

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

Log/C.R. No. 1052025

Notification Date: February 21, 2012

Location: 18th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and two Complainants (1 and 2), it was alleged that while on the Chicago Transit Authority's red line platform, the Officer grabbed Complainant 1 by the neck, pushed him against the wall and struck him on the face without justification. It is further alleged that the Officer directed racial slurs and profanities at Complainant 1, and threw his state identification card into the trash can. It was also alleged that the Officer made an inaccurate and/or incomplete report regarding the incident, falsely arrested Complainant 1, and falsely swore to and signed a misdemeanor complaint. The Officer is also alleged to have pushed Complainant 2 against the wall, failed to identify himself as an officer when requested, refused to show his department identification card, refused to provide Complainant 2 with his department identification card, used racially biased language in his conversation with Complainant 2, and directed profanity toward Complainant 2.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **2-day suspension** for the allegations that he made an inaccurate and/or incomplete report regarding the incident, used racially biased language in his conversation with Complainant 2, and directed profanity toward Complainants 1 and 2. All other allegations were "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

Log/C.R. No. 1064583

Notification Date: August 30, 2013

Location: Oak Lawn, IL

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant/Sergeant (husband), it was alleged that while inside a vehicle, the Officer threw coins at the Complainant/Sergeant, struck the Complainant/Sergeant about the body and threatened to leave with the children if the Complainant/Sergeant did not get back in the car.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused, the Complainant/Sergeant, a witness; and department reports/records; IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **Reprimand** for the allegations that the Officer, while inside a vehicle, threw coins at the Complainant/Sergeant and threatened to leave with the children if the Complainant/Sergeant did not get back in the car. A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that the Officer struck the Complainant/Sergeant about the body.

Log/C.R. No. 1061456

Notification Date: April 13, 2013

Location: 2nd District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant, it was alleged that the Officer harassed the Complainant from March 7, 2013 to April 13, 2013, by sending her a Facebook message, numerous text messages and making numerous unwanted calls to the Complainant's cell phone. It was further alleged that on April 13, 2013, the Officer harassed the Complainant by sending numerous texts and making numerous unwanted phone calls to the Complainant's cell phone. It was also alleged that, on April 13, 2013, the Officer made entry into the Complainant's building without her permission. Additionally, it was alleged that, on May 11, 2013, the Officer sent the Complainant numerous text messages. Finally, it was alleged that the Officer contacted the Complainant via telephone on June 17, 2013 and failed to notify the Department that he was the respondent of an order of protection.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **2-day suspension** for the allegations that the Officer harassed the Complainant from March 7, 2013 to April 13, 2013, by sending her a Facebook message, numerous text messages and making numerous unwanted calls to the Complainant's cell phone, harassed the Complainant by sending numerous texts, making numerous unwanted phone calls to the Complainant's cell phone on April 13, 2013, harassed the Complainant by sending the Complainant numerous text messages on May 11, 2013, contacted the Complainant via telephone on June 17, 2013 and failed to notify the Department that he was a respondent of an order of

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

protection; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that, on April 13, 2013, the Officer made entry into the Complainant's building without her permission.

Log/C.R. No. 1068827

Notification Date: April 28, 2014

Location: 1st District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving a Detention Aide and the Complainant, it was alleged that the Detention Aide struck the Complainant on the head with a boot.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused and a witness; department reports/records and a video recording; IPRA recommended the following:

Detention Aide: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **Violation Noted** for the allegation that he struck the Complainant on the head with a boot.

Log/C.R. No. 1034630

Notification Date: March 16, 2010

Location: 5th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving ten CPD Officers (A-J), two Sergeants (A and B), a Lieutenant, and the Complainant, it was alleged that Officer A failed to provide immediate medical attention for the Complainant, failed to document the traffic crash/accident involving the Complainant and failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR). Also, it was alleged that Officer B failed to provide immediate medical attention for the Complainant and failed to document the traffic crash/accident involving the Complainant. It was further alleged that Officers C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, and Sergeants A and B conspired to falsely arrest the Complainant, conspired to use excessive force against the Complainant, conspired not to report each other after witnessing and/or using excessive force on the Complainant, conspired not to report each other after falsely arresting the Complainant, conspired to generate false documentation to cover

