

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

Log/C.R. No. 1002011

On December 15, 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA f/k/a the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred on December 15, 2006 in the 12th District involving a Chicago Police Department (CPD) Sergeant (Sergeant A) and six off-duty Officers (Officer B through F). It was alleged that Sergeant A and Officer C physically maltreated Victims A through D; engaged in an improper verbal and/or physical altercation; failed to report information concerning a crime or other unlawful actions; failed to report a fellow member's misconduct; prevented Victims A through D from obtaining police assistance; failed to complete a Tactical Response Report regarding the incident; and engaged in conduct which brought discredit upon the Department. It was alleged that Officer B physically maltreated Victims A through D; engaged in an improper verbal altercation; was in possession of a firearm while consuming alcohol in violation of a General Order; failed to maintain control of his weapon; failed to report information concerning a crime or other unlawful actions; failed to report a fellow member's misconduct; and engaged in conduct which brought discredit upon the Department. It was also alleged that Officer D and F physically maltreated Victims A through D; engaged in an improper verbal and/or physical altercation; failed to report information concerning a crime or other unlawful actions; failed to report a fellow member's misconduct; and engaged in conduct which brought discredit on the Department. It was also alleged that Officer E engaged in an improper verbal and/or physical altercation; was in possession of a firearm that was not registered to him in violation of a General Order; failed to report information concerning a crime or other unlawful actions; failed to report a fellow member's misconduct; unnecessarily displayed a weapon; failed to complete a Tactical Response Report regarding this incident; and engaged in conduct which brought discredit on the Department. It was alleged that Officer G failed to report information concerning a crime or other unlawful actions; failed to report a fellow member's misconduct; and engaged in conduct which brought discredit upon the Department. IPRA's investigation consisted of statements from the accused members, complainants and witnesses, video recordings, photographs, medical records, 911 calls, and departmental records. IPRA recommended a finding of **"EXONERATED"** for the allegation that both Sergeant G and Officer C failed to complete a Tactical Response Report regarding the incident. Further, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that both Sergeant G and Officer C engaged in an improper verbal and/or physical altercation; failed to

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

report information concerning a crime or other unlawful actions; failed to report a fellow member's misconduct; prevented Victims A through D from obtaining police assistance; and engaged in conduct which brought discredit upon the Department. IPRA also recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Sergeant G physically maltreated Victims A through C. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer C physically maltreated Victim D. IPRA recommended a finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that Officer B physically maltreated Victims A through D. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer B engaged in an improper verbal altercation; was in possession of a firearm while consuming alcohol in violation of a General Order; failed to maintain control of his weapon; failed to report information concerning a crime or other unlawful actions; failed to report a fellow member's misconduct; and engaged in conduct which brought discredit upon the Department. IPRA recommended a finding of **"CLOSED-HOLD"** for all allegations against Officer D because he resigned and did not address the allegations against him. IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that Officer E unnecessarily displayed a weapon. IPRA recommended a finding of **"EXONERATED"** for the allegation that Officer E failed to complete a Tactical Response Report regarding this incident. IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officer E engaged in an improper verbal and/or physical altercation; was in possession of a firearm that was not registered to him in violation of a General Order; failed to report information concerning a crime or other unlawful actions; failed to report a fellow member's misconduct; and engaged in conduct which brought discredit on the Department. IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegations that Officer F physically maltreated Victims A through D; engaged in an improper verbal and/or physical altercation; and engaged in conduct which brought discredit on the Department. IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officer F failed to report information concerning a crime or other unlawful actions; and failed to report a fellow member's misconduct. IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that Officer G engaged in conduct which brought discredit upon the department. IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for allegations that Officer G failed to report information concerning a crime or other unlawful actions and failed to report a fellow member's misconduct. IPRA recommended a **forty-five (45) day suspension for Sergeant A, thirty (30) day suspension for Officer B, twenty-five (25) day suspension for Officer C, thirty (30) day suspension for Officer**

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

E, fifteen (15) day suspension for Officer F, and a five (5) days suspension for Officer G.

