

ABSTRACTS OF SUSTAINED CASES

JULY 2009

Log/C.R. No. 1022778

On 31 December 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority, regarding an incident occurring in the 9th District. It was alleged that an on-duty Chicago Police Department officer directed profanities at and/or engaged in verbal abuse of the complainant, directed profanities at a victim, and used improper force against the complainant. Based on corroborating witness statements, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the accused officer directed profanities at and/or engaged in verbal abuse of the complainant and directed profanities at a victim. Based on witness statements and the statements of the accused officer, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the accused officer used improper force against the complainant. IPRA recommended a **twenty (20) day suspension for the accused member.**

Log/C.R. No. 314618

On 01 August 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, f/k/a The Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident occurring in the 22nd District. It was alleged that an on-duty Chicago Police Department officer was in possession of and discharged a semi-automatic pistol equipped with a laser sight in violation of Department policy and that he fatally shot a victim without provocation or justification. It was further alleged that the first accused officer and his partner (second accused officer) refused to cooperate with the IPRA investigation, subsequent to the shooting. Based on corroborating witness statements and Illinois State Police laboratory results, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the first accused officer was in possession of and discharged a semi-automatic pistol equipped with a laser sight in violation of Department policy. Based on physical evidence and witness and officer statements, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the first accused officer fatally shot a victim without provocation or justification, based on available statements and physical evidence there was insufficient evidence to establish whether the shooting complied with CPD policy. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the subsequent allegations that both accused officers disobeyed a direct order and brought discredit/disrepute to the Department by their failure to cooperate with the IPRA investigation. IPRA recommended **separation for the accused members.** Prior to the conclusion of the IPRA investigation, the accused members resigned from the Chicago Police Department.