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

up for their and other officers' misconduct, conspired not to report each other or identify unknown police officers after witnessing unknown police officers hitting the Complainant with an unmarked squad car, and failed to provide immediate medical attention for the Complainant. Finally, it was alleged that the Lieutenant violated General Order 93-03-02B, when he went and viewed the security camera footage in relation to the alleged misconduct of a department member in which an investigation was being conducted by IPRA and the Lieutenant brought discredit upon the Department when he viewed the surveillance video and immediately dismissed the Complainant's complaint.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused and a witness; department reports/records, medical records, photos, a video recording, and OEMC communications; IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all the allegations.

Officer B: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all the allegations.

Officer C, D, E, F: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all the allegations.

Officer G and J: A finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that they conspired to falsely arrest the Complainant. **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all other allegations.

Officer H: A finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for all the allegations.

Sergeant A: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all the allegations.

Sergeant B: A finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for all the allegations.

Lieutenant: During mediation, the Lieutenant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that he violated General Order 93-03-02B, when he went and viewed the security camera footage in relation to the alleged misconduct of a department member in which an investigation was being conducted by IPRA and a penalty of a **VIOLATION NOTED**. The allegation that the Lieutenant brought discredit upon the Department when he viewed the

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

surveillance video and immediately dismissed the Complainant's complaint was **"NOT SUSTAINED."**

Log/C.R. No. 1051991

Notification Date: February 19, 2012

Location: 2nd District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, a Sergeant and the Complainant, it was alleged that the Officer detained the Complainant without justification, transported the Complainant to the hospital against his will, slammed the Complainant against a wall, directed profanities at the Complainant, and released the Complainant without approval from the watch commander. It was further alleged that the Sergeant released the Complainant without approval from the watch commander.

Finding: Based on statements from the Officer, Sergeant, and Complainant; department reports/records, video recording and medical records, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **Reprimand** for the allegations that he detained the Complainant without justification and transported the Complainant to the hospital against his will; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that he slammed the Complainant against the wall and directed profanities at the Complainant; **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that he released the Complainant from custody without approval from the watch commander.

Sergeant: A finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that he released the Complainant from custody without approval from the watch commander.

Log/C.R. No. 1069841

Notification Date: June 17, 2014

Location: 6th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer, a Sergeant and the Complainant, it was alleged that the Officer directed profanities at the Complainant and punched the Complainant. It was further alleged that the Sergeant failed to register a CR as requested by the Complainant.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officer, Sergeant, witnesses, and Complainant; department reports/records and medical records; IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that he directed profanities at the Complainant; **"EXONERATED"** for the allegations that he punched the Complainant.

Sergeant: During mediation, the Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **Violation Noted** for the allegation that he failed to register a CR as requested by the Complainant.

Log/C.R. No. 1065605

Notification Date: October 19, 2013

Location: 2nd District

Complaint: Unnecessary Physical Contact

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers, it was alleged that Officer A pushed Officer B and Officer B pushed Officer A.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officers and witnesses; department reports/records, and an OEMC recording, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that she pushed Officer B.

Officer B: During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that he pushed Officer A and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

Log/C.R. No. 1040551

Notification Date: October 12, 2010

Location: 6th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty Sergeant, six on-duty CPD Officers (A, B, C, D, E, F), and the Complainant, it was alleged that the Sergeant struck the Complainant on the face, failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR) regarding the incident, was found guilty of two counts of Aggravated Battery, was found guilty of Official Misconduct, and brought discredit upon the Department. It was also alleged that Officer A physically maltreated the Complainant by knocking his hat off his head, directed profanities at the Complainant, observed the Sergeant slap the Complainant without justification and failed to report it, and brought discredit upon the Department by physically maltreating the Complainant. Also, it was alleged that Officers B, C, and D observed the Sergeant slap the Complainant without justification and failed to report it. It was further alleged that Officer E observed the Sergeant slap the Complainant without justification and failed to report it, failed to protect the Complainant, and put the incorrect time of incident on the General Offense Case Report for this incident. Finally, it was alleged that Officer F observed the Sergeant slap the Complainant without justification and failed to report it, failed to protect the Complainant, falsely arrested the Complainant, put the incorrect time of incident on the General Offense Case Report for this incident and brought discredit upon the Department by failing to protect the Complainant.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officers, Sergeant and witnesses; department reports/records, court documents and a video recording, IPRA recommended the following:

Sergeant: A finding of “**SUSTAINED**” and a penalty of **Separation** for all allegations.

