

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MARCH 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1022732

Notification Date: December 29, 2008

Location: 21st District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: A total of three CPD members involved – two on-duty officers and an on-duty sergeant. Complainant/Officer A alleged that Officer B engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with Complainant/Officer A, unnecessarily interfered with Complainant/Officer A's field stop/investigation, and failed to promptly identify herself to Complainant/Officer A as a Chicago Police Officer. Officer B is alleged to have engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with Complainant/Officer A. The Sergeant is alleged to have failed to immediately make the proper notifications that misconduct of the two officers occurred.

Finding:

Officer A: Based on statements from the accused Officers, Sergeant, and witness, department reports, and photographs, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations against Complainant/Officer A and recommended a **3-day suspension**.

Officer B: During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation of engaging in an unjustified physical altercation with Complainant/Officer A and a **one (1) day suspension**. Officer B was **"EXONERATED"** for the allegation of interfering with Complainant/Officer A's investigation. Further, IPRA recommended to **"UNFOUND"** the allegation that Officer B failed to promptly identify herself to Complainant/Officer A as a Chicago Police Officer.

Sergeant: During mediation, the Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation and a penalty of **Violation Noted**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MARCH 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1025868

Notification Date: April 28, 2009

Location: 25th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: An incident involving four on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A, B, C, and D) and a Sergeant. It was alleged that the Sergeant Tased Subject without justification, failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR), failed to notify a supervisor of a Taser discharge, and sparked his Taser as a warning to Subject. Officers A, B, C, and D are alleged to have failed to provide safety and/or intervene in the maltreatment of the Subject and failing to report misconduct by a department member.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officers, Sergeant, and Subject, department reports, and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Sergeant: **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that the Sergeant Tased the subject without justification. During mediation, the Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAIN"** for failing to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR), failing to notify a supervisor of a Taser discharge, and sparking his Taser as a warning for a **1-day suspension**.

Officers A, B, and D:

"NOT SUSTAINED" for the allegations against accused Officers A, B, and D.

Officer C: **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegations against Officer C.

Log/C.R. No. 1028040

Notification Date: July 9, 2009

Location: 4th District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: An incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A and B). It was alleged that Officer A and B directed profanities at Subject, unnecessarily displayed their weapons, and used improper force against Subject.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MARCH 2014

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officers, Subject, and witnesses, department reports, and photographs, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: **"SUSTAINED"** and a penalty of a **Reprimand** for the allegation that Officer A directed profanities at Subject. **"EXONERATED"** for the allegation that his weapon was unnecessarily displayed, and **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the improper force allegation.

Officer B: **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officer B used profanities and improper force against subject. IPRA recommended that Officer B be **"EXONERATED"** for the allegation that he unnecessarily displayed his weapon.

Log/C.R. No. 1031495

Notification Date: November 2, 2009

Location: 5th District

Complaint: Domestic incident

Summary: An incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (A and B) and Victim. It was alleged that Officer A disabled and caused damage to Victim's vehicle, made unnecessary physical contact with victim, made threats to Victim, harassed Victim, went outside of his assigned district, failed to notify the department that he was a party involved in an Order of Protection and violated such order, used profanities toward Victim, drove in a threatening and reckless manner, and vandalized the windows of the Victim's residence. Officer B was alleged to have gone outside of his district without cause.

Findings: Based on statements from the accused Officers, Victim, and witnesses; department reports, OEMC transmissions, and GPS data, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation the Officer A made unnecessary physical contact with Victim, made threats to Victim, used profanities toward victim, caused damage to Victim's vehicle, drove in a threatening and reckless manner, and vandalized the windows of the Victim's residence. During mediation, Officer A agreed to a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officer A disabled Victim's vehicle, harassed Victim, went outside of his assigned district, and

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MARCH 2014

failed to notify the department that he was a party involved in an Order of Protection and violated such order for a **3-day suspension**.

Officer B: **"VIOLATION NOTED"** for the allegation against Officer B for going outside of his district without cause.

Log/C.R. No. 1032213

Notification Date: December 1, 2009

Location: 14th District

Complaint: Unnecessary Physical Contact

Summary: An incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Department Crossing Guards (A and B), Complainant, and Complainant's minor son ("Minor"). It was alleged that Crossing Guard A made unnecessary physical contact with Minor. It was also alleged that Crossing Guard B failed to initiate a complaint on behalf of Complainant.

Findings: Based on statements from the accused Cross Guards, Complainant, Minor, AND department reports, IPRA recommended the following:

Crossing Guards A and B: **"SUSTAINED"** for both Crossing Guards and **Violation Noted**.

Log/C.R. No. 1032817

Notification Date: December 29, 2009

Location: 2nd District

Complaint: Accidental Discharge of a weapon

Summary: An on-duty Chicago Police Officer is alleged to have accidentally discharged his weapon while attempting to detain the Subject who was in a fleeing vehicle.

Findings: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MARCH 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1034528

Notification Date: March 11, 2010

Location: 2nd District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: A domestic matter involving a Chicago Police Department Commander and the Complainant/Police Officer which occurred over a period of time. It was alleged that the Commander repeatedly made calls and threatening comments to Complainant/Officer, which lead to an arrest for harassment; damaged Complainant/Officer's vehicle; violated an Order of Protection; and ultimately brought discredit to the Department. The Complainant/Officer is alleged to have made derogatory remarks to the Commander via telephone, texts, and/or messages; and engaged in unbecoming conduct.

Findings:

Commander: During mediation, the Commander agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations of repeatedly making calls and threatening comments to Complainant/Officer, which lead to an arrest for harassment and ultimately brought discredit to the Chicago Police Department and a "**1-day suspension**". All other allegations against the Commander were "**NOT SUSTAINED**".

