

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MAY 2014

*Log/C.R. No. 1017048*

**Notification Date:** June 2, 2008

**Location:** N/A

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident

**Summary:** In an incident involving an off-duty officer and Complainant, it was alleged that the off-duty CPD officer verbally abused and engaged in an argument with Complainant on two separate occasions. Allegations also included appearing at the Complainant's home uninvited, sending numerous texts and sending offensive emails.

**Finding:** Based on statements from the accused Officer and a witness; emails and social media, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer:** A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations against the Officer for engaging in an argument with the Complainant and sending harassing emails and text messages; a penalty of a **3-day**

**Suspension.** The allegation that the Officer verbally abused the Complainant was "**UNFOUNDED**" and the allegation of coming to the Complainant's home uninvited was "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

*Log/C.R. No. 1022792*

**Notification Date:** January 1, 2009

**Location:** 10<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Excessive Force

**Summary:** In an incident involving three on-duty CPD members, including an Officer, an Unknown Officer, a Sergeant, and a Subject; it was alleged that, while attempting to apprehend the Subject who was involved in a shooting, all members entered and searched the Complainant's apartment and other apartments without a search warrant. Additional allegations were that the Sergeant punched, kicked, stomped, slammed, and directed profanities toward the Complainant. It was also alleged that Officer A kicked and stomped the Complainant, and failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR). The Unknown Officer was alleged to have kicked, stomped, and struck the Complainant. Further allegations against the Unknown Officer were that he pushed and directed profanities toward a witness, threw a witness' cellular phone to the ground, attempted to strike a

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MAY 2014

witness with his squadrol, and left witnesses outside in the cold for a long period of time without justification.

**Finding:** Based on statements from the accused Officer, four witnesses, and the Complainant; department reports/records, photographs, medical records, and POD videos, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer:** A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all allegations.

**Unknown Officer:** A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all allegations.

**Sergeant:** During mediation, Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a penalty of a **3-day Suspension**.

***Log/C.R. No. 1067033***

**Notification Date:** January 15, 2014

**Location:** 8<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Accidental Taser Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have accidentally discharged his Taser.

**Finding:** During mediation, Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation and a penalty of **Violation Noted**.

***Log/C.R. No. 1065923***

**Notification Date:** November 6, 2013

**Location:** 6<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Accidental Taser Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have accidentally discharged his Taser.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation and a penalty of **Violation Noted**.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MAY 2014

### *Log/C.R. No. 1062689*

**Notification Date:** June 5, 2013

**Location:** 12<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Accidental Taser Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, the Officer was alleged to have accidentally discharged his Taser.

**Finding:** Based on statements from the accused Officer, a witness, and department reports, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer:** A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that the Officer accidentally discharged his weapon and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1062591*

**Notification Date:** May 31, 2013

**Location:** 16<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Domestic incident

**Summary:** In an incident involving two off-duty CPD Officers (Officer A and Complainant/Officer B), it was alleged while at their residence, Officer A pushed Complainant/ Officer B while holding their mutual child, physically prevented Complainant/Officer B from picking up their mutual child, physically intimidated , threatened, smacked and verbally abused Complainant/Officer B.

**Finding:** During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that he pushed Complainant/ Officer B while holding their mutual child and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1056520*

**Notification Date:** August 23, 2012

**Location:** 4<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Firearm Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and the Subject, it was alleged that while in pursuit of the Subject, the Officer accidentally discharged his firearm, striking Subject.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MAY 2014

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he accidentally discharged his firearm, striking Subject and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension**.

**Log/C.R. No. 1055558**

**Notification Date:** July 16, 2012

**Location:** 4<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Excessive Force

**Summary:** In an incident involving three on-duty CPD Officers (A, B, and C, an Unknown Officer) and a Subject, it was alleged that, while attempting to apprehend the Subject, all of the Officers used excessive force against the Subject. It was also alleged that Officer A violated the CPD use of force policy by using inappropriate force against the Subject. An additional allegation was made against Officer B that he placed the Subject in a headlock while attempting to pull him out of a vehicle. It was further alleged that the Unknown Officer struck the Subject with a baton.

**Findings:** Based on statements from one accused Officer, the Subject, one witness; department reports/records, POD video, and OEMC transmissions, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer B:** "**EXONERATED**" for the allegation that he placed the subject in a headlock.

**Officer A:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that she violated CPD's Use of Force policy, and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension**.