Log/C.R. No. 311925

On March 15, 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA f/k/a the Office of Professional Standards) regarding two incidents that occurred on February 11, 2006 in the 17th District involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Sergeant. It was alleged that on February 11, 2006, the accused Sergeant behaved in a hostile, intimidating and inflammatory manner to Victim A and made a threatening comment referring to Victim A. It was later alleged that on March 24, 2006, the accused Sergeant verbally abused and threatened complainant Victim A; struck Victim A; kicked Victim A; shoved the Victim B; punched Victim C; charged towards Victim D in a hostile manner; and by his overall actions brought discredit upon the Department. Based upon statements by the accused sergeant, Victims and witnesses, police reports, photographs, medical records, and 911 calls, IPRA recommended a finding of **"NOT SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that on February 11, 2006, the accused Sergeant behaved in a hostile, intimidating and inflammatory manner to Victim A and made a threatening comment referring to Victim A. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that on March 24, 2006, the accused Sergeant verbally abused and threatened complainant Victim A; struck Victim A; kicked Victim A; shoved the Victim B; punched Victim C; charged towards Victim D in a hostile manner; and by his overall actions brought discredit upon the Department. IPRA recommended a **twenty-five (25) day suspension for the accused sergeant.**

Log/C.R. No. 312890

On May 11, 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA f/k/a the Office of Professional Standards) regarding an incident that occurred on May 10, 2006 in the 24th District involving two on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officers (Officers A and B). It was alleged that Officer A squeezed the complainant's testicles; failed to inventory or return the complainant's keys; provided false information on an arrest report; failed to follow proper procedure in that he failed to secure complainant's vehicle after his arrest; and improperly searched the complainant's vehicle. It was alleged that Officer B handcuffed the complainant too tightly; failed to inventory or return the complainant's keys; provided false information on an arrest report; and failed to follow proper procedure in that he

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

failed to secure complainant's vehicle after his arrest. Based upon statements by the accused officers, complainant and witnesses, and OEMC and department records, and photographs, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A squeezed the complainant's testicles; failed to inventory or return the complainant's keys; and provided false information on an arrest report. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer A failed to follow proper procedure in that he failed to secure complainant's vehicle after his arrest. Further, IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that Officer A improperly searched the complainant's vehicle. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer B handcuffed the complainant too tightly; failed to inventory or return the complainant's keys; and provided false information on an arrest report. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer B failed to follow proper procedure in that he failed to secure complainant's vehicle after his arrest. IPRA recommended **"Violation Noted" for both Officers A and B.**

Log/C.R. No. 1005098

On April 22, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, f/k/a the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred on April 21, 2007 in the 14th District. It was alleged that an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) sergeant unnecessarily discharged his weapon; failed to properly secure his weapon; failed to remain on the scene; failed to make proper notifications; and failed to submit a Tactical Response Report. Based upon a statement by the accused sergeant, OEMC transmissions, photographs, department records, and laboratory reports, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the accused sergeant unnecessarily discharged his weapon; failed to properly secure his weapon; failed to remain on the scene; failed to make proper notifications; and failed to submit a Tactical Response Report. IPRA recommended **separation for the accused sergeant from the department.**

Log/C.R. No. 1005376

On May 2, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, f/k/a the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred on May 2, 2007 in the 6th District. It was alleged that an on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer pointed his weapon at Victims A through F; kicked Victim C several times; kicked Victim A; punched Victim A; choked Victim B; threatened Victim A; directed profanities at Victims A through C; and

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

made a false statement to IPRA. Based upon statements by the accused officer, victims and witnesses, department reports, OEMC transcripts, medical records, photographs and depositions, IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegations that the accused officer pointed his weapon at Victims A through F and threatened Victim A. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the accused officer kicked Victim A; punched Victim A; and choked Victim B. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the accused officer kicked Victim C several times; directed profanities at Victims A through C; and made a false statement to IPRA. IPRA recommended a **thirty (30) day suspension for the accused officer.**

Log/C.R. No. 1006073

On May 28, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, f/k/a the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred in the 14th District involving two off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officers (Officers A and B), an on-duty Officer (Officer C), and an on-duty Sergeant (Sergeant D). It was alleged that Officer A kicked Victim A on the thigh and groin area; grabbed Victim A by his hair; struck Victim A's head/face against a vehicle; searched and moved Victim A's vehicle without justification; removed and used a disposable camera from the glove compartment of Victim A's vehicle; failed to return or inventory the disposable camera belonging to Victim A; directed profanities and racial slurs at Victim A; was intoxicated while off-duty; urinated in public view; and violated state traffic law in that proof of insurance was not provided. It was alleged that Officer B snatched Victim A's cellular phone from his hand, grabbed Victim A's hand roughly and twisted it behind him; handcuffed Victim A too tightly; searched Victim A's vehicle without justification; directed profanities at Victim A; and was intoxicated while off-duty. It was alleged that Officer C failed to conduct a thorough traffic investigation; failed to secure Victim A's vehicle; and directed profanities at Victim B. It was alleged that Sergeant D was inattentive to duty in that he failed to interview all the witnesses and request an evidence technician and failed to ensure that a thorough traffic crash investigation was conducted. Based upon statements by the accused members, victim statements, departmental reports, witness statements, OEMC transmissions, and traffic citations, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A kicked Victim A on the thigh and groin area; grabbed Victim A by his hair; struck Victim A's head/face against a vehicle; searched and moved Victim A's vehicle without justification; and urinated in public

