

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

APRIL 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1021475

Notification Date: November 6, 2008

Location: 11th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: It was alleged that an on-duty CPD officer, while at a Chicago Public School facility, grabbed and threw the Complainant to the ground and failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR).

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officer and two witnesses, department reports and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** the allegations against the Officer for failing to complete a TRR and a penalty of the **Violation Noted**. The allegation that the Officer threw the Complainant to the ground was **"NOT SUSTAINED."**

Log/C.R. No. 1032947

Notification Date: January 5, 2010

Location: 10th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: It was alleged that an on-duty CPD officer punched, grabbed, pushed, handcuffed too tightly, poked and threatened the Complainant. It was further alleged that the Officer failed to document his contact with the Complainant.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officer and Complainant, department reports, photographs, OEMC transmissions and GPS records, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that the Officer punched, grabbed, pushed, handcuffed tightly, poked, and threatened the Complainant. However, IPRA **"SUSTAINED"** the allegation that the Officer failed to document his contact with the Complainant and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

APRIL 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1037059

Notification Date: June 10, 2010

Location: 8th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving two CPD members, including one on-duty Chicago Police Officer and one Sergeant, it was alleged that the Officer directed profanities at the Complainant and Subject, directed racial slurs at the Complainant and Subject, threatened to arrest the Complainant and falsely arrested the Subject. It was further alleged that the Sergeant refused the Complainant's request to file a complaint against the involved Officer.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officers, the Complainant, the Subject, department reports, court documents and POD Video, IPRA recommended the following:

Sergeant: A finding of "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for all allegations against the Officer. IPRA further concluded that the allegation against the Sergeant for failing to file Complainant's complaint against the involved Officer was "**SUSTAINED.**"

Log/C.R. No. 1039999

Notification Date: September 20, 2010

Location: N/A

Complaint: Harassment and Misuse of department records

Summary: An incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer and the Complainant/Spouse, it was alleged that the Officer harassed the Complainant/Spouse via text messages, used department records to run name checks on acquaintances of the Complainant/Spouse, and hacked the Complainant's/Spouse's e-mail accounts.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officer and the Complainant/Spouse; text messages, department reports and other court documents, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" and a penalty of a **3-day Suspension** for the allegation that the Officer used department records to run name checks on acquaintances of the Complainant. All other allegations against the Officer were "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

APRIL 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1041451

Notification Date: August -November 2010

Location: N/A

Complaint: Domestic incident

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officer A and Complainant/Officer B), it was alleged that Officer A directed profanities and/or derogatory remarks via telephone conversation and text messages to the Complainant/Officer B. It was further alleged that Officer A threatened the Complainant/Officer B via text messages and misused departmental equipment to direct profanities and/or derogatory statements towards the Complainant/ Officer B.

Findings: Based on statements from the accused Officer, the Complainant/Officer, and one witness; department reports, cellular phone records and Portable Data Terminal information, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officer A directed profanities and/or derogatory remarks via telephone conversation and text messages to the Complainant/Officer B and for his misuse of departmental equipment and a penalty of a **2-day Suspension**. The allegations that Officer A threatened the Complainant/Officer B via text messages were **"NOT SUSTAINED."**

Log/C.R. No. 1045260

Notification Date: May 8, 2011

Location: 21st District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an in incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant, it was alleged that the Officer was intoxicated while off-duty; punched the Complainant without justification; made false oral reports to on-duty CPD officers and a Supervisor investigating the incident; provided false information for departmental records and reports; took police action when there was no immediate threat; and provided false statements to an Independent Police Review Authority investigator.

Findings: Based on statements from the accused Officer, the Complainant and witnesses; department reports, court documents,

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

APRIL 2014

medical records, OEMC 911 audio recordings, photographs and video, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that the Officer punched the Complainant without justification; made false oral reports to on-duty CPD officers and a Supervisor investigating the incident; provided false information for departmental records and reports; took police action when there was no immediate threat; and provided false statements to an Independent Police Review Authority investigator. IPRA further recommended a **Separation** from the department. The allegation that the Officer was intoxicated while off-duty was **"NOT SUSTAINED."**

Log/C.R. No. 1047062

Notification Date: July 18, 2011

Location: 9th District

Complaint: Excessive Force and Unnecessary Display of Weapon

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and the Subject, it was alleged that the Officer pointed his weapon; pushed, shoved, grabbed and dragged the Subject from his vehicle; handcuffed; and directed profanities at the Subject. It was also alleged that the Officer failed to document his contact with the Subject and failed to complete a Tactical Response report (TRR).