Complainant/Officer: During mediation, the Complainant/Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegations and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

Log/C.R. No. 1042518

Notification Date: January 6, 2011

Location: 21st District

Complaint: Excessive Force

Summary: An incident involving three on-duty Chicago Police Officers – Officers A, B, and Unknown Officer C – and Complainant. It was alleged that Officer A pointed his weapon, directed profanities, and refused to identify himself to Complainant upon request. Officer B is alleged to have used improper force and directed profanities toward Complainant, refused to identify himself, and failed to complete a tactical response report (TRR). Unknown Officer C was accused of failing to register a complaint on behalf of Complainant.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MARCH 2014

Findings: Based on statements from the accused, complainant, and witnesses; department reports, and photographs IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: “**UNFOUNDED**” for the allegations that Officer A pointed his weapon at Complainant. “**NOT SUSTAINED**” for the allegations that he used profanities and refused to identify himself.

Officer B: “**UNFOUNDED**” for the allegations that Officer B used improper force against the Complainant. “**NOT SUSTAINED**” for the allegations of directing profanities toward Complainant and failing to identify himself. During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA’s finding of “**SUSTAINED**” for the allegation of failing to complete a TRR and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

Unknown Officer C: IPRA found that the allegation against the Unknown Officer was “**NOT SUSTAINED**”.

Log/ C.R. No. 1056921

Notification Date: September 9, 2012

Location: 10th District

Complaint: Accidental Discharge of a Weapon

Summary: On-duty Officer is accused of accidentally discharging his weapon while in a foot pursuit.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA’s finding of “**SUSTAINED**” for the allegation and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

Log/ C.R. No. 1060422

Notification Date: February 27, 2013

Location: 12th District

Complaint: Use of Profanity

Summary: On-duty Chicago Police Officer is alleged to have directed profanities and engaging in a verbal altercation with Complainant.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MARCH 2014

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of a **Reprimand**.

Log/ C.R. No. 1051467

Notification Date: January 25, 2012

Location: 25th District

Complaint: Failure to Initiate Proper Action and Unnecessary Physical Contact On Duty

Summary: Three CPD members involved - two unknown on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Unknown Officer A and B) and an on-duty sergeant. It is alleged that Unknown Officer A engaged in unjustified physical contact with Complainant and failed to identify himself. It is alleged that Unknown Officer B entered Complainant's residence without permission, unnecessarily displayed his weapon, failed to identify himself, and coerced the Complainant. The Sergeant is alleged to have failed to initiate proper action.

Finding: Based on statements from the Complainant, witnesses, department reports, and video footage, IPRA recommended the following:

Unknown Officer A: "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that Unknown Officer A engaged in unjustified physical contact with Complainant and failed to identify himself.

Unknown Officer B: "**NOT SUSTAINED**" for the allegations that Unknown Officer B entered Complainant's residence without permission, unnecessarily displayed his weapon, and failed to identify himself. "**UNFOUNDED**" for the allegation that Unknown Officer B coerced the Complainant.

Sergeant: During mediation, Sergeant agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of **Violation Noted**.

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MARCH 2014

Log/ C.R. No. 1048796

Notification Date: September 25, 2011

Location: 22nd District

Complaint: Accidental Taser Discharge

Summary: An on-duty Chicago Police Officer is alleged to have accidentally discharged his Taser.

Finding: During mediation, Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "**SUSTAINED**" for the allegation and a penalty of **Violation Noted**.

Log/ C.R. No. 1046285

Notification Date: June 20, 2011

Location: 7th District

Complaint: Unnecessary Display of Weapon

Summary: An incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officers A and B,) an on-duty Detective/Complainant, and an on-duty Sergeant. Officer A is alleged to have conducted an unjustified stop and unlawfully detained the Complainant. Officer B is alleged to have conducted an unjustified stop, unlawfully detained the Complainant, unnecessarily displayed his weapon while on duty, and unnecessarily made physical contact with the Complainant while on-duty. The Detective/Complainant is alleged to have failed to identify himself as a police officer, and failed to provide a telephone number. The Sergeant is alleged to have failed to register a complaint in a timely manner.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused Officers, Sergeant, witness, Complainant/Detective and department reports, IPRA recommended the following:

Officer A: "**UNFOUNDED**" for the allegations that Officer A conducted an unjustified stop and unlawfully detained the Complainant.

Officer B: "**UNFOUNDED**" for the allegations that Officer B conducted an unjustified stop, unlawfully detained the

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

MARCH 2014

Complainant, unnecessarily displayed his weapon while on duty, and unnecessarily made physical contact with the Complainant while on duty.

Detective/Complainant: **“UNFOUNDED”** for the allegations that Detective/Complainant failed to identify himself and failed to provide information.

Sergeant: **“SUSTAIN”** for failing to register a complaint in a timely fashion for a **1-day suspension**.

Log/ C.R. No. 1044613

Notification Date: April 10, 2011

Location: 7th District

Complaint: Accidental Taser Discharge

Summary: An on-duty Chicago Police Officer is alleged to have accidentally discharged her Taser.

Finding: During mediation, the Officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **“SUSTAINED”** for the allegation and a penalty of **Violation Noted**.

Log/ C.R. No. 1043346

Notification Date: February 15, 2011

Location: 19th District

Complaint: Domestic Incident

Summary: An off-duty Chicago Police Crossing Guard was alleged to have struck, pushed and scratched Victim, and being intoxicated.

Finding: Based on statements from the accused, Victim, and witness; department reports, photographs, and OEMC transmissions IPRA recommended to **“NOT SUSTAIN ”** the allegation that the Crossing Guard scratched Victim. **“SUSTAIN”** the allegations that the Crossing Guard was intoxicated, struck and pushed Victim for a **5-day suspension**.