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

view. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A removed and used a disposable camera from the glove compartment of Victim A's vehicle; failed to return or inventory the disposable camera belonging to Victim A; directed profanities and racial slurs at Victim A; and was intoxicated while off-duty. IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that Officer A violated state traffic law in that proof of insurance was not provided. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer B snatched Victim A's cellular phone from his hand, grabbed Victim A's hand roughly and twisted it behind him; and handcuffed Victim A too tightly. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer B directed profanities at Victim A and was intoxicated while off-duty. IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that Officer B searched Victim A's vehicle without justification. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer C directed profanities at Victim B. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer C failed to conduct a thorough traffic investigation. IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that Officer C failed to secure Victim A's vehicle. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Sergeant D was inattentive to duty in that he failed to interview all the witnesses and request an evidence technician and failed to ensure that a thorough traffic crash investigation was conducted. IPRA recommended **separation for Officer A from the department, a thirty (30) day suspension for Officer B, a five (5) day suspension for Officer C, and a ten (10) day suspension for Sergeant D.**

Log/C.R. No. 1010031

On October 11, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on September 13, 2007 in the 8th District. It was alleged that an on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer struck complainant in the face; directed profanities at complainant; failed to submit a Tactical Response Report; failed to ensure complainant received medical attention; gave false statements to the DEA, IPRA and/or at trial; brought discredit upon the department when he was indicted; and brought discredit upon the department when he was arrested. Based on statements from the accused officer, complainant and witnesses, court reports and transcripts, department records, medical records, and photographs, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the accused officer struck complainant in the face; directed profanities at complainant; failed to submit a Tactical

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

Response Report; gave false statements to the DEA, IPRA and/or at trial; brought discredit upon the department when he was indicted; and brought discredit upon the department when he was arrested. IPRA recommended a finding of **"EXONERATED"** for the allegation that the accused officer failed to ensure complainant received medical attention. IPRA recommended **separation for the accused officer from the department.**

Log/C.R. No. 1011021

On November 19, 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on November 19, 2007 in the 11th District involving two on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officers (Officers A and B) and two Detention Aides (Detention Aide C and D). It was alleged Officer A, Officer B, Detention Aide C, and Detention Aide D violated department orders when they failed to follow the guidelines for arrestee screening for Victim. Based on statements from the accused members and witnesses, department, medical and official records, drug test and OEMC reports, and photographs, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer A violated department orders when he failed to follow the guidelines for arrestee screening for Victim. IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that the Officer B, Detention Aide C, and Detention Aide D violated department orders when they failed to follow the guidelines for arrestee screening for Victim. IPRA recommended a **three (3) day suspension for the accused officer.**

Log/C.R. No. 1014252

On February 18, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on February 17, 2008 in Oak Lawn, Illinois involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer. It was alleged that the accused officer harassed complainant by following her to a business establishment and keeping her whereabouts under surveillance; was detained by the Oak Lawn Police Department where it was determined that he was intoxicated; operated a motor vehicle while intoxicated; and was in possession of a firearm while intoxicated. It was alleged that between approximately December 2007 and February 2008, that the accused officer harassed complainant by repeatedly following her. It was alleged that between February 17, 2008 and March 17, 2011, the accused officer gave false statements to IPRA and the Oak Lawn Police Department. Based on statements from the accused officer and witnesses, CPD and Oak Park Police Department reports, and drug

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

tests, IPRA recommended to **“NOT SUSTAIN”** the allegations that the accused officer harassed complainant by following her to a business establishment and keeping her whereabouts under surveillance on February 17, 2008; and harassed complainant by repeatedly following her between approximately December 2007 and February 2008. IPRA recommended to **“SUSTAIN”** the allegations that the accused officer was detained by the Oak Lawn Police Department where it was determined that he was intoxicated; operated a motor vehicle while intoxicated; was in possession of a firearm while intoxicated; and gave false statements to IPRA and the Oak Lawn Police Department between February 17, 2008 and March 17, 2011. IPRA recommended a **thirty (30) day suspension for the accused officer.**