**Unknown:** A finding of "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegation against the Unknown Officer.

**Log/C.R. No. 1051663**

**Notification Date:** February 3, 2012

**Location:** 5<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Firearm Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, it was alleged while conducting a search of a residence; the Officer was

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MAY 2014

inattentive to duty in that he did not properly handle his firearm, causing it to discharge.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he did not properly handle his firearm, causing it to discharge, and a penalty of **Violation Noted**.

**Log/C.R. No. 1049123**

**Notification Date:** October 7, 2011

**Location:** 6<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Excessive Force

**Summary:** In an incident involving three on-duty CPD members, including two Officers (A and B) and a Sergeant; a Complainant, and a Victim; it was alleged that, while executing a search warrant, Officer A directed profanities at the Complainant and Victim, kned the Victim in the back and pulled his hair. The allegation against Officer B was that he damaged several pieces of property during the execution of the search. It was further alleged that the Sergeant threatened to return to the residence and arrest the Complainant and Victim if they filed a complaint against them and that he failed to register a complaint after police misconduct had been reported to him.

**Findings:** Based on statements from the accused Officers, Sergeant, Complainant, and the Victim; department reports/records, and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer B:** A finding of "**UNFOUNDED**" for the allegation.

**Sergeant:** A finding of "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations.

**Officer A:** A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that profanities were directed at the Victim; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he directed profanities at the Complainant and kned the Victim in the back and pulled his hair.

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MAY 2014

*Log/C.R. No. 1048220*

**Notification Date:** September 3, 2011

**Location:** 4<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> Districts

**Complaint:** Unnecessary Physical Contact/Misuse of Department Records/Leaving Assignment

**Summary:** In an incident involving three on-duty CPD members, including two Officers (A and B), a Sergeant, and Complainant. It was alleged that Officer A left his district of assignment to confront Complainant at his place of employment, engaged in an unjustified verbal altercation where he directed profanities at the Complainant, shoved, handcuffed, threatened and struck the Complainant with his fist.

Allegations against Officer B were that he witnessed misconduct but failed to report it and, without justification, activated the emergency lights and sirens of the Departmental vehicle he was driving. It was further alleged that the Sergeant failed to register a complaint on behalf of the Complainant upon request and pointed his finger in the face of the Complainant's spouse.

**Findings:** Based on statements from the two accused Officers, the Complainant, and two witnesses; department reports/records, Court documents and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer A:** A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **5-day Suspension** for leaving his assignment, engaging in an unjustified verbal altercation, and striking the Complainant. IPRA further concluded that the allegations that the Officer shoved, pushed and threatened Complainant were "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

**Officer B:** A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations and a penalty of a **2-day Suspension.**

**Sergeant:** A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations and a penalty of a **Reprimand.**

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MAY 2014

### *Log/C.R. No. 1047895*

**Notification Date:** August 22, 2011

**Location:** 6<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Neglect of Duty

**Summary:** In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer, it was alleged the Officer was inattentive to duty in that his weapon discharged after he was struck in the head while working off duty. It was also alleged that he failed to register his weapon with the City of Chicago and armed himself with the unauthorized weapon and ammunition.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations and a penalty of a **5-day Suspension**.

### *Log/C.R. No. 1043908*

**Notification Date:** March 12, 2011

**Location:** 4<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Excessive Force

**Summary:** In an incident involving three on-duty CPD members, Officers (A, B, and C), and two Victims (1 and 2), it was alleged that Officer A, B, and C entered and searched the Victim's residence without justification or a warrant. It was also alleged that Officer A slammed and handcuffed Victim 2 and directed profanities at a witness. Additional allegations against Officer B were that he pushed Victim 1 and refused to identify himself upon request. It was further alleged that Officer C failed to identify himself as well.

**Finding:** Based on statements from the accused Officers, Victims, and a witness; department records/reports, and POD Video, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer A:** A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension** for the allegation of entering and searching the Victim's residence without justification. All other allegations against the Officer were "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MAY 2014

**Officer B:** A finding of “**NOT SUSTAINED**” for the allegations that the Officer entered the Victim’s residence, pushed Victim 1, and failed to identify himself. The allegation that the Officer searched the residence was “**UNFOUNDED.**”

**Officer C:** A finding of “**SUSTAINED**” and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension** for the allegation of entering and searching the Victim’s residence without justification. The allegation for failing to identify himself was “**NOT SUSTAINED.**”

***Log/C.R. No. 1043472***

**Notification Date:** February 20, 2011

**Location:** Lisle, Illinois

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident

**Summary:** In an incident involving two off-duty CPD members, including an Officer and a Sergeant, which took place in Lisle, Illinois, it was alleged that, while engaging in a physical altercation between the two, the Officer struck the Sergeant on the face, resulting in the Officers arrest and his being charged with Domestic Battery. As such, it was alleged that the Officer pled guilty and was found guilty of Battery. It was alleged that the Sergeant engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with the Officer.