Officer A: A finding of “**SUSTAINED**” and a penalty of a **2-day suspension** for the allegations that she physically maltreated the Complainant by knocking his hat off his head, brought discredit upon the Department by physically maltreating the Complainant when she knocked his hat off his head, and observed the Sergeant slap the

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

Complainant without justification and failed to report it; **“NOT SUSTAINED”** for the allegation that she directed profanities at the Complainant.

Officer B, C, D: During mediation, Officer B, C, and D agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **“SUSTAINED”** for the allegation and a penalty of a **3-day suspension**.

Officer E: During mediation, Officer E agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **“SUSTAINED”** for all allegations and a penalty of a **5-day suspension**.

Officer F: A finding of **“SUSTAINED”** and a penalty of a **1-day suspension** for the allegations that he failed to protect the Complainant and brought discredit upon the Department by failing to protect the Complainant; **“NOT SUSTAINED”** for the allegation that he observed the Sergeant slap the Complainant without justification and failed to report it; **“UNFOUNDED”** for the allegations that he falsely arrested the Complainant and put the incorrect time of incident on the General Offense Case Report for this incident.

Log/C.R. No. 1067091

Notification Date: January 18, 2014

Location: 8th District

Complaint: RACIAL/ETHNIC

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (A, and B), five on-duty Sergeants (A, B, C, D, and E), a Lieutenant and the Complainant, it was alleged that during a foot pursuit Officer A made racial comments to the Complainant and Officer B failed to report misconduct committed by Officer A when Officer A made racial comments to the Complainant. It was also alleged that Sergeant A failed to obtain a complaint register number regarding Officer A's misconduct and was inattentive to duty in that he failed to monitor his radio during the foot pursuit of the Complainant by Officer A and B. Also, it was alleged that Sergeant B, C, D, and E failed to obtain a complaint register number regarding Officer A's misconduct. It was further alleged that the Lieutenant failed to obtain a complaint register number regarding Officer A's misconduct and was inattentive to duty in that he failed to monitor his radio during the foot pursuit of the Complainant.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

Finding: Based on statements from the accused and Complainant; department reports/records, and OEMC radio transmissions, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **10-day suspension**.

Officer B: During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **1-day suspension**.

Sergeant A: During mediation, Sergeant A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **Reprimand** for the allegation that he was inattentive to duty in that he failed to monitor his radio during the foot pursuit of the Complainant by Officer A and B; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he failed to obtain a complaint register number regarding Officer A's misconduct.

Sergeant B, C, D and E: A finding of "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that they failed to obtain a complaint register number regarding Officer A's misconduct.

Lieutenant: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **Reprimand** for the allegation that he failed to obtain a complaint register number regarding Officer A's misconduct; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegation that he failed to monitor his radio during the foot pursuit of the Complainant by Officer A and B.

Log/C.R. No. 1061941

Notification Date: May 2, 2013

Location: 1st District

Complaint: Proper Care

Summary: In an incident involving four on-duty CPD Officers (A, B, C, and D), it was alleged that Officers A, B, C, and D failed to properly search the Subject/Arrestee, who was subsequently observed cutting his forearm with a knife.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

Finding: Based on statements from the accused; department reports/records; Chicago Fire Department run sheet; medical report; and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A, B, and C: A finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that they failed to properly search the Subject/Arrestee.

Officer D: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **Reprimand** for the allegation that he failed to properly search the Subject/Arrestee, who was subsequently observed cutting his forearm with a knife.

Officer E: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that he failed to properly search the Subject/Arrestee.