Log/C.R. No. 1015651

On April 10, 2008 a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on April 10, 2008, involving two on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Members, Officer (Officer A) and Detective (Detective B). It was alleged that Officer A punched Detective B in the face; shoved Detective B; and by his overall actions brought discredit on the department. It was alleged that Detective B punched Officer A about his body; verbally abused Officer A; and made a verbally abusive comment directed at Officer A regarding people with disabilities. Based on statements from the accused members and witnesses, department and medical reports, and photographs, IPRA recommended to **“SUSTAIN”** the allegations that Officer A punched Detective B in the face and by his overall actions brought discredit on the department. IPRA recommended to **“NOT SUSTAIN”** the allegation that Officer A shoved Detective B. IPRA recommended to **“NOT SUSTAIN”** the allegations that Detective B punched Officer A about his body; verbally abused Officer A; and made a verbally abusive comment directed at Officer A regarding people with disabilities. IPRA recommended a **five (5) day suspension for Officer A.** Officer A retired prior to the completion of IPRA's investigation.

Log/C.R. No. 1020690

On October 10, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on October 10, 2008 in the 4th District. It was alleged that an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer physically abused the Victim; was arrested for domestic battery; pushed the Victim; grabbed and/or choked the Victim; struck the Victim about her face and body; and gave a false statement to IPRA. Based on statements

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

from the accused officer and Victim, department reports, OEMC transcriptions and reports, medical records, photographs, court records, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the accused officer physically abused the Victim; was arrested for domestic battery; pushed the Victim; grabbed and/or choked the Victim; struck the Victim about her face and body; and gave a false statement to IPRA. IPRA recommended **separation for the accused officer from the department.**

Log/C.R. No. 1021122

On October 25, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on October 25, 2008 in the 4th District involving three on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officers (Officers A, B and C). It was alleged that an Officer A was inattentive to duty in that he failed to secure a shotgun; and was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged a shotgun. It was alleged that an Officer B was inattentive to duty in that he failed to secure a shotgun. It was alleged that an Officer C was inattentive to duty in that he failed to secure a shotgun. Based on a mediation, Officers A, B, and C agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for all allegations and a **written reprimand.**

Log/C.R. No. 1023369

On January 26, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that took place in the 5th District Station involving six on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officers (Officers A through F), an on-duty Captain (Captain G), an on-duty Lieutenant (Lieutenant H), two on-duty Sergeants (Sergeants I and J), and on-duty Detention Aide. It was alleged that Captain G failed to perform his duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met. It was alleged that Officer A failed to perform her duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met. It was alleged that Lieutenant H failed to perform his duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met and failed as a supervisor, to ensure his subordinate staff complied with policy. It was alleged that Sergeant I failed to perform his duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met and failed as a supervisor, to ensure his subordinate staff complied with department policy. It was alleged that Officer B failed to perform her duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met. It was alleged that Officer C failed to perform her duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met. It was alleged that the Detention Aide

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

failed to perform her duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met. It was alleged that Sergeant J failed to perform his duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met and failed as a supervisor, to ensure his subordinate staff complied with department policy. It was alleged that Officer D failed to perform her duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met. It was alleged that Officer E failed to perform her duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met. It was alleged that Officer F failed to perform her duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met. Based upon statements by the accused members, departmental records, medical records, and photographs, IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that Captain G failed to perform his duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met. IPRA recommended a finding of **"EXONERATED"** for the allegation that Officer A failed to perform her duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that both Officers B through F, Lieutenant H, Sergeants I and J, and Detention Aide failed to perform their duty to ensure that the medical needs of an arrestee were met. Further, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that both Lieutenant H and Sergeants I and J failed as a supervisor, to ensure that their subordinate staff complied with department policy. IPRA recommended a **three (3) day suspension for Lieutenant H, a one (1) day suspension for Sergeants I and J, a two (2) day suspension for Officers B through F, and a written reprimand for the accused Detention Aide.**

Log/C.R. No. 1024565

On March 10, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on March 10, 2009 in the 5th District. It was alleged that an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Sergeant had an expired FOID card; discharged her weapon in violation of the department's policy on Deadly Force; impeded the subsequent investigation by tampering with evidence; and gave false statements to IPRA and CPD. Based on statements from the accused sergeant and witnesses, department reports, photographs, and OEMC recordings, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that the accused officer had an expired FOID card; discharged her weapon in violation of the department's policy on Deadly Force; and gave false statements to IPRA and CPD. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that the accused officer impeded the subsequent investigation by tampering