**Finding:** Based on a statement from the accused Sergeant, Lisle Police Department reports/records, photographs, and 911 recordings; and DuPage County court documents, IPRA recommended the following:

**Sergeant:** A finding of “**NOT SUSTAINED**” for the allegation.

**Officer:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA’s finding of “**SUSTAINED**” for the allegations and a penalty of a **10-day Suspension.**

***Log/C.R. No. 1040116***

**Notification Date:** September 25, 2010

**Location:** 2<sup>nd</sup> District

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident



## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MAY 2014

**Summary:** In an incident involving four on-duty CPD members, including three Officers (A, B, and C) and a Sergeant, and a Complainant it was alleged that, during a response to a 911 call to a gas station involving a customer dispute, Officer A verbally abused, directed profanities at, searched, grabbed, and pushed the Complainant without justification; he also failed to provide his badge number upon request. It was alleged that Officers B and C were inattentive to duty when they failed to intercede on behalf of the Complainant. It was further alleged that the Sergeant failed to register a complaint on behalf of Complainant.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officers agreed to accept IPRA's findings regarding the following:

**Officer A: "SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a penalty of a **3-day Suspension**.

**Officer B and Officer C: "SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension**.

**Sergeant: "SUSTAINED"** for the allegation and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

***Log/C.R. No. 1039963***

**Notification Date:** September 20, 2010

**Location:** 9<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty officer and a Complainant (acquaintance). It was alleged that the officer choked and head-locked the Complainant and, on separate occasions, grabbed the Complainant's wrists. Allegations also included the fact that, when the Officer was arrested for Domestic Battery. He brought discredit upon the department.

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations, except for the allegation of grabbing the Complainant's wrist on separate occasions, which was "**NOT SUSTAINED,**" and accepted a penalty of a **2-day Suspension**.

***Log/C.R. No. 1039158***

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MAY 2014

**Notification Date:** August 22, 2010

**Location:** 6<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Domestic Incident

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and a Complainant (acquaintance). It was alleged that the on-duty CPD officer harassed the Complainant by going to her place of employment, leaving his district of assignment without authorization, and being inattentive to duty in that he was 4 miles outside of his assigned district and failed to notify the department of Office of Emergency Management and Communications (OEMC).

**Finding:** During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations and a penalty of a **1-day Suspension**.

**Log/C.R. No. 1035489**

**Notification Date:** April 16, 2010

**Location:** 11<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Improper Search of a person

**Summary:** In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officers A and B) and a Subject, it was alleged that the accused Officers were inattentive to duty in that they failed to properly search and secure the Subject which resulted in the Subject's death.

**Finding:** Based on statements from the accused Officers, department records/reports, court documents, medical records, photographs, and video recordings, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer A:** "**UNFOUNDED**" for the allegation that the Officer failed to search the subject. Further the Officer was "**EXONERATED**" for the allegation that he failed to secure the Subject.

**Officer B:** "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that the Officer failed to properly search the Subject and a penalty of a **20-day Suspension**. IPRA further concluded, the Officer was "**EXONERATED**" for the allegation that he failed to secure the Subject.

**Log/C.R. No. 1029937**

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MAY 2014

**Notification Date:** September 9, 2009

**Location:** 17<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Unnecessary Physical Contact

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and a Complainant, it was alleged that, while responding to the scene of a traffic accident involving the Officer's daughter, the officer pushed, directed profanities toward, and ridiculed the Complainant's Tow Truck Company. Other allegations made against the Officer were that he engaged in a verbal altercation with another Officer, directed profanities at a Sergeant, and failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR).

**Finding:** Based on statements from the accused Officer, Complainant, and five witnesses; department records/reports, and OEMC transmissions, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer:** A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for all allegations and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

*Log/C.R. No. 1027134*

**Notification Date:** June 8, 2009

**Location:** 11<sup>th</sup> District

**Complaint:** Firearm Discharge

**Summary:** In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and a Subject, it was alleged that, while responding to an attempted carjacking, the Officer shot the Subject without justification and failed to follow departmental rules after being involved in a police shooting.

**Finding:** Based on statements from the accused Officer, Complainant and four witnesses; department records/reports, court documents, OEMC transmissions, and medical records, IPRA recommended the following:

**Officer:** A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that the Officer failed to follow departmental rules after being involved in a police shooting and a penalty of a **10-day Suspension**. IPRA further concluded, the allegation that the Officer shot subject without justification was "**UNFOUNDED**."