

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

June 2008

Log No. / C.R. No. 1005099

On 22 April 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred in the 13th District, in which an off-duty Chicago Police Department officer allegedly failed to secure her firearm during a domestic dispute. Based on corroborating witness statements, which contradicted with the accused's account of the events, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the accused disobeyed a direct written/oral order because she failed to secure her weapon. IPRA recommended a **ten (10) day suspension** for the accused officer.

Log No. / C.R. No. 314146

On 10 July 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred in the 22nd District, in which an off-duty Chicago Police Department officer allegedly pointed his weapon at three individuals, failed to identify himself as a police officer, verbally abused one of the individuals, failed to maintain control of his firearm, and was subsequently disarmed. Based on corroborating witness statements, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the following allegations against the accused member: that the accused pointed his weapon at three individuals, that he failed to identify himself as a Chicago Police Department officer, verbally abused one of the individuals, and failed to maintain control of his weapon and was subsequently disarmed. IPRA recommended a **forty-five (45) day suspension** for the accused officer.

Log No. / C.R. No. 315271

On 02 September 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred in the 8th District, in which three Chicago Police Department officers allegedly handled physical evidence before it was processed by a forensic investigator, failed to wear rubber gloves before touching physical evidence, and failed to immediately notify a supervisor of their handling of the evidence. Based on admissions made by all three accused members, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** all of the allegations made against each accused. IPRA recommended that the **first accused officer receive a five (5) day suspension, the second accused officer receive a three (3) day suspension, and that the third accused officer, receive a two (2) day suspension.**

Log No. / C.R. No. 1005455

On 4 May 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred in the 4th District, in which an off-duty Chicago Police Department officer allegedly failed to secure her weapon, made contact with the person of a private citizen with the weapon, and discharged the weapon directly in the face of the citizen. Based on witness

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

June 2008

statements, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the officer failed to secure her weapon resulting in an unintentional discharge. IPRA recommended the allegations that the accused pointed her weapon in the face of the individual, made contact with the individual's person, and fired a single shot directly in front of the individual's face, be **"UNFOUNDED,"** because witness statements corroborated the officer's denial and undermined the credibility of the complainant. IPRA recommended a **five (5) day suspension** for the accused officer.