## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

**JULY 2010** 

## Log/C.R. No. 1016443

On 10 May 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident that occurred in the 11th District, on May 10, 2008, in which an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) officer allegedly stuck her adult daughter with a belt. It was also alleged that the officer failed to cooperate with the police investigation regarding this incident and made a false report to IPRA. Because the complainant did not give a sworn affidavit regarding the incident with the belt, IPRA recommended that this allegation be classified as "NO AFFIDAVIT". However, based on witness statements from other CPD officers and personnel, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegation that the accused officer failed to cooperate with a police investigation and to "SUSTAIN" the allegation that she gave a false statement to IPRA regarding the incident. IPRA recommended a penalty of thirty (30) days suspension.

## Log/C.R. No. 1023965

On 17 February 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident that occurred in the 8th District, on February 17, 2009, during which an on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) sergeant allegedly used improper force against an underage subject by punching him in the face with a clenched fist without justification; verbally abused the subject and his brother by subjecting them to racial slurs; and directed profanities at the subject's brother. It was also alleged that the accused made false statements to IPRA when he denied the previous allegations. Based on documented facial contusions suffered by the subject, statements given by each of the brothers in separate interviews, which corroborate one another, as well as further corroborating statements given to their mother, another CPD sergeant, and various medical personnel, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegation that the accused used improper force against the subject when the officer punched him in the face. Furthermore, based on the brothers' corroborating statements given separately regarding the incident, IPRA recommended to "SUSTAIN" the allegation that the accused verbally abused the subjects by subjecting them to racial slurs and to "SUSTAIN" the allegation that the accused directed profanities at the brother. Lastly, based on a preponderance of the evidence, IPRA recommended to

## **Abstracts of Sustained Cases**

**JULY 2010** 

"SUSTAIN" the allegation that the accused gave false statements to IPRA by denying the aforementioned allegations. IPRA recommended a penalty of forty-five (45) days suspension.