

# Abstracts of Sustained Cases

January 2008

*Log No. / C.R. No. 309916*

On 29 November 2005, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards) regarding an incident that occurred in the 11th District, in which an off-duty Chicago Police Department officer working a security position allegedly physically abused, grabbed, and threatened the first complainant; said accused member allegedly pushed a second complainant; and failed to complete a Tactical Response Report. Based on corroborating witness statements and the accused member's admissions, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the following allegations: that the accused pushed the first complainant's head against a wall; threatened bodily harm against said complainant; grabbed and pushed the first complainant in an empty classroom; pushed said complainant against a large metal file cabinet; punched the head of the complainant causing it to strike a bathroom door; pushed the second complainant; failed to complete a Tactical Response Report; and engaged in conduct bringing discredit and disrepute to the Department. IPRA recommended a **ten (10) day suspension** for the accused member.

*Log No. / C.R. No. 310747*

On 20 January, 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred in the 9th District, in which an off-duty Chicago Police Department officer allegedly used profanity in the presence of students and grabbed the wrist of a complainant. Based on corroborating witness statements, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** both allegations against the accused member. IPRA recommended a **reprimand for accused**.

*Log No. / C.R. No. 1001584*

On 27 November 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred in the 12th District, in which a Chicago Police Department probationary police officer allegedly inadvertently discharged his weapon. Because the allegation was corroborated by statements from the accused and witnesses to the incident, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegation and recommended a penalty of a **five (5) day suspension** for the accused member.

*Log No. / C.R. No. 312805*

On 07 May 2006, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred within the boundaries of the 12th and 14th District, in which an off-duty Chicago Police Department officer allegedly entered the garage of a fellow department member without justification, damaged the vehicle of said member, drove recklessly and disobeyed traffic lights, resulting in an accident for which the accused failed to complete police reports. Based on video recordings and corroborating witness

## Abstracts of Sustained Cases

January 2008

statements, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the following allegations against the accused: that he entered the garage of the complainant without justification; damaged complainant's vehicle; drove recklessly and disobeyed traffic lights; that the accused was involved in a traffic accident, for which he did not complete a police report; that the accused member provided a false report; and that the accused engaged in conduct bringing discredit or disrepute to the Department. Further, based on the material evidence that the accused had an accident report completed, IPRA recommended that the allegation that the accused was involved in an accident and failed to complete a report be **"UNFOUNDED."** IPRA recommended a **sixty (60) day suspension** for the accused member.

### *Log No. / C.R. No. 1003995*

On 12 March 2007, a complaint was registered with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA, formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards), regarding an incident that occurred in the 25th District, in which a Chicago Police Department detention aide was allegedly involved in a physical altercation with and made disparaging remarks about a fellow department member. Because witness statements corroborated the allegations, IPRA recommended to **"SUSTAIN"** the allegations and to impose a **ten (10) day suspension against the accused member**. The complainant, a Chicago Police Department member as well, was also alleged to have engaged in a physical altercation with the accused. Witness statements confirmed that the complainant acted in self-defense, and IPRA recommended to **"EXONERATE"** the complainant as to this allegation.