Findings: Based on statements from the accused Officer, the Complainant, the Subject and a witness; department reports; and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegations that the Officer pointed his weapon at the Subject and that he grabbed and dragged the Subject from his vehicle. A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that the Officer directed profanities, pushed, shoved and handcuffed Subject; and that the Officer failed to complete a TRR. However, IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **Reprimand** for not documenting his contact with the Subject.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

APRIL 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1047958

Notification Date: August 24, 2011

Location: 8th District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and their Spouse, it was alleged that the Officer was intoxicated while off-duty and used profanities in front of his spouse and other Officers at the scene.

Findings: Based on department reports and blood alcohol content (BAC) test results, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that the Officer used profanities in public and a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of the **Violation Noted** for being intoxicated while off-duty.

Log/C.R. No. 1054766

Notification Date: June 14, 2012

Location: 12th District

Complaint: Altercation/Disturbance

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (A and B), it was alleged that the Officers engaged in a verbal altercation with each other. The allegations are that Officer A was rude and unprofessional, made inappropriate comments, and was verbally abusive toward Officer B. The allegations against Officer B were that she was rude, unprofessional and shoved Officer A.

Findings: Based on statements and reports from the involved Officers, witnesses and test results, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations against the Officer and a **1-day Suspension**.

Officer B: A finding that the allegations were **"UNFOUNDED."**

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

APRIL 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1058793

Notification Date: December 1, 2012

Location: 19th District

Complaint: Unnecessary Display and Discharge of a Weapon

Summary: In an incident involving three on-duty CPD members including an Officer, a Sergeant and a Lieutenant, it was alleged that the Officer directed profanities at the Complainant, shot the Complainant's dog and falsified the circumstances of such shooting. Further allegations were that the Lieutenant and Sergeant served the Complainant with an Administrative Notion of Violation without justification and refused to leave Complainant's home when asked to do so.

Findings: Based on statements and reports from the involved CPD members, the Complainant and witnesses; department records and reports; OEMC transmissions; GPS Data; and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations of the use of profanities, and a finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for shooting the Complainant's dog and falsifying the circumstances of the shooting, and a penalty of a **30-day Suspension**.

Sergeant : A finding that the allegations were "**UNFOUNDED.**"

Lieutenant: A finding that the allegations were "**UNFOUNDED.**"

Log/C.R. No. 1062181

Notification Date: May 13, 2013

Location: 9th District

Complaint: Unnecessary Verbal Remarks and Physical Contact; Improper Search of Vehicle

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (A and B), it was alleged that Officer A directed racial slurs at the Complainant, conducted an improper vehicle search and made unnecessary physical contact with the Complainant. Other allegations were that Officer B detained the Complainant on a traffic stop without justification and failed to document his contact with the Complainant.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

APRIL 2014

Findings: Based on statements from the accused Officers, the Complainant and witness; department reports; GPS data; POD video; and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for all allegations.

Officer B: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation of detaining the Complainant without justification and a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation for failing to document contact with the Complainant and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

Log/C.R. No. 1062434

Notification Date: May 23, 2013

Location: 11th District

Complaint: Domestic Altercation

Summary: In an incident involving an off-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant, it was alleged that the Officer engaged in a verbal and physical altercation with the Complainant in that he grabbed and pulled her out of chair; struck and slapped the Complainant; verbally abused the Complainant; struck the Complainant's minor child; caused damage to the Complainant's vehicle; and harassed and threatened the Complainant. Further allegations include the Officer threatened a Domestic Violence Advocate, used profanities and brought discredit upon the CPD by being loud and disruptive.

Findings: Based on statements from the accused Officer, Complainant and witnesses; department reports and court documents, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations regarding the use of profanities, threatening a Domestic Violence Advocate and bringing discredit upon the CPD and a penalty of a **5-day Suspension**, and a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation of striking a minor, as well as a finding of **"NOT SUSUTAINED"** for all other allegations.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

APRIL 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1065469

Notification Date: October 11, 2013

Location: 24th District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving an on-duty CPD Officer and the Complainant, it was alleged that the Officer unnecessarily displayed and pointed her weapon at the Complainant and subsequently prohibited the Complainant from calling 911.

Findings: Based on statements from the accused Officer, the Complainant and witnesses; department reports; OEMC Transmissions and court documents, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation of prohibiting the Complainant from calling 911 and a penalty of a **5-day Suspension**; all other allegations were "**NOT SUSTAINED.**"

Log/C.R. No. 1003391

Notification Date: February 12, 2007

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: In an incident involving five on-duty CPD Officers (A, B, C, D and an Unknown Officer) and the Complainant, it was alleged that Officers A and B grabbed, pulled, punched and tackled the Complainant; and subsequently refused to call a supervisor upon request. Other allegations against Officer A also included his use of profanity. Allegations against Officer C, D and the Unknown Officer were that they stopped, searched and detained the Complainant without justification; grabbed, choked and smashed the Complainant's hands on the hood of vehicle; used profanities; threw the Complainant's food on the ground, and refused to provide their names and star numbers.

