

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2008

Log No. / C.R. No. 1003566

On 21 February 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred in the 25th District, in which an off-duty Chicago Police Department officer allegedly physically and verbally assaulted several private citizens. Based on videotape evidence and corroborating witness statements, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** multiple allegations of physical and verbal abuse, misconduct, intoxication, violation of state laws, and attempting to interfere with the criminal and IPRA investigations. IPRA recommended **separation** from the Department.

Log No. / C.R. No. 306910

On 12 July 2005, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred in the 17th District, in which a Chicago Police Department officer allegedly struck an individual about the head, grabbed and pushed the individual, threatened bodily harm and threatened to plant false evidence against said individual. It was further alleged that the accused officer attempted to obtain and destroy incriminating evidence against him; was charged with criminal charges of battery, official misconduct and attempt to obstruct justice; and pled guilty to the charge of battery. The second accused Chicago Police Department officer allegedly witnessed the misconduct and failed to report it. Based on videotape evidence, admissions by the first accused member, and witness testimony, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the following allegations against said accused: that the accused beat the individual about the head; grabbed the individual by the neck; physically mistreated the individual; that the accused attempted to obtain and destroy videotape footage; that the accused was charged with several criminal violations; and that the accused pled guilty to misdemeanor battery and was subsequently found guilty. Because the accused member denied the allegation, and there were no corroborating witness statements nor material evidence to support the allegation that the first accused member threatened bodily harm and to plant contraband on the individual, IPRA recommended to find these allegations as **"NOT SUSTAIN."** Based on the evidence presented, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations against the second accused member that he witnessed the misconduct and failed to report it. Further IPRA recommended **separation from the department for the first accused member**, and that a **sixty (60) day suspension be imposed on the second accused member**.

Log No. / C.R. No. 312939

On 13 May 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred in the 22nd District, in which an off-duty Chicago Police Department officer was alleged to have harassed, verbally abused, threatened death against, and challenged a fellow department member to a physical altercation, and on a separate occasion to have removed

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

March 2008

personal property from the fellow member's personal vehicle. It is further alleged that the accused officer verbally abused and threatened bodily harm against a private citizen. Based on audio footage, corroborating witness statements, and admissions by the accused member, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the following allegations against the accused officer: that he harassed, verbally abused, and threatened death against a fellow officer, as well as attempted to provoke said officer into a physical altercation, and threatened bodily harm against a private citizen. The accused member denied the allegation that he entered the vehicle of a fellow department member and removed personal effects, and because there were no witness statements nor material evidence to support it, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** this allegation. IPRA recommended a **seven (7) day suspension** for the accused member.