Log/C.R. No. 1068523

Notification Date: April 11, 2014

Location: 24th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and two Complainants (A and B), it was alleged that the Officer pointed his gun at the Complainants without justification, directed profanities toward the Complainants, struck Complainant A with his gun and kicked Complainant A on his legs.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused Officer, the Complainants, and a witness; department reports/records; medical records, and photos, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **5-day suspension** for the allegations that he pointed his gun at the Complainants without justification, directed profanities toward the Complainants, and kicked Complainant A on his legs; **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that he struck Complainant A with his gun.

Log/C.R. No. 1032414

Notification Date: December 10, 2009

Location: 8th District

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant, the Officer was alleged to have improperly displayed his weapon, engaged in an unauthorized and improper vehicle pursuit, grabbed the Complainant and threw her to and held her on the ground, grabbed the Complainant's cell phone and failed to return it, threatened to discharge a Taser at/on her, failed to identify himself as a police officer, pointed his weapon at the Complainant without justification, failed to complete departmental reports and brought discredit upon the Department by his overall actions.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **Violation Noted** for the allegation that he failed to complete department reports; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the all the other allegations.

Log/C.R. No. 1050270

Notification Date: November 26, 2011

Location: 24th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving four on-duty CPD Officers (A, B, C, and D), an unknown on-duty CPD officer (E) and five Complainants (1,2,3,4,and 5), Officers A and D were alleged to have directed profanities at the Complainants, knocked Complainant 1's head on a table, pushed Complainant 1 against a fence several times, punched Complainant 1 several times about the face and body, banged Complainant 1's head several times against the back window of a police vehicle, entered Complainant 1's residence without justification, handcuffed Complainant 1 too tightly, punched Complainant 1 in the face and groin several times, and failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR). It was further alleged that Officers B and C struck Complainant 2 with an object several times about the body and made improper racial comments to Complainant 2. Finally, it was alleged that Officer E directed profanities at the Complainants, knocked Complainant 1's head on a table, pushed Complainant 1 against a fence several times, punched Complainant 1 several times about the face and body, banged Complainant 1's head several times against the back window of a police vehicle, broke Complainant 1's

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

rear view mirror to his vehicle, handcuffed Complainant 3 too tightly and refused to loosen the handcuffs, made improper racial remarks to Complainant 4 and Complainant 2, handcuffed Complainant 1 too tightly and punched Complainant 1 in the face and groin several times.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused, the Complainants; department reports/records; medical reports; a video, and photos, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A and D: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **"Reprimand"** for directing profanities at the Complainants and failing to complete a TRR; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for knocking Complainant 1's head on a table, pushing Complainant 1 against a fence several times, punching Complainant 1 several times about the face and body, banging Complainant 1's head several times against the back window of a police vehicle, entering Complainant 1's residence without justification, and punching Complainant 1 in the face and groin several times; **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that they handcuffed Complainant 1 too tightly.

Officer B and C: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for striking Complainant 2 with an object several times about the body and making improper racial comments to Complainant 2.

Officer E: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for directing profanities at the Complainants, knocking Complainant 1's head on a table, pushing Complainant 1 against a fence several times, punching Complainant 1 several times about the face and body, banging Complainant 1's head several times against the back window of a police vehicle, breaking the rear view mirror of Complainant 1's vehicle, making improper racial remarks to Complainant 4 and Complainant 2, and punching Complainant 1 in the face and groin several times; **"UNFOUNDED"** for handcuffing Complainant 3 too tightly and refusing to loosen the handcuffs and handcuffing Complainant 1 too tightly.

Log/C.R. No. 1055267

Notification Date: July 4, 2012

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant/spouse, it was alleged that the Officer repeatedly struck the Complainant/spouse on the face, restrained the Complainant/spouse by holding her down against the floor, pursued the Complainant/spouse from the residence as she attempted to get away, grabbed the Complainant/spouse about the body, and brought discredit upon the Department in that he was arrested for domestic battery.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused and six witnesses; department reports/records, photos, OEMC communications, and court documents, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a penalty of a **"45-day suspension."**