Findings: Based on statements from the accused Officers, the Complainant and witnesses; department reports, court documents, OEMC transmissions; POD CD's; and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for all allegations.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

APRIL 2014

Officer B: A finding of “**SUSTAINED**” and a **Violation Noted** for refusing to call a supervisor upon request; and a finding of “**NOT SUSTAINED**” for all other allegations.

Officer C: A finding of “**NOT SUSTAINED**” for all allegations.

Officer D: A finding of “**NOT SUSTAINED**” for all allegations.

Unknown Officer: A finding of “**NOT SUSTAINED**” for all allegations.

Log/C.R. No. 1033859

Notification Date: February 13, 2010

Location: 8th District

Complaint: Domestic Altercation

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (A and B), one off-duty Officer (C), the Complainant, and four additional Complainants (1,2,3, and 4), it was alleged that, during a domestic disturbance with a neighbor, Officer C instigated a fight between the Complainant and the Officer's son; directed profanities and racial slurs at Complainant's 1,2,3 and 4. Punched, pushed, handcuffed and twisted the arm of Complainant 2; seized and handcuffed Complainant 3; and abused his authority in that he arrested Complainant's 2 and 3 when he was personally involved. The allegations against Officers A and B were that they arrested Complainant's 2 and 3 while knowing that Officer C was personally involved, witnessed misconduct of an officer and failed to report it, and left their duty assignment without authorization.

Findings: Based on statements from the accused Officers, the Complainants and witnesses; department reports; court documents; and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer C: A finding of “**SUSTAINED**” for all allegations and a penalty of a **15-day Suspension**.

Officer A: A finding of “**UNFOUNDED**” for arresting Complainant's 2 and 3 while knowing that Officer C was personally involved, and a

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

APRIL 2014

finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation of leaving a duty assignment without authorization.

Officer B: A finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for arresting Complainant's 2 and 3 while knowing that Officer C was personally involved and a finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation of leaving a duty assignment without authorization.

Log/C.R. No. 1034754

Notification Date: March 19, 2010

Location: 22nd District

Complaint: Unnecessary display of a weapon

Summary: In an incident involving four members of the CPD, including one off-duty Officer (A), a Lieutenant, two on-duty Unknown Officers (B and C), a Complainant, and four Complainant's (1,2,3 and 4), it was alleged that the Lieutenant directed profanities at the Complainant and Complainants 1 and 2. Allegations against Officer A were that she interfered with a funeral procession by driving her personal vehicle into a procession which she was not a part of; called 911 and made false reports against the Complainant; made false reports and statements against the Complainant resulting in charges filed against Complainant in Cook County Circuit Court; made false statements, committed perjury and was indicted for testimony made under oath in Cook County Circuit Court. Unknown Officers B and C were alleged to have pointed their guns, directed profanities, and tightly handcuffed the Complainant and Complainant's.

Findings: Based on statements from the accused Officer, Lieutenant, Complainants and witnesses; department reports; court documents; GPS data; OEMC transmissions; POD camera; video and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: A finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **Separation** from the CPD.

Lieutenant: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations.

Unknown Officer B: A finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

APRIL 2014

Unknown Officer C: A finding of “**NOT SUSTAINED**” for the allegations.

Log/C.R. No. 1016377

Notification Date: May 8, 2008

Location: Unknown

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: In an incident involving an off on-duty CPD Officer and their spouse, it was learned by CPD authorities that the Officer was involved in court proceedings with his spouse alleging that the Officer grabbed, choked, threatened and bit his spouse; emotionally and verbally abused his minor step-child; and neglected to secure his weapons by leaving them in full reach of minors and/or leaving a loaded weapon in the glove box of his personal vehicle.

Findings: Based on statements from the accused, complainant, and witness; department reports, and court documents IPRA recommended the following:

Officer: A finding of “**NOT SUSTAINED**” for the allegations of threatening and biting his spouse, emotional and verbal abuse of his minor step-child, and securing his weapons by leaving them in full reach of minors. A finding of “**SUSTAINED**” in that the Officer was involved in court proceedings with his spouse, and that he left a loaded weapon in the glove box of his personal vehicle for a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

Log/ C.R. No. 1039179

Notification Date: August 23, 2010

Location: 22nd District

Complaint: Discharge of a Weapon

Summary: In an incident involving two on-duty officers (A and B), it was alleged that the two officers initiated and engaged in a vehicle pursuit while being in an unmarked department vehicle for a minor traffic offense and failed to withdraw as the primary pursuit vehicle when a marked department became available.

Findings: During mediation, both Officers agreed to accept IPRA's finding of “**SUSTAINED**” for all allegations and a **Violation Noted**.