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

with evidence. IPRA recommended a **thirty (30) day suspension for the accused sergeant.**

Log/C.R. No. 1025475

On April 13, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident that occurred on April 11, 2009, in the 18th District involving an on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Sergeant (Sergeant A) and two on-duty CPD Officers (Officer B and Victim Officer C). It was alleged that Sergeant A made derogatory remarks about Victim Officer E in the presence of Officer B; made a racial remark about Victim Officer C and Victim Officer D in the presence of Officer B; and made a racial remark about Victim Officer E in the presence of Officer B. It was alleged that Officer B failed to report a fellow member's misconduct and provided a false statement to IPRA. It was alleged that Victim Officer C failed to report a fellow member's misconduct. Based upon statements by the accused officers, victims and witnesses, and department records, IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** the allegation that Sergeant A made derogatory remarks about Victim Officer E in the presence of Officer B. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Sergeant A made a racial remark about Victim Officer C and Victim Officer D in the presence of Officer B; and made a racial remark about Victim Officer E in the presence of Officer B. IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officer B failed to report a fellow member's misconduct and provided a false statement to IPRA. IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Victim Officer C failed to report a fellow member's misconduct. IPRA recommended a **five (5) day suspension for Sergeant A and Officer B** and a **written reprimand for Victim Officer C.**

Log/C.R. No. 1026439

On May 16, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that took place on May 15, 2009 in the 5th District involving two off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officers (Officers A and B). It was alleged that Officer A pushed complainant and punched complainant. It was alleged that Officer B failed to report a fellow member's misconduct. Based upon statements by the accused officers, the Victim and witnesses, and photographs, IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations that Officer A pushed the Victim and punched the Victim. IPRA recommended a finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegation that Officer B failed to report a fellow member's

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

misconduct. IPRA recommended a **seven (7) day suspension for Officer A** and a **“Violation Noted” for Officer B**.

Log/C.R. No. 1027271

On July 13, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on July 13, 2009 in the 8th District involving an off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer. It was alleged that the Officer was intoxicated and intentionally discharged his weapon. Based on a mediation, the accused officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **“SUSTAINED”** for all allegations and a **thirty (30) day suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1042917

On January 23, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on January 23, 2011 in Hoffman Estates, Illinois. It was alleged that an off-duty officer pushed Victim, which resulted in his arrest for Domestic Battery; damaged property belonging to Victim, which resulted in his arrest for Criminal Damage to Property; and was intoxicated while off-duty. Based on a mediation, the accused officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **“SUSTAINED”** for all allegations and a **two (2) day suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1043460

On February 20, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on February 19, 2011 in the 3rd District involving two on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officers (Officer A and B). It was alleged that Officer A accidentally discharged his weapon while attempting to holster his weapon; failed to immediately notify OEMC that he discharged his weapon; and dragged/pulled Victim A down the stairs. It was alleged that Officer B put a gun to Victim B's head; stepped on Victim B's stomach; stepped on Victim B's neck; and verbally abused Victim B. Based on statements from Officer B, a Victim and witnesses, department and OEMC reports, IPRA recommended to **“NOT SUSTAIN”** the allegations that Officer B put a gun to Victim B's head; stepped on Victim B's neck; and verbally abused Victim B. IPRA recommended a finding of **“EXONERATED”** for the allegation that Officer B stepped on Victim B's stomach. Based on a mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **“SUSTAINED”** for all allegations and a **one (1) day suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1044302

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2012

On March 27, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on March 27, 2011 in the 11th District involving an on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer. It was alleged that the accused officer was inattentive to duty in that he accidentally discharged his weapon. Based on a mediation, the accused officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a **written reprimand**.

Log/C.R. No. 1045517

On May 19, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on May 19, 2011, in the 13th District involving an on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer. It was alleged that the accused officer was inattentive to duty in that she accidentally discharged her taser. Based upon a mediation, the accused officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a **"Violation Noted"**.

Log/C.R. No. 1045833

On June 2, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on June 2, 2011, in the 13th District involving an on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer. It was alleged that the accused officer was inattentive to duty in that she accidentally discharged her taser. Based upon a mediation, the accused officer agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** and a **"Violation Noted"**.