Abstracts of Sustained Cases

FEBRUARY 2014

Log/C.R. No. 1059381

On January 7th, 2013, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving two on-duty Chicago Police Officers (Officer A and Complainant/Officer B) that occurred on January 7th, 2013 in the 17th District. It was alleged that Officer A intentionally pushed Complainant/ Officer B from behind without justification. During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations and a **one (1) day suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1043379

On February 17th, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding an incident involving two on-duty CPD Officers (Officers A and B) that occurred on February 17th, 2011 in the 25th District. It was alleged that the accused Officers A and B were inattentive to duty in that they failed to properly search Subject A which resulted in Subject A causing injury to self. During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations and a **one (1) day suspension**. During mediation, Officer B agreed to accept IPRA's finding of **"SUSTAINED"** for the allegations and a **three (3) day suspension**.

Log/C.R. No. 1022078

On November 29th, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a domestic incident involving an off-duty Chicago Police Officer that occurred on November 29th, 2008 in New Tazewell, TN. It was alleged that the Officer displayed and pointed his weapon at the Victim; failed to follow lawful orders by New Tazewell Police official; verbally abused Victim; and was arrested for three counts of aggravated assault. Durina agreed accept finding mediation. the Officer to IPRA's of "SUSTAINED" for all allegations that the Officer displayed and pointed his weapon at the Victim; failed to follow lawful orders by New Tazewell Police official; verbally abused Victim; arrested for three counts of aggravated assault, and whose overall conduct brought discredit upon the Department. The Officer agreed to a twenty (20) day suspension.

Log/C.R. No. 1022314

On December 9, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) regarding a incident involving eleven members of the Chicago Police Department (CPD) including seven on-duty Police Officers (Officers A, B, C, D, E, F, and

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

FEBRUARY 2014

G), three on-duty Sergeants (Sergeants H, I, J), and one-on duty Detention Aide that occurred on December 8, 2008 in the 17th District. It was alleged that Officers B, E and F used improper force against Subject. It is further alleged, Officer F failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR). Additionally, it was alleged that Officer B, Officer E, and Officer G were inattentive to duty when they observed and/or were aware of misconduct and failed to report it. As well, there were allegations against Sergeants H, I, and J stating they were inattentive to duty when they observed and/or were aware of misconduct and failed to report and/or take action of such. Other allegations included that Officers C and D falsified department reports subsequent to the arrest of Subject and provided IPRA with false statement. It was further alleged that Sergeant I, Officer A, and the Detention Aide accepted the Subject into lockup with an obvious injury. Also, stated was that Sergeant I failed to oversee the conduct of the lockup and the lockup personnel. Based on statements from the accused Officers, Sergeants, Subject, Complainant, and witnesses, Department reports, photographs, and video IPRA recommended to "UNFOUND" the allegations that Officers B, E and F used improper force against Subject. However during mediation, Officer F agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation that he failed to complete a Tactical Response Report (TRR) and a **Reprimand**. Allegations against Officer B and Officer E for being inattentive to duty when they observed and/or were aware of misconduct and failed to report it were "NOT SUSTAINED". Further, IPRA recommended to "**UNFOUND**" the allegation against Officer G for being inattentive to duty for failing to report allegations of misconduct. As well, the allegations against Sergeants H, I, J for being inattentive to duty when they observed and/or were aware of misconduct and failed to report and/or take action of such were "**NOT SUSTAINED**". However during mediation, Sergeant I agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation of failing to oversee the conduct of the lockup and the lockup personnel and a **REPRIMAND**. The allegations against Officers C and Officer D for falsifying department records and providing IPRA with false statements were also recommended by IPRA to be "**UNFOUND**". During mediation, Officer A agreed to accept IPRA's finding of "SUSTAINED" for the allegation of accepting the Victim into lockup with an obvious injury and the **VIOLATION NOTED.** IPRA recommended to "**UNFOUND**" the allegation against the Detention Aide for accepting the Victim into lockup with an obvious injury.