOC-REUO package in the unit of occurrence in accordance with existing records-retention requirements regarding a Subject having sustained an injury while in custody.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused and department orders, IPRA recommended a finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **10-day Suspension** for all the allegations.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1061081

Notification Date: March 31, 2013

Location: Harwood Heights, IL

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and two Complainants (Complainant 1 and Complainant 2), the Officer was alleged to have engaged in an unjustified physical and verbal altercation with the Complainants. During that altercation, it is alleged that the Officer was intoxicated while off-duty, had his weapon on him while he was intoxicated, failed to secure his weapon and pointed his weapon at the Complainants without justification. It is also alleged that the Officer pushed the barrel of his weapon into Complainant 1's head without justification, pushed the barrel of his weapon into Complainant 2's head without justification and accidentally discharged his weapon without justification when the complainant(s) attempted to take his gun from him.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **160-day Suspension** for the allegations that he was intoxicated while off-duty, engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with the Complainants, had his weapon on him while he was intoxicated, failed to secure his weapon, pointed his weapon at the Complainants without justification, pushed the barrel of his weapon into Complainant 1's head without justification and pushed the barrel of his weapon into Complainant 2's head without justification; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that he engaged in an unjustified verbal altercation with the Complainants and he discharged his weapon without justification.

Log/C.R. No. 1042663

Notification Date: January 12, 2011

Location: 7th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officer A and B), an Unknown Officer, a Complainant and three Subjects (Subject 1, 2, and 3). Officer A was alleged to have punched Subject 1 about the face, back, and body, slammed Subject 1 against the wall, dragged Subject 1 across the floor, slapped

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

Subject 1 about the face, directed profanities at Subject 1, directed profanities at Subject 2, and made racial comments to the individuals inside the residence. It also alleged that the Officer A was inattentive to duty because Subject 1 escaped from police custody, failed to make the required notifications relative to Subject 1's escape, and was inattentive to duty because he omitted the fact in departmental records that Subject 1 escaped from custody. Officer B is alleged to have kicked Subject 1 about the face and body, punched Subject 1 about the face, directed profanities at Subject 1, dragged Subject 1 across the ground, and observed police misconduct and failed to report it. Also, it is alleged that Officer B was inattentive to duty because Subject 1 escaped from police custody, was inattentive to duty because he omitted that Subject 1 escaped from police custody in departmental reports, and failed to make the required notifications relative to Subject 1's escape. Finally, it is alleged that an Unknown Officer punched Subject 3 and pushed the Complainant.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused, the Complainant, Subject 1; department records/reports, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **150-day Suspension** for the allegations that Officer A was inattentive to duty in that Subject 1 escaped from police custody, failed to make the required notifications relative to the escape of Subject 1, and was inattentive to duty in that Officer A omitted the fact that Subject 1 escaped from police custody in departmental records; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he punched Subject 1 about the face, back, and body, slammed Subject 1 against the wall, dragged Subject 1 across the floor, slapped Subject 1 about the face, directed profanities at Subject 1, directed profanities at Subject 2, and made racial comments to the individuals inside the residence.

Officer B: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **150-day Suspension** for the allegations that the Officer B was inattentive to duty in that Subject 1 escaped from police custody, failed to make the required notifications relative to the escape of Subject 1, and was inattentive to duty in that Officer B omitted the fact that Subject 1 escaped from police custody in departmental records; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officer B kicked Subject 1 about the face and body, punched Subject 1 about the face,

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

directed profanities at Subject 1, dragged Subject 1 across the ground, and observed police misconduct and failed to report it.

Unknown Officer: A finding of “**NOT SUSTAINED**” for the allegations that the Unknown Officer punched Subject 3 and pushed the Complainant.

Log/C.R. No. 1036341

Notification Date: May 16, 2010

Location: 7th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving three on-duty CPD Officers (Officer A, B and C), and two juvenile/Subjects (juvenile/Subject 1 and juvenile/Subject 2), Officer A was alleged to have used improper force by punching juvenile/Subject 1, used improper force by punching juvenile/Subject 2, entered and searched juvenile/Subject 1's residence without justification, pointed his firearm at juvenile/Subject 1 without justification, pointed his firearm at juvenile/Subject 2 without justification, failed to complete a tactical response report regarding his physical contact with juvenile/Subject 1 and failed to complete a tactical response report regarding his physical contact with juvenile/Subject 2. Also, it is alleged that Officer A unlawfully detained juvenile/Subject 1, unlawfully detained juvenile/Subject 2, falsely arrested juvenile/Subject 1, falsely arrested juvenile/Subject 2, caused the malicious prosecution of juvenile/Subject 1 and caused the malicious prosecution of juvenile/Subject 2. Officer B and C are alleged to have violated several department general orders including failing to transport juvenile/Subject 1, who required medical care? to the nearest emergency room, failing to transport juvenile/Subject 2, who required medical care, to the nearest emergency room, and failed to prepare a written report to the commanding officer regarding misconduct observed against juvenile/Subject 2. Also, Officer B and C are alleged to have unlawfully detained juvenile/Subject 1, unlawfully detained the juvenile/Subject 2, falsely arrested juvenile/Subject 1, falsely arrested juvenile/Subject 2, failed to protect juvenile/Subject 1, failed to protect juvenile/Subject 2, maliciously prosecuted juvenile/Subject 1 and maliciously prosecuted juvenile/Subject 2.

Finding: During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

JULY 2014

finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **3-day Suspension** for the allegations that Officer A used improper force by punching juvenile/Subject 1, used improper force by punching juvenile/Subject 2, failed to complete a tactical response report regarding his physical contact with juvenile/Subject 1 and failed to complete a tactical response report regarding his physical contact with juvenile/Subject 2; **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegations that he searched juvenile/Subject 1's residence without justification, pointed his firearm at juvenile/Subject 1 without justification, pointed his firearm at juvenile/Subject 2 without justification, unlawfully detained juvenile/Subject 1, unlawfully detained juvenile/Subject 2, falsely arrested juvenile/Subject 1, falsely arrested juvenile/Subject 2, caused the malicious prosecution of juvenile/Subject 1, and caused the malicious prosecution of juvenile/Subject 2.

Officer B and C: During mediation Officer B and Officer C agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **Reprimand** for the allegations that they violated several department general orders including the they failed to transport juvenile/Subject 1, who required medical care, to the nearest emergency room, failed to transport juvenile/Subject 2, who required medical care, to the nearest emergency room, and failed to prepare a written report to the commanding officer regarding misconduct observed against juvenile/Subject 2; **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegations that they unlawfully detained juvenile/Subject 1, unlawfully detained juvenile/Subject 2, falsely arrested juvenile/Subject 1, falsely arrested juvenile/Subject 2, failed to protect juvenile/Subject 1, failed to protect juvenile/Subject 2, maliciously prosecuted juvenile/Subject 1, and maliciously prosecuted juvenile/Subject 2.