

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

January 2013

Log/C.R. No. 1034408

On March 2, 2010, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on March 2, 2010 in the 2nd District, involving an on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Detective (Detective A) and Officer (Officer B). It was alleged that Detective A became combative towards Officer B and had to be restrained; directed profanities at Officer B; verbally abused Officer B; and by his overall actions brought discredit on the department. It was alleged that Officer B directed profanities at Detective A and attempted to provoke Detective A into a physical altercation. Based on statements from the accused members and witnesses, and department reports, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Detective A became combative towards Officer B and had to be restrained; directed profanities at Officer B; verbally abused Officer B; and by his overall actions brought discredit on the department. IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegations that Officer B directed profanities at Detective A and attempted to provoke Detective A into a physical altercation. IPRA recommended a **ten (10) day suspension for DETECTIVE A.**

Log/C.R. No. 1018010

On June 22, 2008, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on June 22, 2008, in the 7th District involving three on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officers (Officers A through C). It was alleged that Officers A through C fired their weapons into a wall behind which the Subject was not clearly visible in violation of a General Order. Based on statements from the accused members and witnesses, Office of Emergency Management and Communications (OEMC), Illinois State Police (ISP), CPD, and medical reports, Evidence Technicians (ET) photographs, Forensic Services reports and video, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer B fired his weapon into a wall behind which the Subject was not clearly visible in violation of a General Order. Further, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer A fired his weapon into a wall behind which the subject was not clearly visible in violation of a General Order. IPRA recommended a finding of **"UNFOUNDED"** for the allegation that Officer C fired his weapon into a wall behind which the subject was not clearly visible in violation of a General Order. IPRA recommended a **three (3) day suspension for Officer B.**

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

January 2013

Log/C.R. No. 1031119

On October 19, 2009, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding an incident that occurred on October 17, 2009, in the 4th District involving two on-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officers (Officers A and B). It was alleged that Officer A engaged in improper verbal action while speaking with the Complainant; directed racially biased language at the Complainant; and failed to complete a Contact Card for Complainant. It was alleged that Officer B failed to complete a Contact Card for Complainant. Based on statements from the accused Officers, complainant, and witnesses, video and photographs, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A directed racially biased language at the Complainant and failed to complete a Contact Card for Complainant. Further, IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer A engaged in improper verbal action while speaking with the Complainant. IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Officer B failed to complete a Contact Card for Complainant. IPRA recommended a **seven (7) day suspension for Officer A** and a **written reprimand for Officer B**.

Log/C.R. No. 1046629

On July 2, 2011, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA), regarding three separate incidents involving one off-duty Chicago Police Department (CPD) Officer (Officer A) and one on-duty CPD Sergeant (Sergeant B). It was alleged that on an unspecified date in 2008, that Officer A pushed the Victim. It was alleged that on November 14, 2010, that Officer A engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with the Victim and that Sergeant B failed to initiate a complaint log number. It was also alleged that on July 2, 2011, Officer A was arrested for Domestic Battery; failed to provide the Department with a current address; used Department equipment for Non-Departmental purposes; and was insubordinate in that he disobeyed a direct order given by Sergeant C. Based on statements from the accused members, the Victim and witnesses, OEMC, department, court, and phone records, ET photographs, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A was arrested for Domestic Battery; failed to provide the Department with a current address; and used Department equipment for Non-Departmental purposes on July 2, 2011. IPRA recommended to **"NOT SUSTAIN"** the allegations that Officer A pushed the Victim on an unspecified date in 2008, engaged in an unjustified physical altercation with the Victim on November 14, 2010 and was insubordinate in that he disobeyed a direct order given by Sergeant C on July 2, 2011. IPRA

Abstracts of Sustained Cases

January 2013

recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation that Sergeant B failed to initiate a complaint log number on November 14, 2010. IPRA recommended a **ten (10) day suspension for Officer A** and a **written reprimand for Sergeant B.**