Log/C.R. No. 1031971

Notification Date: November 19, 2009

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Domestic Altercation

Summary: In an incident involving two off-duty CPD Officers (A and B) and three Complainants/adopted minor children (1, 2, and 3), it was alleged that, during the course of several law enforcement investigations regarding the abuse and/or neglect of Officer B's three adopted minor children, Officer A made false reports to medical personnel and/or law enforcement, physically maltreated Complainant 1/adopted minor child on an unspecified time and date between June and July of 2008, physically maltreated Complainant 1/adopted minor child on April 29, 2009, November 13, 2009, one week prior to November 15, 2009, and one month prior to November 15, 2009. Officer A is also alleged to have physically maltreated Complainant 2/adopted minor child on February 24, 2009, on or about November 15, 2009, and physically maltreated Complainant 3/adopted minor child on or on about November 15, 2009. Also, it was alleged that Officer B on three separate occasions in 2009 failed to submit a written report that she was under investigation by the Illinois Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS), made false reports to medical personnel and/or law enforcement officials during

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

the course of several law enforcement investigations regarding abuse and/or neglect of the Complainants/adopted minor children, failed to seek timely medical treatment for Complainant 1/adopted minor child on an unspecified date between June and July of 2008, on April 29, 2009, one week prior to November 15, 2009, and one month prior to November 15, 2009, physically maltreated and/or failed to protect Complainant 1/adopted minor child from harm on an unspecified date between June and July of 2008, on approximately April 29, 2009, November 13, 2009, one week prior to November 15, 2009 and one month prior to November 15, 2009, physically maltreated and/or failed to protect Complainant 1/adopted minor child by failing to administer prescribed medication to him, physically maltreated and/or failed to protect Complainant 2/adopted minor child from harm on February 24, 2009 and on November 15, 2009, and physically maltreated and/or failed to protect Complainant 3/adopted minor child from harm on November 15, 2009.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused and witnesses, department reports/records, photos, doctors' statements, medical reports, and DCFS report, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of **Separation** for the allegations that during the course of several law enforcement investigations regarding the abuse and/or neglect of Officer B's three adopted minor children, Officer A made false reports to medical and/or law enforcement personnel, physically maltreated Complainant 1/adopted minor child on April 29, 2009 and on November 13, 2009; "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for all the other allegations.

Officer B: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of **Separation** for the allegations that during the course of several law enforcement investigation regarding abuse and/or neglect of the Complainants/adopted minor children she made false reports to medical personnel and/or law enforcement officials, failed to seek timely medical treatment for Complainant 1/adopted minor child on an unspecified date between June and July of 2008, physically maltreated and/or failed to protect Complainant 1/adopted minor child from harm on an unspecified date between June and July of 2008 and on approximately April 29, 2009, failed to seek timely medical treatment for Complainant 1/adopted minor child on April 29, 2009, physically maltreated and/or failed to protect Complainant 1/adopted minor child from harm on November 13, 2009, failed to seek timely medical

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

April 2015

treatment for Complainant 1/adopted minor child one week prior to November 15, 2009 and one month prior to November 15, 2009, and physically maltreated and/or failed to protect Complainant 1 by failing to administer prescribed medication to him; **"EXONERATED"** for the allegation that on three separate occasions in 2009 she failed to submit a written report that she was under investigation by DCFS; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all the other allegations.

Log/C.R. No. 1047853

Notification Date: August 19, 2011

Location: 16th District

Complaint: Racial

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and three Complainants (1,2, and 3), it was alleged that the Officer verbally abused Complainant 1, Complainant 2 and other family members on unknown dates and times for a period of years, made racial comments to Complainant 2 and his family, harassed Complainant 2 by threatening to have him and his family arrested, harassed Complainant 2 by telling him that she reported Complainant 2 to the city building inspector, harassed Complainant 2 by repeatedly flipping her middle finger at him, threatened to grab Complainant 2's children by their necks on unknown dates and times, harassed Complainant 3 on unknown dates and times, was disrespectful towards Complainant 1, and used Chicago Police Department (CPD) resources for personal gain.

Finding: Based on statements to IPRA from the accused and Complainants; department reports/records, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **Reprimand** for the allegation that she used CPD resources for personal gain; **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all the other allegations.